Quality of life assessment by WHOQOL-BREF in panic disorder patients during treatment
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Abstract

Background: Panic disorder (PD) patients show more physical and psychological impairment, than the general population. Objectives: Our aims are to compare quality of life between PD patients during treatment and healthy subjects and to associate social, demographic and clinical factors with quality of life scores. Methods: It is a cross-sectional study with 20 PD patients and 20 healthy controls. Anxiety levels assessment: Beck Anxiety Inventory, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, STAIT − Form Y. Panic severity; Panic Agoraphobia Scale; social and environmental problems and global assessment functioning (Axis IV and V, DSM-IV-TR) and quality of life by WHOQOL-BREF. Results: PD patients were 65% female. Mean age = 37.55 ± 9.06. Quality of life domain scores: physical = 57.86 ± 17.56; psychological = 56.04 ± 18.31; social = 56.25 ± 25.92; and environmental = 47.03 ± 16.92; smokers = 20%; BAI = 23.40 ± 15; STAI-S= 43.50 ± 8.79; STAI-T = 50.10 ± 9.19; PAS = 13.60 ± 9.40. Discussion: No significant differences were observed between groups about gender, age, schooling, religion, marital status nor individual income, but family income was significantly higher among controls. All domains of quality of life are significantly impaired among PD patients, compared to healthy controls. Panic disorder compromises well being. Psychosocial problems and high levels of anxiety can also negatively impact quality of life of PD patients.
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Resumo

Contexto: Pacientes com transtorno de pânico (TP) apresentam maior comprometimento físico e psicológico que a população geral. Objetivos: Nosso objetivo é comparar qualidade de vida entre pacientes com TP em tratamento e indivíduos normais. Métodos: Trata-se de estudo transversal com 20 portadores de TP e 20 controles normais. Avaliação da ansiedade inclui: Inventário de Ansiedade de Beck, Escala de Ansiedade de Hamilton, Inventário de Estado e Traço Ansioso, Escala de Pânico e Agorafobia; além de avaliação de problemas sociais e ambientais e funcionamento global (eixos IV e V, DSM-IV-TR) e de qualidade de vida pela WHOQOL-BREF. Resultados: Dos pacientes com TP, 65% eram do sexo feminino. A média de idade foi de 37,55 ± 9,06 anos. Os escores de qualidade de vida foram: físico = 57,86 ± 17,56; psicológico = 56,04 ± 18,31; social = 56,25 ± 25,92; e ambiental 47,03 ± 16,92. Tabagistas = 20%. BAI = 23,40 ± 15; STAI-S = 43,50 ± 8,79; STAI-T = 50,10 ± 9,19; PAS = 13,60 ± 9,40. Não houve diferenças significativas entre os grupos.
em relação a sexo, idade, escolaridade, religião, estado civil, nem renda individual, porém a renda familiar do grupo-controle foi significativamente maior. Todos os domínios de qualidade de vida foram bem piores entre os pacientes com TP em tratamento, quando comparados aos controles. **Conclusões:** TP, mesmo em tratamento, compromete a qualidade de vida. Problemas psicossociais e altos níveis de ansiedade podem ter impacto negativo na qualidade de vida dos pacientes com TP.


**Palavras-chave:** Transtorno de pânico, qualidade de vida, ansiedade, WHOQOL-BREF.

**Introduction**

Panic disorder (PD) is a mental disorder with lifetime prevalence rates varying from 1.5% to more than 3% of general population. Women are more susceptible than men to present this disorder (5% against 2%, respectively).-retropective studies show that more than 80% of PD patients correlate stressful life events in the year before the PD diagnostic and many of them believe that such events contribute to the development of the disorder. One population study demonstrated that patients with generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), obsessive compulsive disorder (ODC) and PD showed the lower quality of life scores, among all anxiety disorders. This demonstrates that anxiety disorders must be a priority among health care services. On the other hand, anxiety disorders such as PD, worse quality of life in patients with medical co-morbidities, as those with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The psychological suffering provoked by PD is higher than that caused by hypertension, diabetes mellitus, arthritis or cardiac disease. Severity of PD is correlated to Quality of Well-Being Scale and Sheehan Disability Scale.

