CHEMICAL DEFENSE OF *Hymeniacidon heliophila* (PORIFERA: HALICHONDRIDATA) AGAINST TROPICAL PREDATORS
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**A B S T R A C T**

Various benthic organisms have chemical defenses which reduce their predators’ consumption. Although their efficiency may be noticed in many organisms, many of their effects are not well-known yet. Multiple ecological roles of secondary metabolites are shown in some sponges, which may represent an adaptative advantage considering the high amount of energy used to produce these chemical compounds. The goal of this work was to investigate the defensive property of the extracts from the sponge *Hymeniacidon heliophila* against the tropical predators: hermit crabs (*Calcinus tibicen*), sea urchins (*Lytechinus variegatus*) and generalist fishes. Extracts obtained with *n*-hexane, ethyl acetate and acetone/methanol were used in assays and all of them were effective in reducing the consumption by *C. tibicen*; *n*-hexane extract reduced the consumption by *L. variegatus*; and medium polarity extracts reduced fish consumption. Either the variation in action or the multiple ecological roles of the extracts indicates that different types of compounds can be associated to the defensive system produced by *H. heliophila*.

**R E S U M O**

Diversos organismos bênticos possuem defesas químicas que ajudam a diminuir a predação. Embora sua eficácia seja comprovada, muitos de seus efeitos são ainda desconhecidos. Múltiplas funções dos metabolitos secundários foram evidenciadas em algumas esponjas e isso pode representar uma vantagem adaptativa, considerando o alto valor energético gasto pelas espécies para produzi-los. O objetivo desse trabalho foi investigar as propriedades defensivas de extratos da esponja *Hymeniacidon heliophila* contra predadores tropicais: paguros (*Calcinus tibicen*), ouriços-do-mar (*Lytechinus variegatus*) e peixes generalistas. Extratos em *n*-hexano, acetato de etila e acetone/metanol foram usados nos ensaios e todos foram eficientes na redução do consumo por *C. tibicen*; extratos em *n*-hexano reduziram o consumo por *L. variegatus*; e extratos de média polaridade reduziram o consumo por peixes. A variação na ação ou as funções ecológicas múltiplas dos extratos indicam que diferentes tipos de substâncias podem ser associadas ao sistema defensivo produzido por *Hymeniacidon heliophila*.
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INTRODUCTION

Sponges are a rich source of secondary metabolites, producing the most numerous and diversified compounds (BLUNT, 2009 and previous reviews) with wide spectrum of biological activities, such as cytotoxic, ichthyotoxic, anti-bacteria, antifungal and neurotoxic (e.g. FENICAL, 2006; MAYER reviews) with wide spectrum of biological activities, diversified compounds (BLUNT, 2009 and previous metabolites, producing the most numerous and to have various ecological roles, mainly as a defense against consumers from the tropical and temperate Pacific, Mediterranean and Caribbean (CIMINO et al., 1982; THOMPSON et al., 1985; PAWLIN et al., 1988; HERB et al., 1990; ROGERS; PAUL, 1991; DUFFY; PAUL, 1992; PENNINGS et al., 1994; MCCILINTOCK et al., 1997).

In fact, many animals are known to eat sponges, including fishes (RANDALL; HARTMAN 1968), sea stars (MCCILINTOCK et al., 1994), polychaetes (PAWLIN, 1983) echinoids (SANTOS et al., 2002), and turtles (BJORNDAL, 1990). Other omnivoruous invertebrates also can prey sponge tissue, as hermit crabs (pers. obs.). Therefore, these chemicals can be a response to this elevated consumption pressure.

Most of chemical ecological studies revealed that the function of sponge secondary metabolites is to deter predation by fishes (e.g. PAWLIN et al., 1995; WILSON et al., 1999; ASSMANN et al., 2000). However, these chemicals can also defend sponges against hermit crabs (WADDELL; PAWLIN, 2000a), and sea stars (WADDELL; PAWLIN, 2000b).

