ORIGINAL RESEARCH

ANALYSIS OF THE IMMUNOGENICITY AND STABILITY OF A PORCINE PULMONARY SURFACTANT PREPARATION ADMINISTERED IN RABBITS


PURPOSE: To study the immunogenicity and the stability of the porcine pulmonary surfactant preparation produced by the Instituto Butantan.

METHOD: Immunogenicity assay: Sixteen New-Zealand-White rabbits (1000 g body weight) were divided into 4 study groups. Each group was assigned to receive either a) Butantan surfactant, b) Survanta® (Abbott Laboratories), c) Curosurf® (Farmalab Chiesi), or d) no surfactant. The surfactants were administered intratracheally, and the animals were collected immediately before and 60 and 180 days after surfactant administration. Sera were assayed for the presence of antisurfactant antibodies by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Stability assay: The Butantan surfactant used in this assay had been stored for one year in the refrigerator (4 to 8°C) and its stability was evaluated in distinct assay conditions using a premature rabbit model.

RESULTS: Immunogenicity assay: None of the surfactants analyzed triggered antibody immune responses against their components in any of the animals. Stability assay: The results of this study demonstrate that Butantan surfactant was as effective as Curosurf when both were submitted to the adverse circumstance of short- and long-term storage at room temperature. A similar level of efficacy for the Butantan surfactant, as compared to Curosurf was demonstrated by the pulmonary dynamic compliance, ventilatory pressure, and pressure-volume curve results.

CONCLUSION: The results of our study demonstrate that Butantan surfactant may be a suitable alternative for surfactant replacement therapy.


INTRODUCTION

The Butantan Institute (Brazil) has produced a porcine pulmonary surfactant preparation (Butantan surfactant) that is obtained by organic extraction coupled with adsorption on a cellulose derivative. The major advantage of this preparation is the avoidance of large-volume, high-speed centrifugation, thus making it feasible to produce in a low-cost plant. This surfactant, as happens with all solvent-extracted mammalian surfactants, is composed mainly of phospholipids with 2 hydrophobic polypeptides, SP-B and SP-C, both of which are necessary for optimal surfactant function for treatment of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. This surfactant contains 76% phosphatidylcholine, 6% to 8% phosphatidylethanolamine, 6% phosphatidylinositol + phosphatidylserine, and 4% to 6% sphingomyelin; 30% to 35% of the phosphatidylcholine is dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine. The total content of protein is 5.6% of the total composition of the surfactant.
The in vivo evaluation of Butantan surfactant compared to other commercially available surfactants using the preterm rabbit model showed similar pulmonary effects, improving pulmonary functions as assessed by the decrease of the ventilatory pressure and the increase of the dynamic compliance.3

In order to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of this surfactant in premature infants, it was first necessary to study its immunogenicity in an adult rabbit model. The need for studying immunogenicity is based on the possibility that the introduction of the porcine surfactant proteins into the airway of premature infants might provide an antigenic stimulus with resultant immunological response against those proteins.4–8 Furthermore, it was necessary to evaluate the stability of this surfactant submitted to adverse storage conditions9 in order to determine the expiration date; this was done by testing efficacy in a premature rabbit model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The protocol was approved by the local ethics committee for animal experiments.