Thirty-five percent of the PD patients in general population believe that they have bad physical health and 38% feel they are emotionally compromised. In fact, PD patients show more physical and psychological impairment, than the general population, and than those with social anxiety, suggesting that PD is more related to worse quality of live than does the social anxiety disorder.

A comparative study between PD patients and healthy controls determined that features such as worse schooling, greater neuroticism, major depression co-morbidity, and advanced age are the main factors for worsening quality of life evaluated by the SF-36.

Several authors demonstrate that treatment improves quality of life among PD patients. On the other hand, one study observed that PD patients treated with Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, even not presenting prominent symptoms of PD nor agoraphobia showed lower self development and worse physical health than controls, demonstrating that reducing symptoms does not mean improvement of the well being and it is necessary more incisive therapeutic modalities.

The present study aims to compare quality of life of PD patients under treatment with a healthy subject sample, and also to investigate the association between socio-demographic and clinical factors and quality of life of PD patients.

**Method**

1. Study design and setting

A cross-sectional study of 40 subjects (20 PD patients and 20 healthy controls) was carried out at the Panic and Respiration Laboratory, in the Institute of Psychiatry of the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (IPUB-UFRJ), Brazil.

2. Participants and assessment

All subjects were interviewed by a psychiatrist using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview version 4.4 - MINI, searching for Axis I diagnoses. Twenty healthy controls were randomly selected, among the list of employers and trainees of a private psychiatric hospital of Rio de Janeiro, they did not met criteria for any of the Axis I, DSM-IV-TR diagnoses researched by the MINI and were paired to twenty patients, who were under treatment (taking medicine associated or not to psychotherapy) at the Panic and Respiration Laboratory (IPUB-UFRJ) and met the DSM-IV-TR criteria for PD, with or without another psychiatric disorder. Six subjects could not participate of the “mentally healthy control group” since they were diagnosed as sufferers from generalized anxiety disorder (n = 4), social phobia (n = 2) and or major depressive episode (n = 3) and were substituted by another six subjects that were paired to the patients. The “new” diagnosed subjects were instructed to seek for psychiatric treatment at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro. The panic patients already were on treatment when they were consecutively assessed and selected to participate of this survey according to their returning on the Panic and Respiration Laboratory to continue their treatment. It is important to note that this sample was composed by patients during their treatment, some had mild or residual symptoms, others...
had no panic disorder symptoms. They had anxiety as-

pects assessed by the Beck Anxiety Inventory – BAI14, 

Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale - HARS15 and State-Trait 

Anxiety Inventory – Form Y – STAI6 while PD overall se-

verity was evaluated by the Panic and Agoraphobia Scale 

– PAS20. Both groups were investigated by the World 

Health Organization – Quality of Life – brief version – 

WHOQOL-BREF20, to complete the evaluation of quality 

of life in four domains: physical, psychological, social and 

environmental. Demographic data were collected by a 

semi-structured questionnaire created for this survey. 

Patients were also evaluated by the DSM-IV-TR Axis IV 

and V (Environmental and Psychosocial Problems and 

Global Assessment Functioning, respectively). 
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3. Statistical analysis

The HARS (mild anxiety, 18-24; moderate 25-29; severe 

> 29), BAI (minimal or mild anxiety, 0-15; moderate, 16- 

25, severe, 26-63), STAI (low anxiety, 20-39; moderate, 

40-60; high, 60-80) scales, and Axis IV (present, not 

present) and Axis V (71-100, good; 51-70, moderate; 

00-50, poor) questionnaires were treated as categorical 

variables, generating absolute and relative frequencies. 

BAI, STAI and PAS were also treated as continuous varia-

bles and had expressed means and standard deviations 

(SD). The four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF, were 

analyzed separately, as continuous variables. Student’s 
t-test was used for the analysis of continuous variables. 