Despite the large literature about sponges chemical defenses in the Guam - tropical Pacific (e.g. BECERRO et al., 2003), Bermuda (e.g. MCCILINTOCK et al., 1997) and Caribbean sea (e.g. CHANAS; PAWLIN, 1995; PAWLIN et al., 1995), these investigations are absent in the South Atlantic region. However, the few examples reveals the potential of Brazilian sponge species to produce defensive chemicals against predators, such as the species Ircinia strobilina (EPIFANIO et al., 1999), and Geodia corticostylifera (CLAVICO et al., 2006). However, as well as in Caribbean coral reefs, several species of sponges are very abundant in the Brazilian coast, although greater part of the Brazilian marine sponges remains unknown (MURICY; SILVA, 1999).

The genus Hymeniacidon is widely distributed in the world. In Brazil it is restricted to the Southern and Southeast (LERNER et al., 2005; MURICY; HAJDU, 2006). They are frequently distributed in intertidal zone, including areas with chronic pollution, such as Guanabara Bay (Rio de Janeiro State), where Hymeniacidon heliophila (PARKER, 1910) is abundant (BREVES-RAMOS et al., 2005).

In species of Hymeniacidon genus the presence of peptides, alkaloids, and steroids has been identified (GRANATO et al., 2000; INABA et al., 1998; KOBAYASHI et al., 1996), but their function is still unknown. Chemicals from Hymeniacidon heliophila have been demonstrated to act as antibacterial, antioxidant and anti-apoptotic (MURICY; SILVA 1999; LYSEK et al., 2003) and its cells were successfully cultivated in cultures, producing even bioactive compounds (POMPONI; WILLOUGHBY, 1994; KLAUTAU et al., 1994).

The goal of this work was to investigate the defensive property of the extracts from the sponge H. heliophila against the tropical predators: hermit crabs, sea urchins and fishes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Organisms and Collection Site

Specimens of the sponge Hymeniacidon heliophila were collected by free diving in Itaipu beach, Niterói City, Rio de Janeiro State (22°53’14’’S – 43°22’48’’W, Fig. 1), where this species is abundant and occurs in intertidal zone. Sponges were frozen and lyophilized before extraction. Sea urchins (Lytechinus variegatus) and hermit crabs (Calcinus tibicen) were also collected in the same place.

Crude Extracts

Specimens of Hymeniacidon heliophila (75 g) were cut into small pieces and added to n-hexane in a graduated cylinder to measure the volume of tissue extracted (200 ml). The three different extracts were obtained using solvents of increasing polarity CE1 (n-hexane), CE2 (ethyl acetate), and CE3 (acetone/methanol 1:1).

Assays

Each extract was separately added into an artificial food in volumetrically appropriated level based on the volume of H. heliophila used in the extraction procedure, and offered to hermit crabs, sea urchins and fishes in choice feeding experiments together with untreated control food.

Assays with Calcinus tibicen and Lytechinus variegatus were based on the screen gel assay described in Hay et al. (1994). The artificial food consisted of 2.0 g of lyophilized powdered squid mantle and 0.4 g of carrageenan per 40 ml of gel to prepare artificial food onto fiberglass window screening material, which supports the gel and provides an internal grid in which the amount of artificial food eaten can be quantified by counting the number of squares consumed. Before being stirred on the screening, the mix was heated in a microwave oven for 50 s. Control gels were made in the same way, but without any extract. This gel was then poured on a plastic screen previously placed between a glass plate and a PVC mold. After the gel solidification, the
mold was removed and the plastic screen was sliced into pieces (10 x 10 squares, ca. 1 x 1 cm total) containing a pair of food wafers. Control mix was poured into the screening material adjacent to the treatment gel. Each pair of food wafers (control and treatment) was offered to one specimen of *L. variegatus* and *C. tibicen*, and placed into a perforated plastic container. Each container was placed into a large aquarium system (ca. 100 l), and the bioassay was finished after the consumption of at least 30% of one of the food wafers. Crabs and sea urchins were maintained in a 100 l aquarium divided into 5 cells with 1 hermit crab in each with a constant flow of sand-filtered seawater. In fish tests, artificial food was also prepared with carrageenan, powdered squid, and distilled water, but with the use of food pellets as it was previously done in this type of assay (PAWLIK et al., 1995). The treatment was prepared by adding 0.9 g of powdered squid and 15 ml of water to 0.4 g of carrageenan in order to yield a final volume of 20 ml and produce a similar concentration of that found in *H. heliophila*. The mixture was vigorously stirred and poured on rectangular mold for pellet preparation (1.0 x 0.5 x 0.5 cm each). Control pellets were made in the same way, but without the addition of crude extract.

