MAFIC DYKES: RELATIONSHIPS AMONG GEOMETRY, INTERNAL FRACTURES AND FISSURAL TECTONIC PATTERNS
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INTRODUCTION

The understanding of systems associated with the genesis and evolution of mafic dykes presumes comprehension of the phenomena that control (i) the formation and development of the fracture-conduit, (ii) the associated stress field, and (iii) the tectonic regime prevalent at a particular time.

Several experiments on material deformation (e.g. on rocks, halite and clay) indicate that fractures begin as locally concentrated small tension nuclei (RECHES, 1983, 1988; RECHES & DIETRICH, 1983). The length of a fracture depends on its deformation-propagation velocity, low velocities favouring short length and coalescence phenomena (OLSON & POLLARD, 1989; POLLARD,
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1973); this is specially true when linear and parallel concurrent fracture pairs are formed (SEGALL & POLLARD, 1980).

On the other hand, the filling or not of a fissure is determined by relations among shear stress (Ss), tension stress (Ts), fluid pressure (Fp) and lithostatic pressure (Lp). When Ss > Ts + Fp, the fissure will not be filled; if Ss < Ts + Fp, filling will occur; and under the special condition of Fp > Ss + Lp the emplacement will take place under conditions of hydraulic fracturing (POLLARD & HOLZHAUZEN, 1979; BEACH, 1980; COX & ETHERIDGE, 1989).

The distensive tectonic situation appropriate for dyke formation is obtained mainly under three conditions: a) domal uplift (BHATTACHARY & KOIDE, 1987; WILSON, 1973); b) linear extension by pure shear (RUPELL et al., 1988); c) transtension by simple shear (CHOROWICZ et al., 1987; ZALAN, 1986; DENG et al., 1986). Each case can be revealed at different scales by the distribution, shape and structures associated with mafic dykes. Good examples of well-preserved mafic dykes along the coast of the city of Salvador, Bahia, permit successful analysis of such relationships.

LOCATION AND METHODOLOGY

The mafic dykes of the Salvador region are intrusive into 2.0 Ga granulite and amphibolite-grade metamorphic rocks. Dykes are c. 1.0 Ga old (D'AGRELLA-FILHO et al., 1989) and range from a few centimeters to 47 m thick. They strike N 130° to N 160° with subvertical NE dips. The main dyke occurrences are found on the beaches of Vitória, Itapoan, Pituba, Ondina, Barra, Río Vermelho (RV) and Amaralina districts (Fig. 1). The last three have been chosen for this study because of the diversity of information gathered and their accessibility.

A large amount of data (2636 measurements altogether) on internal cooling joints, contact planes, enclaves, country rock foliation as well as planar and linear elements related to the dyke history of emplacement and chill has been collected.

DEVELOPMENT OF DYKE FORMS AND ASSOCIATED STRUCTURES

The great variety of cooling joint positions and dyke forms reveals a complex rheologic-tectonic situation and sequence of formation. Some of the most common geometries observed are shown in Figure 2. They are: 1) bifurcate, with an angle of 30° between the principal
Figure 1 - Location of the study area. See text for details.

Figure 2 - Principal forms of mafic dykes from the Salvador coastline. 1) Tabular, Barra. 2) Lenticular and "zig-zag", Amaralina. 3) Bifurcated, with narrow branches, Rio Vermelho. 4) Bifurcated, with large branch, Amaralina.
body and lateral branch; 2) tabular, with uniform thickness; 3) lenticular, with acute terminations, emplaced within "en echelon" fractures; 4) "zig-zag", where coalescence of fracture pairs are frequent; 5) "bent forms"; and 6) "L-shaped" forms, having 90° angles between principal and lateral branches which terminate abruptly.

Form 6 indicates an important action of $F_p$ in the formation of orthogonal ramifications. Form 5 can be explained by fissure refraction before or during magma intrusion with a significant role played by $F_p$ in the latter situation (MOTOKI et al., 1988; MANDL, 1987; POLLARD, 1973). Different circumstances, probably a transtensional system are required to explain forms 4 and 3. Linear extension can account for the geometry of forms 2 and 1 by transtensional or domal uplift systems. Forms 1-4 can define local or regional situations, whereas 5 and 6 represent local behaviour only.

