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Abstract
High frequency of pauses during intermittent locomotion of small South American gymnophthalmid lizards (Squamata, Gymnophthalmidae). We studied the locomotor behavior of two closely-related species of Gymnophthalmini lizards, Vanzosaura rubricauda and Procellosaurus tetradactylus, that was imaged under laboratory conditions at a rate of 250 frames/s with a high-speed video camera (MotionScope PCI 1000) on four different substrates with increasing degrees of roughness (smooth perspex, cardboard, glued sand, and glued gravel). Vanzosaura rubricauda and P. tetradactylus are both characterized by intermittent locomotion, with pauses occurring with high frequency and having a short duration (from 1/10 to 1/3 s), and taking place in rhythmic locomotion in an organized fashion during all types of gaits and on different substrates. The observed variations in duration and frequency of pauses suggest that in V. rubricauda mean pause duration is shorter and pause frequency is higher than in P. tetradactylus. The intermittent locomotion observed in V. rubricauda and P. tetradactylus imaging at 250 frames/s is probably of interest for neurobiologists. In the review of possible determinants, the phylogenetic relationships among the species of the tribe Gymnophthalmini are focused.
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Introduction
Vertebrates can move with continuous locomotion, but they are able to suddenly change their speed or to completely come to a halt, thus introducing pauses between bursts of motion, which defines the specific pattern of intermittent locomotion that takes place “when the force an animal exerts to move itself through space is applied discontinuously and the pauses last longer than the non-powered phase of a single cycle of limb movement” (Kramer and McLaughlin 2001). This pattern occurs in terrestrial, aquatic, and aerial locomotion, but
terrestrial organisms engaged in this discontinuous system of progression typically would come to a complete stop during the non-powered phase.

In lizards, examples of intermittent locomotion are observed in viviparous forms, such as *Lacerta vivipara* (Avery et al. 1987, Avery and Bond 1989, Avery 1993), which spend 10-50% of their time in pause, its range depending on activity level, environmental complexity, and physical parameters. Individuals would change their patterns of intermittent locomotion as they face new substrate constraints in their natural habitat. Foraging includes an increase of pauses (up to 75% of the time) and a decrease of both motion periods and speed. In a study on the effects of recent feeding on the locomotor performance of juvenile *Psammodromus algirus*, Martin (1996) noticed that the individuals moved at lower speeds during bursts of locomotion after feeding, and also decreased the frequency of pauses. These pauses increase the chance of lizards visually perceiving prey or predators while walking (Avery et al. 1987, Avery 1993). When no longer displaying interest in further prey, pauses to search for prey are not needed anymore. In addition, since at this stage lizards are moving at a very slow speed, they could probably detect predators without being entirely still.

The possibility that pauses enhance recovery from fatigue was also proposed, but the physiological mechanisms concerned with it are not fully understood. Weinstein and Full (1999) showed that intermittent locomotion increases endurance in lizards. Gleeson and Hancock (2001) noted that the movement of lizards by a series of frequent bursts of locomotion may be no more expensive than continuous locomotion. The benefits of intermittent locomotion are probably dependent on the duration of the periods of movement and of the pause bouts. Kramer and McLaughlin (2001), in their review of the determining factors of these pauses, proposed the stabilization of the sensory fields. Avery (1993) showed that lizards were less likely to detect prey while moving, where vision and other senses are concerned. Avery et al. (1987) and Colishaw and Avery (1991) noted that when environments were visually more complex and prey more difficult to detect, foraging pause durations increased and movement distances decreased. Moving objects create sensorial stimuli associated with an increased probability of detection by both prey and predators. In this context, unpredictable movements or interruption of continuous progression create perturbations of the signal of detection, so that irregularity of occurrence and duration of pauses probably constitute a favorable strategy. Kramer and McLaughlin (2001) state that research in this area is just beginning.

Many questions concerning continuous versus intermittent locomotion remain unanswered. For example: (1) Have the pauses a regular or an irregular rhythm (frequency and duration)? (2) Are pauses effectively occurring during the non-powered phase of the limb cycle? (3) What is the effect of the substrate on pauses? (4) Do these pauses correspond to a phylogenetic feature?