Assays with generalist fishes were carried out in the field, at Enseada do Forno, Arraial do Cabo, Rio de Janeiro State (22°58’00”S – 42°00’47”W). In natural assemblages of generalist fishes, the individuals were offered two options (control and treatment). Each trial set consisted of *n* ropes containing a pair of pellets - one control and one treatment, that were attached to the sea bottom and exposed to generalist consumers during the necessary time to obtain any measurable consumption. After this period, the differential consumption between the remaining pellets (percent of mass eaten) was measured.

### Statistical Treatment
Normality was verified using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data obtained in percentage were arcsine transformed. *T*-test was used on dependent samples to evaluate all results. Assumptions of normality were accepted, and significant level was considered to be *p* < 0.05.

### Results
In assay using *Calcinus tibicen*, both extracts CE1 and CE2 significantly inhibited this hermit crab (*p* < 0.05, Fig. 1), which reveals the existence of defensive features in both extracts. However, the extract CE3 was only effective as chemical defense against *C. tibicen* during the first 15 hours of experiment (*p* < 0.001, Fig. 1). After this time, no difference between the consumption of treated and control foods was observed (*p* > 0.05). The same extracts were tested against *Lytechinus variegatus*, but only CE1 was effective in inhibiting the consumption of this sea urchin (*p* > 0.05, Fig. 2). On the contrary, artificial foods prepared with the extracts CE2 and CE3 increased the consumption by *L. variegatus* when compared to their respective control foods, but not significantly (*p* > 0.05, Fig. 2).

From three extracts tested against generalist fishes, only CE2 showed a strong defensive property against consumption by this kind of fish (*p* > 0.05). Both extracts CE1 and CE3 did not inhibited these fishes (*p* > 0.05, Fig. 3).

---

**Fig. 1.** Average consumption of artificial foods, control and treatment (with crude extracts of *H. heliophila*), by hermit crab *Calcinus tibicen*. Number of replicates indicated below columns, as *p* value. The vertical bar above each column corresponds to the standard deviation.
**DISCUSSION**

All extracts used in experiments (high, medium and low polarities) were effective to inhibit the consumption by the hermit crab *C. tibicen*. However, the most polar extract, obtained with acetone/methanol, may be functional just in the first 15 hours of experiment probably due to its fast dissolution in aquarium water. On the other hand, both *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate extracts were effective as chemical defenses against this hermit crab during all experimental time, probably given to its low dissolution in seawater.

The hermit crab *C. tibicen* used in this work generally occurs together with *H. heliophila* in the intertidal zone of Itaipu beach and it could be an important predator of many sponges without chemical defenses. The defensive action of the three extracts of *H. heliophila* represents an important protection that might be due to different chemical classes of compounds. We do not know if these chemicals may act together and potentiate their effects (synergistic effect). Nonetheless, this work showed that chemicals from *H. heliophila* are efficient as a protection against predation by the hermit crab *C. tibicen*.
Among the three different extracts, only one produced with n-hexane inhibited the consumption by the sea urchin *L. variegatus*. Sea urchins are important consumers of benthic organisms, especially algae, but it is also known that they predate high variety of invertebrates (RUPPERT; BARNES, 1994), including sponges (VANCE, 1979; AYLING, 1981; SANTOS et al., 2002). Apparently, the species *H. heliophila* has only non polar compounds that are associated to the inhibition of the feeding of the sea urchin *L. variegatus*. The sponge *Hymeniacidon* sp. from Red Sea has also chemical defenses against predation by the sea urchin *Didemnum setosum* (BURNS et al., 2003). However, the extract tested was not separated by polarity levels. Thus, definition about the nature of substances involved in defensive process is not possible.

