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ABSTRACT

Alcoholism is considered a physical dependence disorder. More than 18 million people are alcoholics in the USA and England and between 1/3 to ½ of them present some kind of physical disorder. In general the literature is focused on alcoholic trunk muscle disorders. These muscles have different embryological origins if compared to the masticatory muscles. The aim of this research was to evaluate the effects of alcohol on the masticatory muscles in order to compare them with the somitic muscles. For this purpose, 15 male Wistar rats weighing around 250g were used. The rats were divided into three groups: Normal control (N), Alcoholic (A) and Isocaloric (I). Slices of the masseter muscle, temporalis muscle and rectus abdominal muscle were harvested and submitted to histochemical reactions (m-ATPase: acid and alkaline pre incubation and NADH-TR). The myofibers were classified in SO, FOG and FG. The results showed atrophy of the fast fibers (FG and FOG) in the masticatory muscles but this atrophy was not statistically significant in this study (p< 0.05). On the other hand, significant atrophy occurred in the rectus abdominal muscle (p<0.05). Based on these data it can concluded that the effect of alcohol on the branchiomeric jaw elevator muscles (masseter and temporalis muscles) is different compared to the effect on somitic muscle (rectus abdominal muscle).

Uniterms: Alcoholism; Masseter muscle; Temporalis muscle; Rectus abdominal muscle; Masticatory muscle; Rats.

RESUMO

Alcoolismo é considerado uma doença que causa desordens físicas e também dependência. Mais de 18 milhões de pessoas nos Estados Unidos são alcoolílatex e na Inglaterra, entre 1/3 à ½ delas apresentam algum tipo de desordem física. No geral a literatura está focada para as desordens que acompanham os músculos do tronco. Esses músculos têm origem embrionária diferente dos músculos da mastigação. O propósito desta pesquisa foi avaliar o efeito do álcool sobre os músculos da mastigação (branchesmiéricos) no intuito de compará-lo com as alterações que ocorrem nos músculos do tronco (miotômicos). Para isso 15 ratos machos Wistar, pesando ao redor de 250g foram utilizados. Os animais foram divididos em três grupos: Controle normal (N); Alcoolizado (A) e Isocalórico (I). Fragmentos dos músculos masseter, temporal e reto do abdome foram coletados e submetidos às reações de m-ATPase (com pré-incubação ácida e alcalina) e NADH-TR. As fibras puderam ser classificadas como FG, FOG e SO. Os resultados mostraram atrofia das fibras de contração rápida (FG e FOG) nos músculos da mastigação, embora esta atrofia, não tenha sido significante entre os grupos estudados. Por outro lado, atrofia significativa foi observada no músculo reto do abdome. Baseado nestes resultados pode-se concluir que o efeito do álcool sobre os músculos elevadores da mandíbula (m. masseter e m. temporal) é diferente se comparado aos observados em músculos somáticos (m. reto abdominal).

Unitermos: Alcoolismo; Músculo masseter; Músculo temporal; Músculo reto do abdome; Mastigação; Ratos.
INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) considers alcoholism a pharmacodependent disease 7.

There are one to three million alcohol addicts in England and between five to fifteen million in the USA 15.

Alcohol consumption over a long period is responsible for numerous clinical, biochemical and electrophysiological abnormalities. These alterations are associated with liver, heart, brain and neuromuscular diseases 24. Although 30% to 60% of chronic alcohol addicts present with alcohol-related myopathologies, this disease is poorly studied.

The consumption of alcohol can produce acute or chronic myofiber alterations. The physiopathological mechanism for this is probably related to the changes in the sarcolemma, ion bomb, a decrease in the contractile power, protein synthesis and genetic problems. In patients with alcoholic myopathy, several organs are frequently affected and reversibility is partially achieved after abstinence 5.

Clinical and animal studies have demonstrated that the skeletal muscle RNA decreases as a response to ethanol exposure. This reaction contributes to the decrease in protein synthesis. It was also observed that chronic alcoholics present muscle weakness 6.

Alcohol-related myopathy occurs in 1/3 to 2/3 of alcohol addicts and is characterized by selective fiber type II atrophy, especially type IIB 4. Otherwise fiber type I is not affected. Thus, up to 20% of all muscles in the body can be atrophied in this kind of pathology 15.

In response to abusive alcohol ingestion, other alterations can be observed in the skeletal muscles; the myofiber mosaic pattern is altered; mitochondrial proliferation takes place, intrasarcoplasmatic lipids accumulate 20.

Myofiber histochemical research has been done in general on the appendicularis muscles. In the masticatory muscles few articles can be found.

