Visibility of the mandibular canal on CBCT cross-sectional images
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ABSTRACT

The identification of the mandibular canal (MC) is an important prerequisite for surgical procedures involving the posterior mandible. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) represents an advance in imaging technology, but distinguishing the MC from surrounding structures may remain a delicate task. Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess the visibility of the MC in different regions on CBCT cross-sectional images. Material and methods: CBCT cross-sectional images of 58 patients (116 hemi-mandibles) were analyzed, and the visibility of the MC in different regions was assessed. Results: The MC was clearly visible in 53% of the hemi-mandibles. Difficult and very difficult visualizations were registered in 25% and 22% of the hemi-mandibles, respectively. The visibility of the MC on distal regions was superior when compared to regions closer to the mental foramen. No differences were found between edentulous and tooth-bearing areas. Conclusions: The MC presents an overall satisfactory visibility on CBCT cross-sectional images in most cases. However, the discrimination of the canal from its surrounds becomes less obvious towards the mental foramen region when cross-sectional images are individually analyzed.
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INTRODUCTION

The identification of the mandibular canal (MC) is of fundamental importance for preoperative planning of surgical procedures involving the posterior mandible²,⁷,¹⁰. Depiction of the MC on imaging examinations is a requirement for endosseous implants surgeries, since the available height of the edentulous site is determined by the distance between the alveolar ridge and the MC².

Several imaging modalities have been used to assess the course of the MC, including panoramic radiography²,⁹,¹⁴,¹⁷, conventional tomography⁹, computed tomography (CT)¹¹,¹⁴, and the most recently introduced cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT)⁶,¹⁰,¹⁷. Compared to conventional two-dimensional techniques, CBCT imaging presents as main advantages the elimination of superimposition of neighboring structures, and absence of image magnification. Furthermore, CBCT presents short scanning time, and radiation dose up to 15 times lower than multislice CT (MSCT)¹⁶. The technology is becoming increasingly more available in dental and radiological practices²,⁶-⁸,¹¹-¹³,¹⁶-¹⁷,¹⁹.

The identification of the MC is a delicate task. The radiographic appearance usually involves a radiolucent zone lined by superior and inferior borders. The cortication of the canal is variable, which may explain why in some cases the MC is not well-visualized¹-⁵,¹³-¹⁴,¹⁸. CBCT has been shown to be superior to conventional imaging modalities for the depiction of the MC, however the visibility of this structure may vary significantly, even within the same individual¹-⁵,⁷,¹⁸. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the visibility of the MC on CBCT cross-sectional images in different regions of the mandible.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fifty-eight CBCT exams from patients referred to the Oral Imaging Clinic at Bauru School of Dentistry were randomly selected. The sample was composed of 33 females and 25 males with mean age of 47 years. Patients presenting edentulous regions in the posterior mandible with history of recent tooth extraction (within 5 years) were not included.

CBCT imaging was performed (i-CAT, Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, Pennsylvania, USA) with voxel size 0.3 mm, exposure cycle of 20 s. Cross-sectional images perpendicular to the occlusal plane were reformatted (0.3 mm thickness) using the software i-CAT Vision. A total of 116 hemi-mandibles were examined by one experienced calibrated Oral and Maxillofacial radiologist on a 20" monitor (Eizo Flexscan, Eizo Nanao Corporation, Ishikawa, Japan). The visibility of the MC on the cross-sectional images was assessed in six mandibular regions: distal to third molar (D3M), third molar (3M), second molar (2M), first molar (1M), second premolar (2PM), and just distal to the mental foramen (MF). Consecutive cross-sectional views were examined for each region. The observations were repeated twice, with at least one month time interval between them.

The visibility of the MC was registered as either positive or negative (possible or not possible, respectively, to undoubtedly differentiate the canal from surroundings, e.g. marrow spaces, bony lesions) (Figure 1). The scores of the regions were then clustered together so that each hemi-mandible received an overall visibility score: E (Easy identification of the MC - 5 or 6 positive scores), D (difficult identification – 3 or 4 positive scores), and VD (very difficult identification – 0-2 positive scores).

Information about missing teeth was also recorded. Regions 3M, 2M, 1M and, 2PM were additionally grouped as either “dentate” or “edentulous”, and the visibility of the MC for those groups was then registered. Descriptive statistical analysis was applied to the data. Mann-Whitney test was applied to test differences between dentate and edentulous groups, as well as eventual difference between right and left sides. The intra-observer agreement was calculated by Kappa index. This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of São Paulo – Bauru School of Dentistry.

RESULTS

The visibility of the MC was registered as E (Easy identification – i.e. positive visibility scores for 5-6 regions) in 53% of the hemi-mandibles (62/116). D and VD scores were recorded in 25% (29/116) and 22% (25/116) of the hemi-mandibles, respectively. The percentages of hemi-mandibles that showed a positive visibility for the MC, according to the mandibular region, are shown in Table 1. There was not a statistically significant difference between right and left sides (Mann-Whitney, p>0.05).

