Protective effect of guabiju (*Myrcianthes pungens* (O. Berg) D. Legrand) and red guava (*Psidium cattleyanum* Sabine) against cisplatin-induced hypercholesterolemia in rats
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The present study was the first to examine the protective effect and antioxidant potential of two fruits native to the south of Brazil, namely guabiju (*Myrcianthes pungens* (O. Berg) D. Legrand) and red guava (*Psidium cattleyanum* Sabine), with respect to reducing the effects of cisplatin-induced hypercholesterolemia in male *Wistar* rats. The cisplatin-treated animals showed high glucose, LDL cholesterol, oxidized LDL cholesterol and total cholesterol levels. These native fruits reversed the increase in these parameters. The fat levels in the liver improved with supplementation with the fruits, and there were no differences in the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), creatinine, urea or HDL cholesterol levels, nor in the weight of the organs analyzed, indicating that the dose used did not affect these markers.


O presente estudo foi o primeiro realizado para avaliar o efeito protetor e o potencial antioxidante de duas frutas nativas do sul do Brasil, conhecidas como Guabiju (*Myrcianthes pungens* (O. Berg) D. Legrand) e araçá vermelho (*Psidium cattleyanum* Sabine), em relação a reduzir os efeitos da hipercolesterolemia induzida pela cisplatina em ratos *Wistar* machos. Os animais tratados com a cisplatina apresentaram elevada taxa de glicose, altos níveis de colesterol LDL, colesterol LDL oxidado e colesterol total. Os frutos nativos atuaram protegendo os animais pela redução destes parâmetros. Os níveis de gordura no figado melhoraram com a suplementação pelos frutos e não houve diferenças nas substâncias reativas ao ácido tiobarbitúrico (TBARS) e níveis de creatina, uréia e colesterol HDL e, também, no peso dos órgãos analisados, indicando que a dose utilizada não foi capaz de afetar tais marcadores.


INTRODUCTION

Studies have proven that the consumption of fruits is related to a low incidence of chronic degenerative diseases (Ruxton, Gardner, Walker, 2006; Van’t Veer *et al.*, 2000). Also, the benefits of native and exotic fruits have been reported in various studies. There is no doubt that fruits in the diet provide compounds capable of inhibiting various diseases and of contributing to a balanced diet (Iwasawa *et al.*, 2010).

Red guava (*Psidium cattleyanum* Sabine) and guabiju (*Myrcianthes pungens* (O. Berg) D. Legrand) are two fruits of the Myrtaceae family, and both are native to the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Red guava is a spherical fruit, crowned by persistent sepals and red when ripe, and it has many small seeds surrounded by soft, whitish succulent pulp. It has a very pleasant sweet-acid flavor. Guabiju is a spherical, velvety fruit that is
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purlplish when ripe, with a succulent, yellowish pulp. It has a pleasant sweet taste and generally a single stone in the center.

Cisplatin (cis-diaminedichloroplatinum II) stands out amongst the anti-tumor agents because of its potent activity. However, this anti-tumor agent shows serious side effects against non-tumor cells, and it is capable of generating reactive oxygen species (Atessahin et al., 2005) and increasing lipid peroxidation products (Masuda, Takaka, Takahama, 1994). Chemotherapy with cisplatin induces a decline in plasma antioxidant levels, which could lead to a failure in antioxidant defense mechanisms against the damage caused by free radicals produced by anti-tumor drugs (Weijl et al., 1998). Many tropical and subtropical fruits are well known and the scientific basis for their consumption is well founded (Dube et al., 2004; Leontowicz et al., 2007). However, some others such as red guava and guabiju are practically unknown and have been investigated only in vitro (Andrade et al., 2011; Luximon-Ramma, Bahourun, Crozier, 2003; Pino, Marbot, Vázquez, 2001). We did not find any publications on studies of guabiju and red guava in vivo. Therefore, we decided to investigate these native fruits in vivo and their possible protective effect against cisplatin-induced damage when added to the diet.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Preparation of the fruits**

The samples of guabiju came from Viamão city, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (30°04’52” south, 51°01’24” west, altitude 111 m) and were collected in February 2011. The samples of red guava came from the city of Estrela Velha, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (29°10’36” south, 53°09’33” west, altitude 394 m) and were collected in March of the same year. The fruits were deposited in the Institute of Natural Sciences (ICN) Herbarium of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), under the number of the collector C. Dalla Nora 172539 and 172540 for guabiju and for red guava, respectively. The fruits were selected according to their uniformity of maturation and lack of damage and immediately frozen (-10°C). The fruits were subsequently placed in a deep freezer (-80°C) for 24 h and then in the freeze dryer (-54°C) for three days. After removing from the freeze dryer, they were immediately vacuum packed in polyethylene bags and frozen (-10°C) until analyzed or given to animals, when they were ground to a fine powder in a microprocessor. To prepare the red guava powder, the whole fruit was used, while for the guabiju powder, the pulp and peel was used after removing the seed.