The orofacial muscles are very important for humans. The physiological importance of this region is supported by the rich face and mouth sensitive innervation. This area has an extensive somatotopic representation in the sensitive cortex. Thus, the orofacial region is characterized by muscular organization involved in complex movements (masticatory movements) and facial expressions 25.

Over time, the data obtained on muscle histochemistry of the trunk muscles were extrapolated to the masticatory muscles. Differences in masticatory muscle (i.e. fiber type grouping) were observed in denervated and reinervated trunk muscles 1. In the masticatory muscles, the diameter of fiber type II is smaller if compared to fiber type I 17. In addition, all myofibers present smaller areas in the masticatory muscles if compared to the trunk muscles 17. Finally, the mandibular muscles of some species have a proportion of fibers type IIC. This kind of fiber is rare in trunk muscles 3. Specific differences in the masticatory muscles related to age can be observed. These differences are probably linked to particular, functional activities and hormonal influences 12. The mandibular elevator muscles of the cat present some kind of super fast fibers. These fibers have isometric contraction two times faster than trunk muscle 10. The mandibular muscles can adapt very fast to the functional demand, changing its composition 22.

Since no data on the effect of alcohol on masticatory muscles was found in the literature and the histochemical data obtained from the trunk muscles cannot be extrapolated “in toto” to the masticatory muscles, the present study was conducted in order to observe the effects of alcohol on trunk muscles (rectus abdominal muscle) and masticatory muscles (masseter and temporalis muscle).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fifteen adult male rats (Rattus norvegicus), weighing between 180 g and 220 g, were used for this study. At 90 days after birth, the animals were separated and put into individual cages in order to evaluate food and liquid consumption. Weight was evaluated. The lighting schedule in the housing room was 12 hours light and 12 hours dark per day. The temperature of the room was maintained at 21° C. The animals were divided into three groups, each with 5 animals:

- Normal Control (N) – These animals drank normal water.
- Isocaloric Group (I) – These animals drank water plus sucrose.
- Alcoholic Group (A) – These animals drank 25% ethyl alcohol diluted with water.

The model of alcoholism used was semi-voluntary. The alcohol and water mix was the only diet offered to the animal. The alcoholic group was gradually submitted to alcohol during an adaptive period (6%, 15% and finally 25% weekly) after which they were considered alcoholic animals. This protocol was also adopted to avoid their death. Absolute alcohol (MERCK CH3CH2OH; PM46.07) was used.

The animals in the isocaloric group (I) received the daily food and liquid mean consumption of the animals in the alcoholic group. For this group the treatment started one day after the alcoholic group, which enabled the researchers to calculate the saccharin diet for the isocaloric group based on the amount of food and liquid consumed in the alcoholic group.

The animals in the normal control group received food and water “ad libitum”.

All animals received the same solid diet (Nuvilab CR 1, NUVITAL) during the experimental study.

After the treatment period (120 days), the animals were killed for harvesting of the muscle slices: masseter (superficial portion), temporalis (anterior region) and rectus abdominal muscle. This last muscle was used as a control (the effect of alcohol in trunk muscle is well known).

The animals were killed using an overdose of the anesthetic pentobarbital (Hypnol), via intraperitoneal injection. The procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Sacred Heart University in Bauru, São Paulo.
Sections with 10μm thickness, transverse to the longitudinal axis of the fibers were obtained from the harvested slices. After that, the sections were submitted to mATPase (acid and alkaline pre incubation) and NADH-diaphorase reactions 3. Based on these reactions, the myofibers were classified as FG, FOG and SO 13.

Reactions obtained from m-ATPase and NADH-diaphorase were represented by using a ZEISS micrometric scale with 10x magnification in an OLYMPUS (model B MAX 50HI) microscope. The pictures were copied using an Olympus printer (model P-330N).

Identification and classification of the myofibers was done using the copies of the slices submitted to the NADH-diaphorase and m-ATPase reactions (alkaline and acid pH).

The squares were randomly chosen and 300 fibers were identified. Forty squares were measured for each slice per muscle in each animal.

The square was obtained by using an image analysis system, model Image-Pro Plus version 4.1 linked to a Pentium III computer.

Data were analyzed using analyses of variance (ANOVA) in order to establish whether there was a significant difference between the study groups and the studied muscles. The Tukey test was used where statistically significant differences were found in order to identify these differences.

RESULTS

The mandibular elevator muscles (masseter and temporalis) presented similar results in all study groups (Table 1).

The mean area and frequency of the myofibers in the masseter muscles, under histochemical criteria, is shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

With regard to the data about the different fiber types found in the masseter muscle, the statistical analysis (ANOVA) did not show any differences between groups. However, for the FG fibers the data showed closer statistically significant difference (p<0.05).

As regards the data about the frequency of the different fiber types observed in the masseter muscle, the statistical analysis (ANOVA) did not find any differences between groups.