Here we propose to study pause characteristics during intermittent locomotion in two closely related species: *Vanzosaura rubricauda* (Boulenger, 1902) and *Procellosaurinus tetractylus* Rodrigues, 1991. Among the Gymnophthalmidae, the tribe Gymnophthalmini (Pellegrino et al. 2001) is composed of eight genera including fully limbed as well as reduced limbed forms (Rodrigues 1991a, 1995, 1996). The limbed *V. rubricauda* and *P. tetractylus* are sibling genera (Rodrigues 1995, Pellegrino et al. 2001, Benozzati and Rodrigues 2003). *Vanzosaura rubricauda* is a widespread habitat generalist that occurs in several distinct physionomies and soil types. It is widely distributed in open areas, occurring in the Brazilian cerrados and caatingas, and in the Chaco. It is diurnal and its natural substrates include gravel, leaf litter, and sandy soils (Rodrigues 1991c, 1995, 1996). Comparatively, *P. tetractylus* is endemic to
Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo. One specimen of *Psilophthalmus paeminosus* Rodrigues, 1991 (Rodrigues 1991b) (total length: 8.2 cm) from Santo Inácio, Bahia, Brazil, was filmed for comparison to the other species. It was kept in a terrarium at the Reptile Sector of the Fundação Parque Zoológico de São Paulo.

Most studies dealing with locomotion in lizards are based on larger animals than the microteiid here presented (e.g., Higham and Jayne 2004, Kohlsdorf et al. 2004). On practical grounds, it is impossible to deal in nature with locomotion parameters of such small animals as *V. rubricata* and *P. tetradactylus*. The research was therefore undertaken under laboratory controlled conditions using methods that were adapted to deal with the small size of the animals reported here. Treadmills (Reilly and Delancey 1997a,b, Van Damme et al. 1998), for instance, could not be used here.

Specimens placed inside a large plastic box (40 × 50 cm) were imaged at 250 frames/s (field: 30 × 40 cm) with a MotionScope PCI 1000 high-speed video camera set on tripod; the animals were placed on four different substrates with increasing degrees of roughness (smooth perspex, cardboard, glued sand, and glued gravel). A 1 cm scale was included in the field of view as a reference for the calculation of distances. Room temperature (ca. 26ºC) was kept as close as possible to average temperatures prevailing in the specimens’ habitats. The specimens were returned to terraria between filming sequences to reduce the stress produced in psammophilous animals by artificial lighting. They were imaged after having fasted for around two hours to avoid the effects of recent feeding on locomotor performance.

When the animals were placed inside the box, we observed a waiting time of adaptation to the new conditions before filming (from 10 to 20 min, according to the specimen). After frequent and fast escape trials around the walls of the box, the animals generally pass through a motionless phase before an exploring behavior.

---

**Material and Methods**

Five adults *Vanzosa rubricauda* (mean total length: 7.6 cm [7.7, 7.1, 8.0, 7.8, 7.4 cm]) were used: two from Ibiraba, Bahia, one from Porto Primavera, Santa Rita do Pardo, and two from Aquidauana, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Three adults *Procellosaurinus tetradactylus* (mean total length: 6.2 cm [5.9, 6.2, 6.5 cm]) were studied from Alagoado, Bahia, Brazil. After collection, the specimens were kept in terraria at the Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo. One specimen of *Psilophthalmus paeminosus* Rodrigues, 1991 (Rodrigues 1991b) (total length: 8.2 cm) from Santo Inácio, Bahia, Brazil, was filmed for comparison to the other species. It was kept in a terrarium at the Reptile Sector of the Fundação Parque Zoológico de São Paulo.

Most studies dealing with locomotion in lizards are based on larger animals than the microteiid here presented (e.g., Higham and Jayne 2004, Kohlsdorf et al. 2004). On practical grounds, it is impossible to deal in nature with locomotion parameters of such small animals as *V. rubricata* and *P. tetradactylus*. The research was therefore undertaken under laboratory controlled conditions using methods that were adapted to deal with the small size of the animals reported here. Treadmills (Reilly and Delancey 1997a,b, Van Damme et al. 1998), for instance, could not be used here.