Descriptive analysis established 95% as the confidence 

interval. Chi-square and Kruskal-Wallis test were used 
to compare two groups. The SPSS 12.0 program was 

used to calculate the four quality of life domain scores. 
Epi-Info 6.0 was used to analyze the remaining data.

Results

The social and demographic data are described at table 1. 

No significant differences were observed between groups 

about gender, age, schooling, religion, marital status nor 

individual income, but family income was significantly 

higher among controls. As we can see at table 2, all do-

mains of quality of life are significantly impaired among 

PD patients under treatment, compared to controls.
Most of the PD patients (65%) said they had physical illnesses. Three patients (15%) had systemic arterial hypertension, 15% related hypothyroidism, 10% referred dermatological illness, 10% had asthma, 10% headaches, 5% gastritis and 5% hepatitis C. Although, all PD patients declared that those physical problems were under control, they were not presenting symptoms of them and were not bothered with those physical diseases. Half of the patients had, at least, one psychiatric co-morbidity. Twenty-five percent had co-morbid major depression, generalized anxiety disorder was identified in 15% of PD patients, dysthymia in 10%, and 5% had social phobia. The mean age of onset of PD was 31 years old (SD = 8.278). At the moment the patients were assessed 10% were treating with tricycles antidepressants (TCA) as the only medicine, 20% were taking only SSRI, 40% were treating with TCA plus benzodiazepines (BZD), 10% SSRI plus BZD and 20% were on another regimen, including, for example, valproic acid. Twenty percent were on cognitive behavioral therapy, 15% were on psychoanalysis and 65% had never done any kind of psychotherapy.

Analysis of the correlation of each of the four domains of WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire with clinical features, anxiety measures, Axis IV and V results (from DSM-IV-TR) were shown on table 3 (analysis made with Kruskal-Wallis test). Smoking and higher state and trait anxiety measures were associated to physical quality of life impairment. Agoraphobia, higher BAI scores, lower global functioning scores and psychosocial problems (such as problems with intimate relationships, social environment, working problems and difficult to access health care services) were associated with worse psychological quality of life. Higher HARS scores, poorer global functioning and, as we expected, psychosocial problems (specially with intimate relationships, social environment, work, home, finances and access to health care services) were correlated with lower scores in the social quality of life domain. Environmental quality of life impairment is associated with smoking, lower global functioning assessment scores, higher state anxiety measures, environmental problems and also problems with finances and access to health care services.

Discussion

Information about quality of life may be used to estimate the impact of different disorders in the well being and global functioning of people, and may also be used to evaluate different therapeutic modalities, outcome and response to treatment.

The present study found that the diagnostic of PD is a factor significantly associated with worse scores in each of the four domains of quality of life evaluated by the WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire, when compared to controls. The significant difference in the family income between the two groups does not explain the worse scores of PD patients. The correlation between the family income and each quality of life domain did not detect significant association between them. No other demographical data was related to worse quality of life in our study, although, lower schooling and advanced age and also lower social class and being single were already described as factors related with poorer quality of life scores among PD patients.

Our PD sample is composed by patients that are under treatment. Objective evaluation of PD patients by PAS analysis showed low (19) total scores of ± 13. None of the PD patients reported panic attacks in the last two weeks before the assessment. These data indicate that the severity of panic symptoms was under control even when self-report anxiety measures (BAI, STAI – Form Y) demonstrated that patients still generally felt, even when self-report anxiety measures (BAI, STAI – Form Y) demonstrated that patients still generally felt, moderately to severely anxious. This may be secondary to anticipatory anxiety or agoraphobic symptoms. A cross-sectional study reported that PD patients presented worse quality of life scores than those with rare PA, and these had worse scores than controls.