The mean area and frequency of the different fiber types in the temporalis muscles are represented in Tables 4 and 5 respectively.

In the temporalis muscle, the statistical analysis (ANOVA) did not show a significant difference between the FG and FOG areas between groups. On the other hand, the data for the FG fibers are almost significantly different (p<0.05).

The frequency of the different fiber types observed in the temporalis muscle showed a statistically significant difference in FG and FOG fibers. The Tukey test identified differences between Groups N and A in both FG and FOG fibers.

The histochemical reactions of the myofibers in the rectus abdominal muscle were similar in all study groups. The results are represented in Table 6.

The area and frequency data of the different fiber types found in the rectus abdominal muscle in all study groups are presented in Tables 7 and 8 respectively.

As regards the data of the areas and different fiber types found in the rectus abdominal muscle, the statistical test (ANOVA) showed a significant difference between the groups only for FG fiber. Otherwise, the numbers of FOG fibers were near the statistically significant difference (p<0.05). The Tukey test showed that the difference found in the area of the FG fibers occurred between N and A, N and I and A and I groups.

With regard to the data about the frequency of the different fiber types found in the rectus abdominal muscle, the statistical analysis (ANOVA) showed significant difference between groups in both FG and FOG fibers. The Tukey test showed that this difference occurred between the N and I Groups in both FG and FOG fibers.

DISCUSSION

Several studies about the effects of alcohol on striated muscles can be found in the literature. Some studies were done in humans4,15,21,23 and others in animals, especially in rats14,15,26,27 (it has been indicated as a very good experimental model because it presents a selective myofiber type II atrophy, similar to the human). These studies were done using a day by day alcohol variable dosage. In the human studies, this dosage was between 50g19 and 240g21. Other authors claim that this dosage is variable between 100g and 400g21 a day. In rats, this dosage was variable between 36% consumed calories per day to 48.7% per day or a 25% (w/v) solution 27. In this study, a 25% (w/v) solution was used.

Selective atrophy of fiber type II according to alcohol utilization has been studied in the limbs and trunk muscles 4,6,14,15,20,23,27,28,29. These data are unknown in the masticatory muscles.

It is very well established that striated muscles respond to functional demand. Thus, the percentage and the area of the different myofibers in the masticatory muscles are variable in animals according to dietary behavior.

For the masseter and temporalis muscles, the literature on fiber types is controversial. This may be because the functional demand changes in relation to the nutritional behavior. It is well known that these muscles have internal compartments that have different tasks. In this study, the slices were always harvested in the same region to avoid errors in accordance with the literature6.

The present study found that the anterior portion of the rat temporalis muscle is mainly composed of fast myofibers (FG and FOG). This is in accordance with the other authors, who found less than 1% of slow myofibers18. There are few studies in the literature about the histochemical characteristics of the rat’s temporalis myofibers, especially
in normal and adult rats.

Among the masticatory muscles, the masseter muscle is the most extensively studied. This is probably because it is more superficial. Few papers address normal functional demand 18,26. The present results corroborated those of other authors that found that the masseter muscle is composed almost exclusively of fast myofibers (FG and FOG).

No papers were found about the effect of alcohol on the temporalis and masseter muscles. They are branchiomeric muscles. Therefore, the present study compared branchiomeric muscles with the myotonic trunk muscle.

In this research, the selective fast myofiber atrophy in the masticatory muscles studied was not significant between groups (N and A or N and I). On the other hand, in the rectus abdominal muscle, significant fast myofiber atrophy was observed. This result is similar to the myotonic muscles 4,14,15,23,27,28,29.

Some authors 1 claim that in rats, exercise exacerbates the alcoholic myopathies. If the masticatory process is considered as daily exercise, it could exacerbate the fast myofiber atrophy. This result was not found in the present study.

Some researchers 4,15 claim that type II fiber atrophy was caused by muscles not being frequently used. In this study the alcoholic rats ate less solid food compared to the other experimental animals, which leads one to think that the less active muscle was responsible for myofiber type II atrophy. However, other studies 8 concluded that low use is primarily associated with fiber type I atrophy. This was not observed in the present research.

As regards the effect of alcohol on the slow myofiber (Type I), the literature presents conflicting data. Some authors claim that this kind of myofiber is not affected by alcohol consumption 7,11,16; whereas others claim that fiber type I can develop some weak hypertrophy 20. Other authors observed atrophy over a long period in myofiber type I but always on a smaller scale than in type II 15. In the rectus abdominal muscle a reduction in the area of fiber type SO (I) was observed, although it was not significant between the normal group and the other two groups.