Specimens placed inside a large plastic box (40 × 50 cm) were imaged at 250 frames/s (field: 30 × 40 cm) with a MotionScope PCI 1000 high-speed video camera set on tripod; the animals were placed on four different substrates with increasing degrees of roughness (smooth perspex, cardboard, glued sand, and glued gravel). A 1 cm scale was included in the field of view as a reference for the calculation of distances. Room temperature (ca. 26ºC) was kept as close as possible to average temperatures prevailing in the specimens’ habitats. The specimens were returned to terraria between filming sequences to reduce the stress produced in psammophilous animals by artificial lighting. They were imaged after having fasted for around two hours to avoid the effects of recent feeding on locomotor performance.

When the animals were placed inside the box, we observed a waiting time of adaptation to the new conditions before filming (from 10 to 20 min, according to the specimen). After frequent and fast escape trials around the walls of the box, the animals generally pass through a motionless phase before an exploring behavior.
and sequences of more or less fast crossing of the box, often along its main diagonal axis. These long crossings represent the studied sequences.

From 179 sequences captured we selected 88 showing a straight locomotion pattern. Each sequence included five to 30 limb cycles. To establish gait diagrams the sequences were analyzed frame by frame using SigmaScan image processing software running on a PC computer and data saved in Excel. Gait diagrams were generated using the method of Marey (1891), in which successive time contact intervals (time that a foot is on the ground) of the four limbs along a sequence of motion were expressed. This quantifies the relative duration of stance and swing phases of the four legs.

The gaits were defined according to Hildebrand (1966) as symmetrical (walk, pace, and trot), when the footfalls of two legs of a pair (anterior and posterior pairs) are evenly spaced in time. Two quantitative parameters define these distinct symmetrical gaits: the contact interval (or percentage of cycle that each foot is on the ground) and the percentage of the cycle that a fore footfall follows the hind footfall on the same side of the body (Hildebrand 1966). The first parameter represents speed because it varies with the rate of locomotion. The second relates the action of one pair of feet to that of the other. In the pace two legs on the same side of the body move in phase. In the trot, diagonally opposite legs (RF, right fore limb, and LH, left hind limb) (LF, left fore limb, and RH, right hind limb) are in phase. The walk is characterized by successive footfalls of the four feet equally spaced in time. The walk and the walking trot use a lateral sequence when the fore footfall follows the hind footfall on the same side of the body. Also, the same gaits use a diagonal sequence when the fore footfall follows that of the hind footfall on the opposite side of the body.

The timing and duration of pauses are indicated on each gait diagram along the sequence of motion. Only pauses occurring during displacement were used to construct gait diagrams. Different pause patterns were recognized in gait diagrams based on the moment at which pauses intervened during limb motions. On each sequence, for each specimen, the duration of each pause was measured and subtracted from the stance duration phase of each limb to have the true limb period (successive stance and swing phases) and the limb frequency (inverse function of the period). This method also permitted calculating a true speed or active velocity (cm/s) as the product of stride length and limb frequency. In addition, for all sequences and substrates the following were calculated: (a) number of pauses in a sequence, (b) distance covered in centimeters, (c) total duration of each displacement in seconds, (d) total duration of pauses in seconds (or pause duration) obtained by addition of all the pauses of the sequence, (e) duration of each active displacement, calculated by the difference c-d, (f) average speed including pauses, (g) average speed excluding pauses, or active speed, (h) pause frequency as the number of pauses per second (number of pauses divided by total displacement time, a/c), and (i) mean pause duration as the time of pause per second of displacement, a/c.

Calculations were performed and graphs were prepared using Excel 2002 (Microsoft Software) and Prism 3.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). For both animals, in order to verify the existence of relationships between the sequence duration, as dependent variable, and the pause duration, as independent variable, we used standard least-square techniques of regression analysis (values for F and P). To examine the relationship between number of pauses, as dependent variable, and active speed, as independent variable, for each type of substrate, we used a log transformation before estimating the least-square regression equations. For each regression, we provided the value of the coefficient of correlation and the estimation equation, derived using standard software.

Since the duration of the limb cycle varied
with speed as well as with substrates, we used a theoretical model to show the timing of pauses in relation to limb coordination. This model, using the classical representation of gaits (Hildebrand 1966), is based on fictitious units of time, to adapted to each type of pause and limb coordination pattern.