**Determination of the physicochemical composition**

The following determinations were made, in triplicate, on the freeze-dried samples: moisture content (gravimetry at 105°C); fixed mineral residue (muffle furnace at 550°C); lipid concentration (Soxhlet) and protein (Kjeldahl, with a conversion factor of 5.75), all according to AOAC methods (Helrich, 1990). The total and reducing sugars were determined by the Lane and Eynon method (1934), and the non-reducing sugars from the difference between the total and reducing sugars, multiplied by a conversion factor of 0.95. The total carbohydrates were calculated by difference. Dietary fiber was determined by the enzymatic-gravimetric method using a Sigma-Aldrich kit.

Total titratable acidity (TTA) was determined by titration, measuring pH directly using a pH meter, and total soluble solids (TSS) by refractometry, according to AOAC methods (2007).

All the results were expressed with respect to 100 g dry sample.

**Treatment**

The animals, weighing about 200 g, were housed in individual cages at a room temperature of 22 ± 2°C with a 12-hour light/dark period and a relative humidity of 70 to 80%, each, obtained from the UFRGS Animal Center. All the animals had free access to water and commercial feed throughout the experiment. The treatment was carried out in the animal facility of the Department of Food Science of the Institute of Food Science and Technology (ICTA - UFRGS), Brazil, and was approved by the Ethics and Animal Welfare Committee of the same University on December 6, 2010 (protocol No. 19569).

Every day, 10 g of the pellets were ground, mixed with the powdered freeze-dried fruits, placed in pots and offered to the animals during the night. The amounts of fruits used, both for the red guava and guabiju, were established in a pilot test, where a daily ingestion of 300 g of raw fruit was determined for a 70 kg adult. Thus, the appropriate amount was calculated according to the body weight of the animals, and corrected for the dry weight content. The animals were weighed every other day to correct the amount of fruit offered. The average amounts of carotenoids and anthocyanins found in fruits (dry matter) was respectively 4571.3 μg/100 g and 15326.4 mg/100 g for guabiju and 2443.3 μg/100 g and 309.6 mg/100 g for red guava.

The experiment was carried out for 35 days and the animals, twenty-four male *Wistar* rats, were divided randomly into 4 groups, each with 6 rats, with their
respective diets, as follows: CG (control group), which only received the commercial feed, CPG (cisplatin group), which received the commercial feed plus cisplatin; CPRG (cisplatin/red guava group), which received commercial feed plus cisplatin supplemented with red guava; and CPGG (cisplatin/guabiju group), which received commercial feed plus cisplatin supplemented with guabiju. In both treatments with cisplatin, the compound was injected intraperitoneally just 48 hours before the end of the experiment (33 days) (Silva, Antunes, Bianchi, 2001) in a single dose (5 mg/kg) (Evangelista et al., 2004). Normal saline was injected in the case of the group that only received the commercial feed (control group).

**Control of body weight, consumption of diet and calculation of food efficiency coefficient (FEC)**

After a two-day adaption period, the animals, divided into their respective groups, received the treatments with the fruits. The animals were weighed and the feed consumption measured every day until 48 h before the end of the study, at which time the adequate dose of cisplatin was given by intraperitoneal administration. The amount of diet ingested was determined from the difference in weight between that offered, the leftovers and the losses. The food efficiency coefficient (FEC) was calculated from the weight control and feed ingestion data, showing the relationship between weight gain and consumption (Pellet, Young, 1980). All indices were calculated for each animal, allowing the calculation of the means and standard deviation.

**Evaluation of the blood profile**

The method described by Rodrigues (2003) was used to take the blood samples, where the animals were sedated with benzodiazepine (0.25 mg/100 g body weight) and anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (4.6 mg/100 g body weight). Subsequently, an incision was made at the Alba line for the entire ventral part, and was blood collected from the ascending aorta and immediately centrifuged at 3000 g to obtain the plasma. The plasma was sent to the Pharmacy Laboratory of UFRGS, Brazil, to carry out the determinations of total cholesterol (TC), triacylglycerols (TAG), HDL cholesterol, oxidized LDL cholesterol (ox-LDL), creatinine, urea, alanine amino transferase (ALT) and aspartate amino transferase (AST). LDL cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald equation (1972). At the moment the blood sample was taken, the blood glucose level was determined with the aid of a glucose measurement apparatus.