Recent literature indicates that higher severity of panic attacks and agoraphobia, prolonged PD course, major depression co-morbidity, problems in the primary care group, high interpersonal sensitivity, higher levels of neuroticism are some of the factors correlated with worse outcome among PD patients. Patients with severe agoraphobia have an unfavorable outcome of PD, with prolonged course, worse symptoms and major social disability than patients with mild or no phobic symptoms. Phobic avoidance leads to a poorer prognosis and quality of life impairment of PD. Agoraphobia may represent a more severe and morbid picture of a group of symptoms less responsive to treatment. The greater disability associated with agoraphobia can lead PD to a chronic status. Most of the studies did not evaluate panic symptoms separately from agoraphobia. Although, a recent study reported that PD patients in early phases

Table 2. Quality of life domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHOQOL-BREF domains</th>
<th>PD patients Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Controls Mean (SD)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>57.86 (17.56)</td>
<td>78.75 (10.71)</td>
<td>*0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>56.04 (18.31)</td>
<td>73.54 (10.32)</td>
<td>*0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>56.25 (25.92)</td>
<td>73.75 (16.94)</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>47.03 (16.92)</td>
<td>56.72 (12.96)</td>
<td>0.08 **0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kruskal-Wallis test; ** ANOVA test.
WHOQOL-BREF = World Health Organization – Quality of Life – brief version (Fleck et al., 2000).
Table 3. Clinical and psychosocial features of panic disorder (PD) patients and correlation with quality of life domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physical domain (p value)</th>
<th>Psychological domain (p value)</th>
<th>Social domain (p value)</th>
<th>Environmental domain (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>04 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the past years</td>
<td>03 (15)</td>
<td>*0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical comorbidities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychiatric comorbidities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of PD onset (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 39</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agoraphobia</strong></td>
<td>08 (40)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>*0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STAI-S (Spielberger state anxiety)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>*0.02</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>43.50 (8.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>01 (05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>03 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STAI-T (Spielberger Trait Anxiety)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>*0.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>50.10 (9.19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>02 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>02 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BAI (Beck Anxiety Inventory)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>*0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>23.40 (15.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>08 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>05 (25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>07 (35)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HARS (Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>*0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GAF (Global Functioning)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>*0.03</td>
<td>*0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD and T value)</td>
<td>66.95 (10.52 &amp; 28.47)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>07 (35)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>02 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Care Group</strong></td>
<td>04 (20%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>*0.01</td>
<td>*0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Environment</strong></td>
<td>05 (25%)</td>
<td>*0.05</td>
<td>*0.004</td>
<td>*0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupational Problems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>*0.02</td>
<td>*0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Problems with the access to health care services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>*0.03</td>
<td>*0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home problems</strong></td>
<td>06 (30%)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>*0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial problems</strong></td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>*0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p values were obtained performing the Kruskal-Wallis test  
* p < 0.05 – significant

of the illness have poorer quality of life than healthy controls independently of co-morbid agoraphobia. PD patients with agoraphobia had lower quality of life scores at mental health domain, but not in physical domain, demonstrating that agoraphobia is important to poor mental health but not physical functioning28.

Quality of life among PD patients is at the same level as somatic diseases9,11. Nevertheless, chronic medical illness was not a risk factor for worse functioning in panic patients21. PD has negative effects in the physical and psychological functioning of patients compared to healthy controls. PD patients have worse psychological health than those with medical chronic disease26. The physical and psychological health levels of PD patients are comparable to those of depressed patients29.

Poor social support was one of the predictors of worse quality of life23. Problems in the primary care group are correlated with worse outcome among PD
patients. In our study psychosocial problems such as: problems with intimate relationships, with social environment, with access to health care services, problems with work and finances were related with psychological and social compromise.

The size of the sample is a limitation of our study as well as the absence of a control group of PD patients without treatment. In our analysis we did not specify the impact of co-morbid major depression alone and counted all psychiatric co-morbidities together. Another limitation is the fact that our sample is formed by patients of a Research Center rather than a sample taken from the general population. But the present study is an important description, statistically significant, showing that PD diagnosis, even with PA under control, correlates with lower scores of quality of life.

Patients under treatment to PD presented worse quality of life scores, compared to healthy controls. High levels of anxiety (state and trait), psychosocial and environmental problems are also associated with quality of life impairment. Agoraphobia is related specially with impairment on psychological quality of life domain. Demographic data did not correlate significantly to lower quality of life scores. In our sample the control of PA was not sufficient to improve quality of life among PD patients.
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