Results

Patterns of Gaits (Limb Coordination)

Vanzosaura rubricauda and Procellosaurinus tetradactylus have nearly the same body proportions: 16% of total length corresponding to the distance between the extremity of the snout and the root of the fore limbs, 19.5% (P. tetradactylus) and 21% (V. rubricauda) to the distance between girdles, and the rest to the tail. Both species are characterized by intermittent locomotion and few cycles were unaffected by pause phases. In V. rubricauda, only 64 of the 879 cycles analyzed lacked pauses as did 14 of the 239 cycles in P. tetradactylus. Pauses occur during the trot and walking trot (Figure 1), or the walk, whatever the sequence duration and displacement speed.

On all four substrates, individuals of both species presented gaits ranging from trot to pace through walking trot, characterized by a lateral sequence (sensu Hildebrand 1966). The active displacements corresponding to these gaits were slow for both species (from 2 to 42 cm s⁻¹) according to the size of studied lizards. However, V. rubricauda is generally faster (range: 2 to 42 cm s⁻¹) than P. tetradactylus (range: 3 to 27 cm s⁻¹). It is important to emphasize that these very small animals have the same body proportions and size. Therefore absolute speed can be directly compared.

Frequency and Duration of Pauses

On all substrates, total pause duration shows a linear increase with total duration of the displacement sequence for both V. rubricauda ($r^2 = 0.85$, $F = 365.8$, $P < 10^{-6}$) and P. tetradactylus ($r^2 = 0.86$, $F = 116.7$, $P < 10^{-6}$) (Figure 2). This relationship is not obvious because it expresses that the pauses were regular and closely related to rhythmic activity of limbs. During a sequence of displacement, the number of pauses decreases with the increase of active speed (Figure 3) in both species, except curiously for the substrates corresponding to more natural conditions: gravel for V. rubricauda (non-significant test: $r^2$-0, $F = 2.08 \times 10^5$, $P = 0.99$) and sand for P. tetradactylus (non-significant test: $r^2$-0, $F = 0.76$, $P = 0.094$). Under these latter conditions, and in spite of the previous global correlation (Figure 2), the number of pauses does not seem clearly related to active speed. The statistic test is significant on cardboard ($r^2 = 0.58$, $F = 44.95$, $P < 10^{-6}$), on
On all substrates, *V. rubricauda* showed a higher pause frequency comparatively to *P. tetradactylus* (Figure 4). In the former species, this frequency reaches the highest value on sand and the lowest on perspex. In the latter species, this frequency is more elevated on sand. In the same manner, the pause duration of *P. tetradactylus* is longer than in the displacement of *V. rubricauda*. In *P. tetradactylus* this pause duration is longest on cardboard and shortest on sand, whereas the situation is the reverse for *V. rubricauda*. We must also note the great variability of data on sand, particularly for *V. rubricauda*, in agreement with the previous results (Figure 3). These results suggest different patterns for each species: shorter pause duration on sand (\(r^2 = 0.75, F = 42.13, P < 10^{-6}\)) and on perspex (\(r^2 = 0.72, F = 38.23, P < 10^{-6}\)) for *V. rubricauda*. It is also the case for *P. tetradactylus* on cardboard (\(r^2 = 0.84, F = 32.15, P = 0.00007\)) and gravel (\(r^2 = 0.71, F = 12.33, P = 0.004\). On perspex the relation showed to be non-significant, probably due to the small number of available data of the experiment (\(r^2 = 0.47, F = 0.86, P = 0.422\)).

On all substrates, *V. rubricauda* showed a higher pause frequency comparatively to *P. tetradactylus* (Figure 4). In the former species, this frequency reaches the highest value on sand and the lowest on perspex. In the latter species, this frequency is more elevated on sand. In the same manner, the pause duration of *P. tetradactylus* is longer than in the displacement of *V. rubricauda*. In *P. tetradactylus* this pause duration is longest on cardboard and shortest on sand, whereas the situation is the reverse for *V. rubricauda*. We must also note the great variability of data on sand, particularly for *V. rubricauda*, in agreement with the previous results (Figure 3). These results suggest different patterns for each species: shorter pause duration
with higher pause frequency for *Vanzosaura rubricauda* and longer pause duration with lower pause frequency for *Procellosaurinus tetradactylus*.