**Weight of the organs**

After collecting the blood, the liver, heart, spleen and right and left kidneys of each rat were removed, visually analyzed and weighed. The weights of the organs were compared to the fasting weights of the rats.

**Evaluation of the liver**

Lipoperoxidation of the liver fat was determined by the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) method, which measures the level of malondialdehyde (MDA) derived from peroxidation, according to the method described by Ohkawa et al. (1979). The liver samples were homogenized with 0.01 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.0, in the proportion of 1:10 mL, centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 minutes, and the supernatant used to determine the levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances. The products of the reaction were determined by spectrophotometry at 532nm. MDA was quantified using a calibration curve constructed with known concentrations and the results were expressed as nmol MDA/g sample.

To determine the fat, the liver was ground and the fat extracted using the Bligh and Dyer method (1959). The results were expressed as g/100 g sample.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to detect significant differences between the groups, and Tukey’s test applied when there was a difference between the means.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Physicochemical composition**

Table I shows the results obtained for the physicochemical composition of freeze-dried red guava and guabiju. After freeze-drying the remaining moisture content of the red guava (30.7%) was twice that of the guabiju (13.3%).

The residual fixed mineral content of guabiju was approximately twice that of red guava, showing a statistical difference. Fruits, especially non-domesticated ones, are rich in minerals (Kinupp, Barros, 2008), and some studies have indicated selenium and zinc as being the antioxidant minerals involved in cell defense mechanisms against free radicals (Alfieri, Leung, Grace, 1998). In a comparative study of the minerals of different native species, the following were found for red guava, in decreasing order:
potassium, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, sulfur, sodium, manganese, iron, zinc, boron and copper (Kinupp, Barros, 2008). A similar study is required to obtain the mineral profile of guabiju.

A protein content of 3.3% was found for red guava and 8.4% for guabiju, and thus, the latter can be considered a high-protein fruit, as also other native fruits such as araçá-pitanga (Eugenia multicostata) and pessegueiro-do-mato (Eugenia myrcianthes), which have protein contents of 10.9 and 8.1%, respectively (Kinupp, Barros, 2008).

Some amino acids have already been tested for their inhibition of the cisplatin cytotoxicity by Kroning et al. (2000), and were shown to be efficient in binding to cisplatin.

Fruits are not generally sources of lipids, and the values found for both fruits in the present study were below 1%. But the lipid fraction can be composed of unsaturated fatty acids, carotenoids and liposoluble vitamins, such as vitamins A and E, and these compounds have been shown to possess antioxidant characteristics (Stavric, 1994; Pool-Zobel et al., 1997).

Fruits are good carbohydrate sources, and the total carbohydrate content found was about 40% for red guava and 55% for guabiju. After freeze-drying, the reducing sugar content of both fruits was greater than the non-reducing sugar content. In addition to significantly higher sugar and soluble solids contents, guabiju showed significantly lower acidity than did red guava, characterizing it as a sweeter fruit.

The dietary fiber content of red guava (55.31±0.27) was 1.7 times higher than that of guabiju (32.38±0.16). Dietary fiber is associated with a reduction in the occurrence of certain diseases and disorders, such as chronic intestinal disorders, obesity, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and cancer (Kris-Etherton et al., 2002). In addition, the fruits exhibit a better ratio between soluble and insoluble fiber, which is important for both health and technological characteristics (Thebaudin et al., 1997; Garau et al., 2007).

**Control of body weight, diet consumption and the calculation of food efficiency coefficient (FEC)**

The groups that used diets supplemented with fruits (CPRG and CPGG) did not show a significant increase in weight (Table II), although their diets represented increased consumption of sugars, proteins and lipids. This effect was probably due to the phytochemicals present in the fruits (Kao, Hiipakka, Liao, 2000). In the present study, the animals treated with fruits showed similar weight gain and food ingestion compared to the control group, indicating that supplementation with fruits did not cause changes in FEC (Table II) and suggesting there was no toxicity due to the fruits tested.