Immunogenicity assay

Sixteen New-Zealand-White rabbits (1000 g body weight) were divided into groups of 4 animals. Each group was assigned to receive either a) Butantan surfactant; b) Survanta® Abbott Laboratories); c) Curosurf® (Farmlab Chiesi); or d) no surfactant treatment. Each surfactant was administered intratracheally (dose: 100 mg/kg), and the animals were collected immediately before and 60 and 180 days after surfactant administration. Sera were assayed for the presence of antisurfactant antibodies by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Plates (96-wells, flat bottom, Nunc Maxisorp Immunoplate) were coated overnight at 4 oC with Butantan surfactant, Survanta, and Curosurf (1mg of protein/well) in 0.1 M carbonate-bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6, overnight at 4°C. Wells without antigen were used to control assay specificity. Plates were washed again with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 (PBS-T) and incubated overnight at 4°C with a blocking solution (10% fetal calf serum) to reduce nonspecific binding. Plates were washed again with PBS-T and incubated overnight at 4°C with serial dilutions of the sera (nondiluted, 1:10 to 1:1,000), in triplicate for each time point. Sera from the group receiving no surfactant treatment were used to control specificity. After washing the plates, an appropriate dilution of donkey antirabbit immunoglobulin horseradish-peroxidase conjugate (Sigma) was added. Plates were incubated for 2 h at room temperature, washed again, and a substrate buffer (0.2% O-phenylenediamine and 0.015% H2O2 in citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) was added. The reaction was stopped with 4.5 M H2SO4 and the absorbance measured at 492 nm in a microplate reader. Results are reported as the mean absorbance ± SD of triplicate wells. Absorbance values above 0.1 were considered positive. Statistical analysis of the data was performed by 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s multiple comparison test, considering P < 0.05 as the significance level (GraphPad Prism, 2.0, 1995).

Stability assay

The Butantan surfactant used in this study was stored for 1 year in the refrigerator (4°C to 8°C), and stability was evaluated in distinct assay conditions as follows: The Butantan surfactant used in this study were stored for 1 year in the refrigerator (4°C to 8°C), and stability was evaluated for Butantan and Curosurf in distinct assay conditions as follows.

time point 0: immediately after removal from the refrigerator and warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes;
time point 1: 24 hours after removal from refrigerator and kept at room temperature (24°C) before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes;
time point 2: 30 days after removal from refrigerator and kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes;
time point 3: 60 days after removal from refrigerator and kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes;
time point 4: 90 days after removal from refrigerator and kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes;
time point 5: 180 days after removal from refrigerator and kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes;

Curosurf, used as the gold standard control, was within its valid expiration date and was stored according to the manufacture’s instructions.

Animal experiments

Birth and ventilation initiation

Pregnant rabbits at 27 days of gestation were sedated with an intramuscular application of ketamine and acepromazine solution (10 mg/kg and 0.1 mg/kg), followed by spinal anesthesia with 2 mL of a solution 1:1 (vol:vol) of lidocaine at 2% and bupivacaine at 0.5%. After laparotomy and hys-
terotomy over a thermal mattress, the newborn rabbits were removed, and the membranes and the placentas were taken. The first premature rabbit removed from from the uterine horn of each pregnant mother received no surfactant treatment (prematurity control). The following premature rabbits were removed from the uterus, one by one, and alternately from the right or left side. After being dried and the weighed, each animal was anesthetized with intraperitoneal ketamine-acepromazine (10 mg/kg – 0.1 mg/kg) and submitted to tracheotomy with a metal cannula (internal diameter of 1 mm). In strict accordance with this protocol, 94 New Zealand White premature rabbits were delivered and randomized into 2 groups according to the surfactant treatment: Butantan surfactant (n = 47) and Curosurf (n = 47). For each of the study time points, 7 to 8 animals were used for Butantan surfactant, 7 to 8 for Curosurf. The surfactant dose used in both groups and at all time points described above was 100 mg/kg/body weight.Before the initiation of ventilation, endotracheal administration of surfactant was performed, followed by manual ventilation with a self-inflating bag for about 10 seconds. Afterwards, the animals received pancuronium (20 µg) through the intraperitoneal route so that there would be no spontaneous respiration.

Mechanical Ventilation

Mechanical respiration was started (INTER 3®-Intermed-São Paulo) using the respiratory rate (RR) of 60 cycles/min, and inspired oxygen concentration (FiO₂) of 21%; peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) was adjusted to to acquire a tidal-volume of 8 ml/kg,¹⁰ and a positive end-expiratory (PEEP) of 0 cm H₂O; inspiratory and expiratory times were 0.5 seconds; this controlled assisted ventilation protocol was maintained over a 15-minute period.

