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**Abstract**

Toxoplasmosis and neosporosis are diseases that may affect production animals and cause significant economic losses. Given the importance of this fact, risk factors and occurrence of antibodies anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* and anti-*Neospora caninum* were determined for 81 sheep in seven farms of the Guarapuava region, state of Paraná, Brazil. Indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT) showed antibody frequency of 40.74% for *T. gondii* and 3.70% for *N. caninum*. The risk factors evaluated were: for *T. gondii*, direct contact of sheep with cats and for *N. caninum*, with dogs; abortion in the herd; and access of other animal species to the same water tank used by the sheep. CI (95%), Odds Ratio, and *p* value were determined by Fisher Exact Test. No statistical difference was observed between the occurrence of antibodies and risk factors associated with the presence of antibodies against both parasites. It may be stated that the protozoa *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* are found in the sheep herds of this region.
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**Introduction**

In Brazil and in several other regions of the world, commercial sheep production is expanding. Because of that, the health status of the animals, mainly in relation to reproductive aspects, should be a concern, as they may have direct effects on the production and productivity of the herds. *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* belong to the Apicomplexa phylum and are important causes of reproductive failures in cattle and small ruminants (DUBEY; SCHARFES, 2011), and toxoplasmosis is an important zoonosis (DUBEY et al., 2012).

Toxoplasmosis is asymptomatic in sheep. However, these animals may acquire the infection during pregnancy, developing reproductive problems as abortion, stillbirths, and delivery of weak animals...
The most common form of infection is the ingestion of oocysts in foodstuffs and in the environment (DUBEY, 1986). Similar to *T. gondii* infection, *N. caninum* may also be responsible for reproductive problems in sheep. Vertical transmission is an important route for *N. caninum* infection, with transplacentary transmission being more common in cattle (DUBEY; SCHARES, 2011). Sheep may be infected by the ingestion of oocysts eliminated by dogs, suggesting the possible occurrence of horizontal infection (DUBEY, 2003). According to the IBGE census of 2010, sheep population in Brazil was 17,380,581 animals, with the state of Paraná showing 613,934 heads (IBGE, 2010), and Guarapuava as the most important sheep-producing region in the state. Therefore, it is important to have information on the health status of the animals in order to prevent diseases and optimize production. The objective of the present study was to detect sheep positive for *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* antibodies in Guarapuava region using indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT) and the association between the occurrence and risk factors to which animals were exposed in each farm.

**Material and Methods**

Seven farms were selected by convenience in the municipality of Guarapuava, PR. The number of animals in the herds ranged from 45 to 90 heads. In each heard, 10% of animals between six months to three years of age were selected. Pregnant or lactating females were selected first and sample was complete with males. A total of 81 blood samples were collected been 69 (85.19%) from females, and 12 (14.81%) from males. Blood samples were centrifuged, and the sera were frozen until analysis.

All farms used the semi-extensive system, keeping the animals in pastures during the day, and feeding them pelleted feed at night.

Samples were analyzed by Imunoteste *Toxoplasma gondii* and Imunoteste *Neospora caninum* (Imunodot Diagnósticos Ltda’, Brazil). Secondary antibodies (anti-sheep) and homologous control sera were provided by the manufacturer. Reactions were considered positive when fluorescence was observed at dilution 1:40 (cut-off value determined by the manufacturer).

In order to analyze the risk factors for the infections, a questionnaire was applied at the moment of sample collection. Seropositive results were correlated with risk factors for infection using Fisher Exact Test and Chi-Square Test in Microsoft Excel, at a 95% confidence interval.

**Results and Discussion**

Six of the eleven (85.71%) farms presented at least one animal positive for *T. gondii* infection, and three (42.86%) farms presented at least one animal positive for *N. caninum*. From all the farms analyzed, only one (14.29%) showed herds free of both infections. The high frequency of seropositivity for *T. gondii* suggests that the infection was spread between herds. Among the 81 samples tested, 33 (40.74%) were positive to *T. gondii* and three (3.70%) to *N. caninum*. *T. gondii* occurrence was in the range observed in studies from the same state, Paraná, which ranged from 7 to 54.6% (ROMANELLI et al., 2007). This finding demonstrates that the infection is still found in the region. According to Dubey et al. (2012), up to 59% of sheep surveyed in Brazil had *T. gondii* antibodies, and viable parasites were isolated from some of their tissues. The center-western region of Paraná is an important region in Brazilian sheep production, with large meat production. Therefore, epidemiological surveys should be carried out in order to demonstrate the actual risk of *T. gondii* transmission by the meat of infected sheep.

For *N. caninum*, the 3.70% seropositivity found in the present study was lower than the 9.5% reported by Romanelli et al. (2007) also in Guarapuava. However, Dubey and Schares (2011) reported that in spite of the economic, clinical, and epidemiologic importance of *N. caninum*, infection in sheep remains uncertain once serological surveys indicate a very low (0.6% in New
Zealand) to high (30.8% in Brazil) prevalence in asymptomatic sheep.

The analyses of the questionnaires were associated with risk factors both for *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* (Table 1). For *T. gondii*, there was no association between the occurrence of abortion in the herd and seropositivity, different from the findings of Ogawa et al. (2003) and Carneiro (2006) who showed that presence of abortion was an important risk factor.

Although it is known that one of the routes of *T. gondii* transmission is direct contact with the protozoan oocysts, the presence of cats in the farms did not present association with *T. gondii* occurrence (*p* ≥ 0.05). Neto et al. (2011), Pereira et al. (2012) and Sakata et al. (2012) also found no association with the presence of cats in the farms and seropositivity. On the other hand, Romanelli et al. (2007) observed that access of cats to feed storage was a risk factor (*p* < 0.05; OR: 1.95).

The access of other animals to the same water tank used by the sheep was not a risk factor for disease spread. Although no statistical difference was observed (*p* ≥ 0.05), the agent may present association with ingestion of oocysts in drinking water.

For *N. caninum* (Table 1), the occurrence of abortion in the farms did not present statistical significance (*p* ≥ 0.05; OR: 0.5), suggesting no association between reproductive disorders and seropositivity. According Dubey and Scharas (2011), occasionally, neosporosis may cause abortion, neonatal mortality, and clinical signs in adult sheep. The presence, in this study, of only three or the seven farms presenting cases of abortion may have led to this negative correlation.
Table 1 – Univariate analysis of the possible risk factors for *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* infection in sheep in the Guarapuava region – Paraná – from 2011 to 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No. of farms</th>
<th>Farms with seropositive animals</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>CI 95%</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. gondii</td>
<td>N. caninum</td>
<td>T. gondii</td>
<td>N. caninum</td>
<td>T. gondii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occurrence of abortion in the last pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presence of definitive hosts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7(^1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other species using the same water tank</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) As one of the results was zero, it was impossible to calculate Odds Ratio and CI 95%

\(^1\) It was not possible to evaluate this fact as a risk factor, since all farms had dogs
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