**Weight of the organs**

None of the organs showed any macroscopic lesion at the moment of removal or statistical difference in weight (Table III), which is important since an increase in weight
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**TABLE II** – Weight gain (WG), consumption and food efficiency coefficient (FEC) for the animals that consumed native fruits or otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WG (g)</th>
<th>Consumption (g)</th>
<th>FEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>105.67±27.51a</td>
<td>918.20±75.63a</td>
<td>0.11±0.02a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG</td>
<td>128.72±24.82a</td>
<td>932.85±94.07a</td>
<td>0.14±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRG</td>
<td>110.85±10.38a</td>
<td>914.67±73.32a</td>
<td>0.12±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPGG</td>
<td>123.70±25.03a</td>
<td>891.16±53.68a</td>
<td>0.14±0.02a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG - Control group; CPG - Cisplatin group; CPRG - Cisplatin/red guava group; CPGG - Cisplatin/guabiju group. Different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences between the treatments (p<0.05), n = 6

**TABLE III** - Organ weights of fasted rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Heart</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Right kidney</th>
<th>Left kidney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>3.21±0.22a</td>
<td>0.32±0.03a</td>
<td>0.22±0.03a</td>
<td>0.37±0.03a</td>
<td>0.36±0.03a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG</td>
<td>3.13±0.20a</td>
<td>0.29±0.03a</td>
<td>0.21±0.02a</td>
<td>0.36±0.04a</td>
<td>0.36±0.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRG</td>
<td>3.26±0.50a</td>
<td>0.33±0.04a</td>
<td>0.19±0.03a</td>
<td>0.38±0.05a</td>
<td>0.40±0.07a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPGG</td>
<td>3.19±0.36a</td>
<td>0.31±0.03a</td>
<td>0.20±0.03a</td>
<td>0.38±0.04a</td>
<td>0.36±0.01a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG - Control group; CPG - Cisplatin group; CPRG - Cisplatin/red guava group; CPGG - Cisplatin/guabiju group. Different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences between the treatments (p<0.05), n = 6.

could represent damage or lesion to the organ. Although the dose of cisplatin used in this study is that often used in animals (Evangelista *et al.*, 2004), it was four times higher than what is commonly administered to humans (Jacobs *et al.*, 1980).

Favareto *et al.* (2011) showed in rats given a dose of 1 mg/kg cisplatin five days a week for 3 weeks, significant differences in the weights of the kidneys and liver of the test group as compared to the control group, which could be explained by the higher doses used.

**Evaluation of the blood profile**

Table IV shows the values obtained for total cholesterol and HDL, LDL and oxidized LDL cholesterol for the animals that consumed native fruits or otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TC (mg/dL)</th>
<th>TAG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>HDL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>LDL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Ox-LDL (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>55.75±6.18b</td>
<td>66.00±12.57a</td>
<td>24.00±1.41a</td>
<td>18.55±4.32b</td>
<td>58.91±6.92b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG</td>
<td>73.50±6.14a</td>
<td>43.25±9.03b</td>
<td>24.00±2.16c</td>
<td>40.85±7.45a</td>
<td>70.44±8.69a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRG</td>
<td>58.75±6.75b</td>
<td>48.50±6.61ab</td>
<td>24.50±1.73a</td>
<td>24.55±6.43b</td>
<td>59.63±6.07ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPGG</td>
<td>50.75±4.99b</td>
<td>43.25±4.65b</td>
<td>23.50±0.58a</td>
<td>18.60±5.98b</td>
<td>54.71±7.29b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG - Control group; CPG - Cisplatin group; CPRG - Cisplatin/red guava group; CPGG - Cisplatin/guabiju group. Different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences between the treatments (p<0.05), n = 6.
TABLE V – Profile of the enzymes aspartate amino transferase and alanine amino transferase and creatinine, urea and glucose in the blood of animals that consumed the native fruits or otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AST (U/L)</th>
<th>ALT (U/L)</th>
<th>Creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Urea (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>85.25±11.56^a</td>
<td>40.00±5.23^ab</td>
<td>0.47±0.04^a</td>
<td>40.00±2.16^a</td>
<td>137.50±25.32^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG</td>
<td>83.50±10.02^b</td>
<td>33.75±2.36^b</td>
<td>0.41±0.05^a</td>
<td>38.25±2.50^b</td>
<td>241.75±47.53^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRG</td>
<td>108.25±10.56^c</td>
<td>43.50±7.72^a</td>
<td>0.52±0.09^a</td>
<td>38.75±7.63^a</td>
<td>178.00±14.58^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPGG</td>
<td>76.5±6.95^b</td>
<td>30.75±4.11^b</td>
<td>0.55±0.08^a</td>
<td>42.50±4.04^a</td>
<td>224.00±45.59^c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG - Control group; CPG - Cisplatin group; CPRG - Cisplatin/red guava group; CPGG - Cisplatin/guabiju group. Different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences between the treatments (p<0.05), n = 6.

and CPGG, it also failed to differ significantly from the CG group.

Leontowicz et al. (2007) studied the snake fruit and mangoistein, two exotic fruits, for their effect on cholesterol administration. In this study, the fruits reduced TC and LDL levels, but HDL levels remained unaltered by either supplementation with the fruits or by the administration of cholesterol. These data corroborate those of the present study. Still, the fruits lowered the TAG levels. However, in that study, the TAG values increased when cholesterol was administered, in contrast to the present study, where the administration of cisplatin did not raise the level of these substances.