### Timing of Pauses in Relation to Limb Coordination

On all substrates, pauses occur at precise moments in the coordination of the four limbs, according to the gaits and displacement speed. A theoretical model allowed for synthesizing the data and specifying the start and stop of pauses in relation to the beginning and end of the phases (stance and swing) of the cycle of the four limbs (Figure 5).

In the trot (for 94% of the cases), these pauses began with the touchdown of diagonal limbs (LF/RH), when the opposite diagonal limbs (LH/RF) was also previously in contact, and ended at the takeoff of these latter diagonal limbs (LH/RF) (Figure 5A,B; pattern 1). Occasionally (for 4% of the cases), the stance duration of a diagonal pair of limbs (LH/RF) can be interrupted by the contact phase of the opposite diagonal pair (LF/RH) (Figure 5C,D; pattern 2). These two patterns (1 and 2) were sometimes (for 2% of the cases) combined during the stance of diagonal limbs (Figure 5E).

In the walk or walking trot (Figure 5F; pattern 3) (for 86% of the cases), a pause began with the touchdown of one hind limb (LH) and ended with the takeoff of the fore limb on the same side of the body (LF). Sometimes, two pauses, following this pattern, intervened during stance of diagonal limbs (Figure 5G) (for 10% of the cases). The first pause, for example, began with the touchdown of the left hind limb (LH) and ended with the takeoff of the left fore limb (LF). The second began with the touchdown of the right hind limb (RH) and ended with the takeoff of the right fore limb (RF). A special case (Figure 5H) (for 4% of the cases) occurred when one of the fore limbs presented an additional contact phase during the stance for other limbs.

**Figure 5** - Theoretical representation of the pause patterns in diverse mode of coordination of the four limbs, trot, walking trot and transitional gaits. LH and RH, left and right hind limbs; LF and RF, left and right fore limbs. Bars represent stance phases: black bars, hind limbs; grey bars, fore limbs. Vertical lines delimit the periods of pause. The horizontal regular graduations of fictitious units indicate a theoretical time.
In transitional gaits, when coordination of limbs within each diagonal is inverted and the animal is in a temporary non-symmetrical regime, the place of pause slightly differs. The pause begins with the touchdown of one hind limb and ends with the takeoff of the other. Under these conditions, pauses also begin with the touchdown of one fore limb and stop with the takeoff of the other (Figure 5I; pattern 4). Sometimes, a pause was started with the touchdown of a limb of a diagonal pair, and stopped with the takeoff of the other limb of the same pair. This pause interrupted the stance of the limbs of the other diagonal pairs (Figure 5J).

A double S-bend in the body axis favors use of the walking trot and trot. Pauses were always seen to occur when the trunk was fully extended between two opposite flexions, coinciding with more or less synchronous movements of each diagonal pair of limbs.

Vanzosaura rubricauda used all patterns on cardboard, gravel, and sand, although pattern 2 was not utilized to a significant extent on perspex. Procelsosaurus tetradsactylus mainly shows patterns 1 and 2, scarcely using pattern 3 on sand and pattern 4 on gravel.

**Phylogenetical Data**

The morphofunctional similarity expressed by the gait and pause patterns in both species could reflect phylogenetic relations among quadrupedal forms of the tribe Gymnophthalmini (Rodrigues 1995, 1996, Pellegrino et al. 2001, Benozzati and Rodrigues 2003) (Figure 6). Further evidence is provided by Psilophthalmus paeminosus, which also belongs to this group (Pellegrino et al. 2001), and presents pause patterns similar to those of V. rubricauda and P. tetradsactylus. However, because only one specimen of P. paeminosus could be filmed, it was not possible to establish locomotion parameters for the species. Moreover, Micrablepharus maximiliani, which had been studied previously (Renous et al. 1999), also presents intermittent locomotion on sand and gravel, albeit less organized in that pause frequency and highly variable duration. In comparison, Colobosaura modesta (Renous et al. 1999), a heterodactyline (Pellegrino et al. 2001), presents locomotion without pauses. In two other species of Gymnophthalmini (Nothobachia ablephara and Calyptommatus leiolepis), with a distinct reduction of locomotor limbs, the relative functioning of limbs and vertebral axis appears to be different in that the vertebral axis predominates by acting as the main driver of locomotion to the detriment of quadrupedal specialization (Renous et al. 1995, 1998).