Among regions 3M, 2M, 1M and 2PM 202 regions were classified as “dentate”, whilst 262 were “edentulous”. Positive visibility of the MC was found for 65% of the dentate regions (131/202). Similarly, 68% of the edentulous regions (179/262) showed positive visibility. Kappa index for intra-observer agreement was 0.88 (almost perfect).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Positive Visibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D3M</td>
<td>87.9% (102/116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M</td>
<td>74.1% (86/116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>67.2% (78/116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M</td>
<td>66.4% (77/116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PM</td>
<td>62.9% (73/116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>64.7% (75/116)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The introduction of new imaging technologies has allowed the visualization of anatomical structures in different plans without image superimposition. CBCT is a promising technique for the detailed evaluation of important bony structures, providing diagnostic images with good resolution while demanding relatively low radiation dose. The quality of the image and the contrast between adjacent structures are important factors in the reliability of the identification of different landmarks. The accuracy of multi-slice CT in the analysis of important anatomical landmarks, such as the mandibular canal pathway, has been shown. CBCT has been attested as well suited for imaging of the maxillofacial region, displaying high contrast, thus extremely useful for assessing bone. Cancellous bone is more sharply visualized in the cross-sectional images of CBCT than Spiral CT.

In the present study, the identification of the MC on CBCT cross-sectional images was considered a relatively easy task (i.e. the canal could be visualized and discriminated from surroundings in nearly every region throughout its extension) in 53% of the hemi-mandibles. However, in 47% of the hemi-mandibles the identification of the MC was not as readily feasible, which indicates that deciding which hypodense area corresponds to the actual MC on CBCT cross-sectional images is not always so evident.

Although the MC has been described as a radiolucent zone lined by radiopaque borders on radiographs, distinct bony-walled channels with definite borders do not seem to be a regular feature. Carter & Keen (1970) studied the intramandibular course of the inferior alveolar nerve and noted that in a number of cases, vessels and nerve branches may be spread out so that a distinct bone canal is not present. Neurovascular components may course through the mandible as a single entity or as a plexus, presenting a range of different-sized bundles, which do not necessarily travel within a bony canal from the mandibular foramen to the mental foramen.

The MC walls are usually not formed by compact bone. Instead, they are composed of a coalescence of trabecular bone, ranging from dense to very delicate structures. Additionally, the trabeculation varies among individuals and also among different locations in the mandible. In the present study, the MC was readily visible on CBCT cross-sectional images of more posterior regions (3M and D3M). Visibility decreased towards the mental foramen. These findings are in accordance with those previous studies that found more unreliable radiographic visibility of the MC near the mental foramen due to the lack of definite walls in the anterior portion of the canal. The posterior segment of the MC, i.e. closer to the mandibular foramen and extending apical to the third molar region, is usually more identifiable due to increased density of its walls.

Similarly, Angelopoulos, et al. (2008) compared digital and conventional panoramic radiographs and CBCT reformatted panoramic images in the delineation of the MC course in different areas of the mandible. CBCT was found superior to the other modalities for such task, regardless of the location. The posterior third of the MC was best visualized on all tested modalities, followed by the median third (rated second), and anterior third of the canal.

Even though CBCT images may present more suitable images for the appreciation of the MC, the identification of this structure seems to be more linked to the bone density of its walls. The visibility of the MC may be more dependable on anatomic features of the canal itself than on the technique used. Thus, it seems reasonable that if the MC is not well visualized in one technically satisfactory exam, some degree of difficulty should be expected in the identification of the canal on other imaging modalities.

Moreover, it has been suggested that the neurovascular bundle in the posterior regions is usually in contact with and makes a discrete depression in the lingual cortical plate, which may also account for a better depiction of that portion of the MC on radiographs. On reformatted cross-sectional CT images, grooving of the endosteal surface of the lingual cortical plate may be the only guide to the location of the MC when corticated walls are not identifiable.

Dissections have indicated that edentulous mandibular regions may present a reduction in size of the neurovascular bundle and the blood vessels are more difficult to be identified than in dentate mandibles. However, the presence or absence of teeth did not seem to influence the visibility of the MC on CBCT cross-sectional images in this study, since the MC could be clearly visualized in around two thirds of both dentate and edentulous regions. Although some degree of bilateral asymmetry may be expected for mandibular structures, statistically significant differences on visibility of the MC between right and left sides were not found.

Lofthag-Hansen, et al. (2008) evaluated the visibility of the MC and alveolar ridge on cross-sectional CBCT images. The visibility of the marginal crest of the alveolar ridge was considered superior compared to the MC. When assessing only one predetermined cross-sectional image, observers marked the MC as “clearly visible” only in one third of the cases. The visibility increased when raters had access to more images. This result points out
for the importance of assessing every sequential image available in order to improve the localization of the MC.

CONCLUSIONS

The MC presented an overall satisfactory visibility on CBCT cross-sectional images in over half of the hemi-mandibles evaluated in this study. However, the discrimination of the canal from its surrounds became increasingly less clear towards the mental foramen region. Visibility of the MC clearly increased on cross-sectional images of more distal regions of the canal. Differences in visibility of the MC on CBCT cross-sectional images between edentulous and tooth-bearing regions were not found.
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