After the initiation of the ventilation, tidal-volume (Vₜ) data, dynamic compliance (DC), and ventilatory pressure (VP) at 5, 10, and 15 minutes were determined. Respiratory mechanics data were recorded through a pressure sensor (Validyne®, model DP45 - 24) connected to a software specifically developed for respiratory mechanical analysis (LabVIEW 5.1® National Instruments, Austin, USA and R. A. Eletro Sistemas LTDA, Campinas, Brazil). The tidal-volume was evaluated through the integration of the flow and time signals obtained from a pneumotacograph (Model 8431 series - Hans Rudolph® Inc., Kansas City, USA) also connected to a pressure sensor (Validyne®, model DP45 - 14), and using the same software for data acquisition. Ventilatory pressure was considered to be the difference between the PIP and the PEEP, and the dynamic compliance (mL/cm H₂O·kg) was calculated by dividing Vₜ (mL/kg) by VP (cm H₂O). The PIP adjustment was performed as necessary in order to maintain the target Vₜ of 8 ml/kg for 30 seconds before every measurement.

Pressure-Volume Curve

After 15 minutes of ventilation, the animals received deep sedation with intraperitoneal sodium pentobarbital (25 mg/kg), followed by tracheal clamping for 5 minutes. Animals were immediately sacrificed with an intrathecal injection of 0.5 mL of lidocaine at 2%, and the P-V curve was obtained by measuring the lung volume 30 seconds after insufflation, using increments of 5 cm H₂O until a maximum pressure of 30 cm H₂O was attained; this was followed by the deflation of the lung by decrements of 5 cm H₂O to 0 cm H₂O.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the stability assay data was performed by Student t test for parametric data, and by Mann-Whitney test for nonparametric data considering P < 0.05 to be the level of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Immunogenicity assay

The presence of antibodies against Butantan surfactant, Survanta, and Curosurf was evaluated in the sera of the animals at several dilutions and time points. Antibody response profiles were similar in all groups and time points. Therefore, we only present the results obtained at the 1:100 dilution (Figure 1). There were no significant statistical differences in antibody titers among the studied groups. None of the surfactants analyzed triggered antibody immune responses against their components in these animals.

Stability assay

Ninety-four New Zealand White premature rabbits were delivered; the recorded weights of the animals assigned to the Butantan surfactant and to the Curosurf groups were 29.9 ± 2.6 g and 29.9 ± 2.9 g (mean ± SD), respectively. Respiratory mechanics ie, tidal volume (VT), dynamic compliance, ventilatory pressure, and pressure-volume curve are shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. It can be seen that the Butantan surfactant and Curosurf maintained similar effectiveness throughout the adverse storage conditions. Similar efficacy was demonstrated by the dynamic compliance, ventilatory pressure, and pressure-volume curve results.
ANTIBODY LEVELS DETECTED AFTER SURFACTANT ADMINISTRATION WERE NOT STATISTICALLY DIFFERENT FROM LEVELS DETECTED BEFORE ADMINISTRATION. IT HAS BEEN DEMONSTRATED THAT IN NEONATAL RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME, THERE IS AN INCREASE IN THE PULMONARY CAPILLARY PERMEABILITY, CAUSING A RELEASE OF SURFACTANT PROTEINS INTO THE CIRCULATION THAT COULD INDUCE AN IMMUNE RESPONSE. BASED ON OUR RESULTS, WE SPECULATE THAT DURING LUNG DEVELOPMENT, SURFACTANT PROTEINS COULD ALSO BE RELEASED INTO THE CIRCULATION ELICITING A TRANSITORY IMMUNE RESPONSE. THIS COULD EXPLAIN THE BASAL LEVELS OBSERVED IN OUR EXPERIMENTS IN ALL GROUPS.

ACCORDING TO THE BRAZILIAN FEDERAL HEALTH AGENCY, ANVISA, AND IN AGREEMENT WITH INTERNATIONAL GUIDELINES, ALL NEW DRUGS MUST BE ANALYZED CONCERNING STABILITY BEFORE USAGE IN A CLINICAL SETTING.