Table V shows the results obtained for the liver enzyme, creatinine, urea and glucose levels of animals given freeze-dried red guava and guabiju. The CPRG group, with, supplemented with red guava, showed increased ALT levels, as compared to the CPG and CPGG groups, although the latter did not differ from CG. CPRG also had increased AST levels as compared to all other groups. ALT is present mostly in hepatocytes (liver cells). When there is cellular injury, ALT reaches the bloodstream, and its serum levels may thus be measured to assess liver damage. On the other hand, AST is elevated in acute liver injury but is also present in red blood cells and skeletal and cardiac muscle, and since it is not a liver-specific enzyme, its increase can be found in the case of non-liver injury (Boone et al., 2005). In the case of hepatic necrosis, the levels of the two enzymes are equally increased (Fernandes, Almeida, 2002), which did not occur in the present study.

Yousef et al., (2009) evaluated the effect of a grape seed extract on the effects of cisplatin in rats. When the extract was administered together with the drug, it was capable of minimizing the damage, blocking the increase in plasma ALT and AST levels. However, the drug dose these authors used was higher (7.5 mg/kg), accounting for the liver damage, which did not occur in the present study.

There were no alterations in blood urea and creatinine, indicating that cisplatin did not cause kidney damage. In the above cited study by Yousef et al. (2009) the higher dose of cisplatin also caused increased levels of both urea and creatinine. When the grape seed extract was administered together with cisplatin, both these levels decreased indicating protection, such that the group that received the extract showed no significant differences compared with the control group.

Cisplatin caused an increase in blood glucose levels, which could be explained by the induced oxidative stress being associated with alterations in glucose concentrations (Rodrigues et al., 2003). The fruits acted by reducing the glucose levels, but red guava was more effective than guabiju and showed no differences with CG. Possibly components in red guava affected glycemia, allied to the fact that guabiju had a higher sugar concentration (Table I). The difference in composition and bioactivity between red guava and guabiju could have influenced glycemia.

Evaluation of the liver

The fruits acted by decreasing fat deposition in the liver (Table VI), indicating an improvement in the lipid profile, although the CPGG group did not differ from the CPG group. It appears that in the present study,

TABLE VI - Evaluation of the liver with respect to the amounts of fat (g/100 g) and TBARS (nmol MDA/g sample), for the animals that consumed the native fruits or otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fat (g/100 g)</th>
<th>TBARS (nmol MDA/g sample)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>5.19±0.14^a</td>
<td>146.04±5.40^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG</td>
<td>5.01±0.22^ab</td>
<td>138.58±12.70^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRG</td>
<td>4.34±0.21^c</td>
<td>135.34±16.13^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPGG</td>
<td>4.56±0.24^bc</td>
<td>134.30±13.65^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG - Control group; CPG - Cisplatin group; CPRG - Cisplatin/red guava group; CPGG - Cisplatin/guabiju group. Different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences between the treatments (p<0.05), n = 6.
consumption of the fruits reduced the amount of fat in the liver, concomitantly reducing oxidative stress, thus showing a protective effect.

The consumption of a diet rich in fruits and vegetables could be capable of reducing fat levels (El-Beshbishy et al., 2006). Fiber is one of the main components in our diet involved in the prevention of chronic diseases, influencing the regulation not only of glycemic levels but also lipid levels (Chau, Huang, Lin, 2004). This effect may also be due to increased intake of carotenoids in a diet supplemented with fruits, since an increased consumption of these compounds has been shown to afford liver protection (Shamberlain et al., 2009).

TBARS reflect the amount of MDA formed. Its measurement is used to evaluate the extent of lipid peroxidation by free radicals, as indicator of oxidative stress (Karthikeyan, Sarala Bai, Niranjali Devaraj, 2007).

The cisplatin dose used in the present study was not capable of altering the lipids (Table VI). Moreira et al. (2005) found that a high-energy diet increased MDA levels and that supplementation with tomato powder was capable of significantly decreasing these levels.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first study of guabiju and red guava fruits in vivo and we recommend inclusion of these fruits in disease-preventing diets. In this study, we sought to determine whether in addition to good taste, guabiju and red guava are beneficial in such diets. These fruits showed a protective effect in animals with respect to the oxidative stress caused by cisplatin. The levels of TC, LDL and ox-LDL in plasma and fat in the liver decreased with consumption of the native fruits, and the supplementation of the diet was well accepted by the animals and did not cause an increase in body weight.
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