**Figure 6** - Cladogram of genera in Gymnophthalminae lizards (based on Rodrigues 1995, Benozzati and Rodrigues 2003) with the number of species in brackets. The asterisk (*) indicates the genera with species studied as to locomotion; I, species with intermittent locomotion; A, species with appendicular reduction and locomotion based on axial propulsion.
Intermittent locomotion of small South American lizards (Squamata, Gymnophthalmidae)

Discussion

This study describes an original locomotor behavior in Gymnophthalmid lizards, and documents relationships of motor coordination of the four limbs and characteristics of pauses.

Are the pauses of Vanzosaura rubricauda and Procellosaurinus tetradactylus similar to the pauses of the other lizards? This question is essential and we must try to answer it. Interruptions during displacement in lizards have been observed a great many times (M. T. Rodrigues, pers. com.), but they consist of longer pauses during locomotion, of the kind also observed in V. rubricauda and P. tetradactylus. Effectively, pause duration in intermittent locomotion observed in lizards by previous papers (Avery et al. 1987, Avery and Bond 1989, Avery 1993) is considerably higher (about 10 s according to Weinstein and Full 1999) than those seen in V. rubricauda and P. tetradactylus (lower than 1 s). The use of a high speed video camera running at 250 frames/s permitted an accurate investigation of these high frequency pauses. We must add that the animals studied are not in the size range of lizards analyzed in these previously cited papers. The high frequency pauses described here could be in relationship to the very small size of the lizards. To verify this hypothesis, a similar study should be done with other forms, for example, Australian or African species of Scincidae (e.g. Carlia spp.), which have similar morphological and ecological adaptations.

Are pauses correlated to limb movement in locomotion? In both species, V. rubricauda and P. tetradactylus, the linear increase of total pause duration with the total duration of the sequence of progression probably furnishes the first proof of a relationship with rhythmic activity of limbs. Indeed, this relation suggests that a particular phase of the four limbs coordination is disrupted by a pause, regularly along the sequence of displacement. Pauses are regular and random-inserted in the rhythmic activity of the four limbs. The number of pauses decreasing with the increase of speed provides another proof of this previous relationship. Pause-rhythmic limb activity also decreases, probably due to the stance duration (Duty Factor) of each limb, and pauses often occur at the end of this phase. The non-significant correlation coefficient found between number of pauses and active speed on rather natural substrates for V. rubricauda (gravel) and P. tetradactylus (sand) could indicate the existence of a different functional dependence (e.g., a non-linear association pattern) between the parameters. A preferential use of one of the determinant factors of speed (speed resulting from limb frequency and stride length) could be the motive. Effectively, P. tetradactylus, endemic of relicual dunes and sandy soils of the banks of the São Francisco River, further increases its stride length on sand comparative to other substrates, where limb frequency is preferred.

Do the pauses occur during the non-powered phase of the limb activity? It is difficult to answer affirmatively. In the trot, in pattern 1, when pauses start with the touchdown of a pair of diagonal limbs and stop with the takeoff of the opposite diagonal pair, they determine the limit of the stance and swing phases. Because stance is at its beginning or its end, we may consider that the limbs are not in full propulsive conditions. In pattern 2, for one pair of diagonal limbs, the pause can represent an “accident” during the swing phase. However, this concerns the powered stance phase of the other pair of diagonal limbs. It is the same for the diverse associations of these previous patterns. In the walking trot, contrary to the trot, pauses occur during the non-powered phase for only one or two limbs.

Pauses certainly occur in the same manner in both species in relation to rhythmic limb activity and coordination of limb movements. Pause patterns are determined by patterns of gait, being introduced by touchdown for a hind limb, either in phase (trot) with or out of phase with (walking trot and walk) the diagonal fore limb. Takeoff of an ipsilateral fore limb interrupts the pause and reintroduces active locomotion.
Ipsilateral limb motion might not be the only factor responsible for pause introduction and regulation: the vertebral axis may also play a role, since the body curvature in the horizontal plane favors the achievement of stride length among lizards (Jenkins and Goslow 1983). The double S-bend, all the more conspicuous in longer trunks, favors the use of the trot (Pridmore 1994 [1995]). Extension of the vertebral axis separates successive flexions in opposite directions and corresponds to the intervention of each diagonal pair of limbs. The pause that occurs during extension ceases when another flexion begins.