STABILITY TESTS DESCRIBE DRUG BEHAVIOR WHEN SUBMITTED TO ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS. AMONG ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS, SUCH AS TEMPERATURE, MOISTURE, AND LIGHT, WE STUDIED HOW THE BUTANTAN SURFACTANT RESPONDED TO DIFFER-
Figure 4 - Time point 2 (30 days after being kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes) data for tidal-volume ($V_t$), dynamic compliance (DC), ventilatory pressure (VP), and pressure-volume curve (mean ± SD). Data were analyzed by the Student $t$ test (parametric data) or Mann-Whitney test (nonparametric data).

Figure 5 - Time point 3 (60 days after being kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes) data for tidal-volume ($V_t$), dynamic compliance (DC), ventilatory pressure (VP), and pressure-volume curve (mean ± SD). Data were analyzed by the Student $t$ test (parametric data) or Mann-Whitney test (nonparametric data).

Figure 6 - Time point 4 (90 days after being kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes) data for tidal-volume ($V_t$), dynamic compliance (DC), ventilatory pressure (VP), and pressure-volume curve (mean ± SD). Data were analyzed by the Student $t$ test (parametric data) or Mann-Whitney test (nonparametric data).

Figure 7 - Time point 5 (180 days after being kept at 20°C-water bath temperature before being warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes) data for tidal-volume ($V_t$), dynamic compliance (DC), ventilatory pressure (VP), and pressure-volume curve (mean ± SD). Data were analyzed by the Student $t$ test (parametric data) or Mann-Whitney test (nonparametric data).
ent storage temperatures over time. We performed the studies with a premature rabbit model developed in our laboratory, which has been shown to be ideal for testing the efficacy of exogenous surfactant for treating premature rabbits with respiratory distress syndrome (SDR). Our results demonstrated that the Butantan surfactant was as effective as Curosurf even when submitted to adverse storage conditions over time. This can be seen in all time points studied, including time point 5, for which the surfactants were kept in a water bath at 20°C for 180 days. The similar efficacy between Butantan surfactant and Curosurf was demonstrated by the dynamic compliance, ventilatory pressure, and pressure-volume curve results.

In conclusion, the results of our study are relevant not only from the clinical point of view concerning the efficacy and safety of Butantan surfactant, but also for economical reasons, since Butantan surfactant may be a suitable as an alternative replacement therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Fundação Butantan.

RESUMO


OBJETIVO: Estudar a imunogenicidade e a estabilidade do surfactante de origem porcina produzido pelo Instituto Butantan.

Método: Experimento imunogenicidade: 16 coelhos da raça New-Zealand-White (Peso de 1000g) foram divididos em grupos de 4 animais. Cada grupo foi designado para receber: a) Surfactante do Butantan, b) Survanta® (Abbott Laboratories), c) Curosurf (Farmalab Chiesi) e d) nenhum tratamento com surfactante. Os surfactantes foram administrados via intratraqueal e o sangue dos animais foi coletado antes, 60 e 180 dias após a administração do surfactante. O soro obtido foi analisado quanto a presença de anticorpos anti-surfactante pelo método ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay). Experimento estabilidade: O surfactante do Butantan usado neste experimento tinha sido armazenado por um ano em refrigerador (4 a 8°C) e sua estabilidade foi analisada em condições distintas de experimentação, usando o modelo de coelho prematuro.

RESULTADOS: Experimento imunogenicidade: Nenhum
dos surfactantes analisados determinou a produção de anticorpos contra seus constituintes. Experimento estabilidade: Os resultados deste estudo demonstraram que o surfactante do Instituto Butantan mostrou eficácia semelhante a do Curosurf após ter sido submetido à condições adversas ao longo do tempo. A eficácia foi demonstrada através da complacência pulmonar dinâmica, pressão ventilatória e da curva pressão-volume.

**CONCLUSÃO:** Os resultados deste estudo demonstraram que o surfactante do Instituto Butantan pode representar um tratamento alternativo de reposição de surfactante.

**UNITERMOS:** Surfactante pulmonar porcino. Immunogenicidade. Estabilidade. Modelo animal.
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