In the case of cooling joints, two sets, both vertical to subvertical, deserve special emphasis: longitudinal fractures ($L$), which parallel the dyke strike, and transverse fractures ($Tr$) which parallel the dip direction and are often orthogonal to $L$.

The geometric behaviour of the joints is also variable within the conduits: 1) parallel ($L$) and orthogonal ($Tr$) (Fig. 3.1); 2) parallel and orthogonal on dyke margins, passing abruptly to diagonal in the centre (Fig. 3.2); 3) parallel and orthogonal on margins, progressively curving until the become diagonal in the centre (Fig. 3.3) and 4) both diagonal (Fig. 3.4).

Figure 3 - Orientation of cooling joints in dykes. 1) Parallel and orthogonal to conduit margins. 2) Parallel and orthogonal at margins, and diagonal in the centre. 3) Progressively curving inwards. 4) Diagonal. Arrows indicate the principal tensor position.
The conduit form and the tensor positions during magma solidification are the most
important factors that control the oriented formation of internal joints in mafic dykes. For example, the
orientation in Figure 3.1 indicates parallelism between the main tensor (\(\sigma_1\)) and the dyke geometry,
whereas in the other illustrated examples this condition holds only in the initial phases of conduit
opening, after which, the tensor goes to a diagonal position. Two aspects are worth mentioning here:
firstly, example 3.1 indicates an undisturbed tectonic setting, whereas the others reflect an unstable
regime (CORRÊA GOMES et al., 1988). Secondly, example 3.2 is observed in dykes 1 to 3 m thick; 3.3
in intrusions thicker than 3 m; and 3.4 in dykes a few centimetres thick.

Good exposures of cooling joints in dykes occur on the beach near the Meridien
hotel, Rio Vermelho district. Joint types shown in Figures 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 can be found there in dykes
with thicknesses 1.5 m, 26.0 m and 4-8 cm, respectively. Possibly all dykes have solidified under
oblique tension conditions, and owing to a delay in total magma solidification (longer times for thicker
dykes), the different fracture patterns appear to reflect differing histories of rotation of the principal
tensor. This observation is an accordance with results of FABRE et al. (1989) that indicate a total
consolidation time of 4 to 6 days for a dyke 1 m thick, emplaced in shallow crustal conditions.

A special case in the Rio Vermelho area elucidates at the same time both the system
of dyke formation and the importance of the tensors on the orientation of cooling joints (Fig. 4). A
parallel pair of vertical veins, 8.0 cm thick, is interconnected by a third vein of sigmoidal shape. The
planes occupied by the pair (N135°) represent two sinistral shear planes. With shear attenuation, \(F_p\)
became more important than \(S_s\), and the magma filled these planes, while the weak \(S_s\) caused rotation
of the \(L\) joints. Later, the principal tensor became parallel to the pair of veins, leading to internal
fracturing of the central vein (N95°) that was formed under hydraulic fracturing conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

Some important conclusions can be drawn from the study of the mafic dykes of
Salvador. The cooling joint positions in the veins are diagonal, principally in their central portions, and
not parallel or orthogonal as expected. This happened because the position of the principal tensor
influenced the system during solidification.

Different thicknesses and times of consolidation are the most important factors
during the distinct geometric evolution of cooling joints, under conditions of principal tensor rotation.
Figure 4 - Parallel mafic veins joined by sigmoidal vein, as the result of sinistral shear and posterior filling. Note that cooling joints of the parallel pair of vein (N135°) and sigmoidal vein (N95°) are diagonal (See text for details).
TR = Transverse fractures. L = Longitudinal fractures.

The isotopic dating of these dykes represents not only the emplacement age but also the age of the transtensional tectonism associated with this process.

Combining all information about shapes and internal fractures of the dykes, it is possible to suggest the most adequate tectonic setting that affected this area approximately 1.0 Ga ago. Linear tension due to pure shear, associated or not with domal uplift, would be one of the tectonic regimes chosen; but the principal regime was, without a doubt, transtensional as evidenced in almost all the dykes studied.

Furthermore, the abundance of bifurcated, bent and orthogonal forms reveals the important influence of $F_p$ on the dyke geometries in parallel and/or wedge zones.

The result of this comparison between emplacement planes and fracture rotation systems is that the majority of dykes were emplaced under dextral reverse shear regimes.
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