Some authors found that fast myofibers are more affected in the alcoholic situation with more significant diameter decrease (fibers type IIB – fast anaerobic glycolytic myofibers) 15,16,21,27. These observations are concordant with our observations.

Some data show that the consumption of alcohol can modulate the expression of myosin isofrom (MHC) in striated muscles, increasing the MHC expression type I and decreasing the MHC expression of types IIA and IIB 21. In our research the slow fibers (type I or SO) were found only in the rectus abdominal muscle. In this muscle type I (SO) fibers increased in Group A, although this difference was not significant.

Further studies should be done about the smaller decrease in the fast fibers area in branchiomeric muscles (masseter and temporalis) compared to somitic muscles (rectus abdominal muscle). What is the answer for this phenomenon? There may be different embryologic origins, different innervation, or different functional demand?

CONCLUSION

The data in the present study indicate that the effect of alcohol on the branchiomeric mandibular elevator muscles (masseter and temporalis) is different if compared to the somitic muscles (rectus abdominal muscle).
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TABLE 1- Histochemical results of the masseter and temporalis muscles submitted to the myosin ATPase and NADH-Tr staining in the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m ATPase (pH 10.3) Fig. 01</th>
<th>m ATPase (pH 4.65) Fig. 02</th>
<th>m ATPase (pH 4.5) Fig. 03</th>
<th>NADH-Tr Fig. 04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- FG fiber</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- FOG fiber</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1- Histochemical alkaline myosin ATPase (pH 10.3) staining of masseter and temporalis muscles. 1= FG fiber; 2= FOG fiber

FIGURE 2- Histochemical acid myosin ATPase (pH 4.65) staining of masseter and temporalis muscles. 1= FG fiber; 2= FOG fiber

FIGURE 3- Histochemical acid myosin ATPase (pH 4.45) staining of masseter and temporalis muscles. 1= FG fiber; 2= FOG fiber

FIGURE 4- Histochemical NADH-Tr staining of the masseter and temporalis muscles. 1= FG fiber; 2= FOG fiber

IS THERE MORPHOLOGICAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BRANCHOMERIC AND SOMITIC MUSCLES SUBMITTED TO ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION? AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY IN RATS (RATTUS NORVEGICUS)
### TABLE 2- Mean area (um²) of the different fiber types in the masseter muscle in the animals of the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibers</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Alcoholic</th>
<th>Isocaloric</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOG</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3- Frequency (%) of the different fiber types in the masseter muscle in the animals of the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibers</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Alcoholic</th>
<th>Isocaloric</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOG</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 4- Mean area (um²) of the different fiber types in the temporalis muscle in the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibers</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Alcoholic</th>
<th>Isocaloric</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOG</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 5- Frequency (%) of the different fiber types in the temporalis muscle in the animals of the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibers</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Alcoholic</th>
<th>Isocaloric</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>65.1a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>79.2b</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOG</td>
<td>34.9a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20.8b</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Groups with the same letter do not present statistically significant difference among them.
TABLE 6- Histochemical results of the rectus abdominal muscle submitted to the myosin ATPase and NADH-Tr staining in the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m ATPase (pH 10.6) Fig. 05</th>
<th>m ATPase (pH 4.6) Fig. 06</th>
<th>m ATPase (pH 4.45) Fig. 07</th>
<th>NADH-Tr Fig. 08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- SO fiber</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- FOG fiber</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- FG fiber</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ weak reactive</td>
<td>++ intermediate reactive</td>
<td>+++ strong reactive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 5- Histochemical alkaline myosin ATPase (pH 10.6) staining of rectus abdominal muscle. 1= SO fiber; 2= FOG fiber; 3= FG fiber

FIGURE 6- Histochemical acid myosin ATPase (pH 4.6) staining of rectus abdominal muscle. 1= SO fiber; 2= FOG fiber; 3= FG fiber

FIGURE 7- Histochemical acid myosin ATPase (pH 4.45) staining of rectus abdominal muscle. 1= SO fiber; 2= FOG fiber; 3= FG fiber

FIGURE 8- Histochemical NADH-Tr staining of rectus abdominal muscle. 1= SO fiber; 2= FOG fiber; 3= FG fiber
### TABLE 7- Mean area (μm²) of the different fiber types in the rectus abdominal muscle in the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibers</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Alcoholic</th>
<th>Isocaloric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>6.43a</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.31b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOG</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistical significant difference for the same letter numbers.

### TABLE 8- Frequency (%) of the different fiber types in the rectus abdominal muscle in the animals of the Normal, Alcoholic and Isocaloric groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibers</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Alcoholic</th>
<th>Isocaloric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>63.6a</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>60.9ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOG</td>
<td>22.3a</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>23.7ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistical significance difference for numbers with same letter.