We can probably find one of the determinants of this motionless stage in a process of forward progression in the motor control of the movements of the axial osteomuscular system. It is also possible that this control introduces a temporary inhibition of the motor frameworks governing the rhythmicity and the coordination of the limbs. This is a question worth studying and of interest to neurobiologists.

Why are there original patterns of pauses in the studied species? The difference of styles observed for the pauses between both species, i.e., shorter pause duration with higher pause frequency in *Vanzosaura rubricauda* and longer pause duration with lower pause frequency in *Procellosaurus tetradactylus*, could suggest different strategies in behavior and physiological functions: system of signalization or defence, visual perception of the environment, metabolic requirements and pulmonary ventilation.

In a general review of intermittent locomotion Kramer and McLaughlin (2001) concluded that this discontinuous mode of locomotion requires a greater expenditure of energy than the continuous mode, owing to the deceleration and acceleration entailed by pauses. It may even become disadvantageous when the pause and displacement phases are very short. Maybe it is for this reason that the number of pauses decreases in *V. rubricauda* and *P. tetradactylus* with the increase in speed. However, Gleeson and Hancock (2001) show that metabolic energy expenditure in lizards and rodents due to intermittent locomotion are less per unit distance covered than estimated expenditure for continuous displacement. The gait of these vertebrates suggests that it is not more costly to go from one point to another in a series of short bursts than to do so all at once by regular displacement. Kramer and McLaughlin (2001) believe these pauses may be an ill-understood means of recovering from fatigue or possibly of stabilizing the visual field. A recent study of Trouilloud *et al.* (2004) showed that “similarities between pausing and head raising in chipmunks suggested that pausing during locomotion also serves to increase vigilance” by a stabilization of the visual field, in order to facilitate the detection of moving objects. Avery (1993) showed that lizards are less able to detect the approach of prey when in movement. Generally speaking, displacement may reduce the ability of information sensing systems to discern disturbances in a complex environmental field. Indeed, according to Kramer and McLaughlin (2001), the duration of pauses and active locomotion phases decreases as the environment becomes more complex. Rapid changes in direction between pauses are facilitated by a walking trot with lateral sequences, which greatly enhance the opportunities for maneuvering (Hildebrand 1985).

Are the pauses in relation to ventilation? We know that the respiratory cycles of lizards are influenced by locomotion, as shown by research on *Varanus exanthematicus* and *Iguana iguana* (e.g., Mitchell *et al.* 1981, Wang *et al.* 1997). According to Carrier (1987, 1989, 1990), lung ventilation and the action of hypaxial muscles gradually decrease during locomotion. The lizard species analyzed here, *Vanzosaura rubricauda* and *Procellosaurus tetradactylus*, are considerably smaller than *V. exanthematicus* and *I. iguana*, and therefore consume far more energy (if we refer to energy consumption by gram of tissue). However, without data about this subject regarding *V. rubricauda* and *P.
tetradactylus, we think that pause duration are very much shorter than ventilatory cycle duration. Pauses are probably much too short to contribute to an increase of lactate clearance or to support locomotion at speeds greater than the maximum aerobic speed. Vanzosaura rubricauda and P. tetradactylus are psammophilous animals and live under natural conditions inside the substrate (microhabitat).

Among all the hypotheses possible to explain this particular intermittent locomotion seen in V. rubricauda and P. tetradactylus, phylogenetically close relationships (Rodrigues 1995, 1996, Pellegrino et al. 2001, Benozzati and Rodrigues 2003) are the most consistent data. However, in order to conclude whether this type of intermittent locomotion is a sign of phylogenetic relationships or a form of convergence that appears in different groups of Sauria (intermittent locomotion has been observed in a lacertid, Lacerta vivipara by Avery et al. 1987), more data on the locomotion patterns of Squamata are needed (Van Damme et al. 2003).
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