In general, two experimental approaches are considered to evaluate the defensive action of secondary metabolites in marine environment: sympatric and alopatric.

Sympatric approach considers the possibility of counter-adaptation by consumers living associated to organism producers of potential chemical defense (MCCLINTOCK; BAKER, 1997), whereas alopatric approach evaluates the extension of the defensive action (HAY, 1996). In the present work, sympatric approach was applied considering *L. variegatus* and *C. tibicen*, but as defensive action of the crude extracts was verified, we concluded that consumers did not develop characteristics to minimize this effect. In fact, the organisms’ living in the same habitat is not enough, just the consumers that live directly or closely associated to hosts are known to consume them (HAY, 1992). For example, crabs of genus *Libinia* use the sponge *H. heliophila* to camouflage and avoid predation (STACHOWICZ; HAY, 2000). Opposite to that, individuals of *L. variegatus*, as well as *C. tibicen*, occur in the same habitat of *H. heliophila*, but not living in close association with this potential host. Considering our results, we can conclude that *H. heliophila* has efficient chemical defense system to protect itself against predation by both sympatric consumers, the sea urchin *L. variegatus* and the hermit crab *C. tibicen*.

In fish assays, only the medium polarity extract, obtained with extraction using ethyl acetate was effective to avoid predation by these vertebrates. During assays, the fish *Stephanolepis hispidus* (family Monacanthidae) was frequently observed consuming artificial foods even though the defensive system of marine sponges is commonly associated to protection against fishes (PAWLIK et al., 1995; WILSON et al., 1999; ASSMANN et al., 2000). This species is abundant in Arraial do Cabo region and it is an omnivorous consumer (FERREIRA et al., 2004; FERREIRA et al., 2001).

Hydrophilic extract of sponge *H. heliophila* from United States coast was less consumed by generalist fish *Lagodon rhomboides*, whereas lipophilic extract was not efficient to deter consumption by this fish species (STACHOWICZ; HAY, 2000). The whole sponge (*in natura*) was also offered to *L. rhomboides* in assays and it was less consumed, about 10% (STACHOWICZ; HAY, 2000). Crude extract of *H. heliophila* was also effective as a defense against the fish *Thalassoma klunzingeri* (BURNS et al., 2003). Curiously, in experiments with sea stars, *H. heliophila* was used as food before the tests with other sponges were initiated, revealing that *H. heliophila* do not have defenses against *Echinaster echinophorus* and *E. sentus* (WADDELL; PAWLIK, 2000a). These conflicting results reveal that chemical defenses are not absolute. They may vary in type (chemical structure) and concentration, depending on the site or predators evaluated (RŮŽICKA; GLEASON, 2008). As a consequence, effects of secondary metabolites appear to be determined by the specific effects of each compound on each species of consumer.

The decreasing of feeding caused by high (sea urchin, hermit crab), medium and low polarity extracts (fish, hermit crab) suggests that different classes of substances can be responsible for defensive action against consumers.

Different chemicals are known for *H. heliophila*. For example, the amino acid L-5-hydroxytryptophan that exhibit antibiotic and anti-apoptotic activity, even though it is not known if it acts as an inhibitor of predation (POMPONI; WILLOUGHBY, 1994; LYSEK et al., 2003). Besides, some steroidal compounds, such as cholesterol, colestanol, brassicasterol, 24-metilcolesterol, 24-etilcolesterol were registered in *H. heliophila* (GRANATO et al., 2000). Broadly distributed among sponge species, the steroidal compounds may also be used in a defensive context. For example, the steroidal sulphated compounds amaroxocanes A and B inhibit the feeding of fishes (MORINAKA et al., 2009).

Nevertheless, secondary metabolites from *H. heliophila* have multiple functions against predation, which are independent from chemical nature. This multiplicity can be an advantage to reduce the amount of energy involved in production and/or storage of secondary metabolites. The deterrence in consume of distinct predators provide protection and it is advantageous to surviving of this species in high predation habitats, as tropical ones. More detailed chemical analysis are needed to elucidate which substances are involved in antipredation defensive system of *H. heliophila*. 
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