During the earlier stages of visceral leishmaniasis transmission in Posadas City, Misiones, both the night activity and attraction to humans of *Lutzomyia longipalpis* were assessed, in order to provide preliminary recommendations. The impact of peridomestic deltamethrin spraying performed by local officials was also evaluated. Although *Lu. longipalpis* were found in traps located over a dog the entire night, 90% of the females were captured from 20.30h to 1.30h, and only landed on a human when he was at a distance of 1.5 m from the dog. Peridomestic spraying of deltamethrin (25 mg/m²) reduced the sand fly capture up to seven days post-intervention without dispersion in the border of the sprayed areas. These results support the recommendations about time-space focus of the protection measures: first half of the night, in the backyard, with pets and domestic animals kept at least 5 m from humans. The deltamethrin as it was used did not seem very effective in this scenario; neither did the eventual use of bed nets, at least in adults, due to the place/hour of sand fly higher activity. This study strengthens the need for a multidisciplinary approach to develop prevention strategies based both on biological and anthropological studies.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is the most severe form of leishmaniasis. In Latin America VL is caused by the parasite *Leishmania (L.) infantum* (syn. *chagasi*), with the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) as the main reservoir in urban environments, and the phlebotomine *Lutzomyia longipalpis* as the major vector in Central and South America.20,21

VL was previously known as a rural disease, but large outbreaks and new urban foci have recently been reported in the Americas.22-25 Argentina reported 14 autochthonous human cases with visceral involvement in the country from 1925 to 1989, scattered in time and space. At this time *Lu. longipalpis* had been captured in Argentina twice, in two localities near the city of Posadas, Misiones province, where previously there were no records of VL cases.35 More recently in 2005, *Lu. longipalpis* was found in Clorinda City on the border with Paraguay, with no VL human cases.35

In May 2006 the first human autochthonous case of VL associated with *L. infantum* infected dogs and *Lu. longipalpis* was reported from the city of Posadas.31 From May 2006 up to November 2009, 39 cases of human VL, five fatal, were reported from the Posadas city area (Posadas-Garupá), while in the same area thousands of dogs were infected, and *Lu. longipalpis* and canine VL were already dispersed 350 km south from Posadas, in Monte Caseros, Corrientes province.30

The objective of this study was to provide preliminary recommendations during the earlier stages of VL transmission in an emergent urban focus. The night activity of *Lu. longipalpis* and their attraction to humans where people actually stay during the risk hours were assessed, as was the impact of peridomestic insecticide spraying performed by local officials. These results could help in the design of further studies to develop a rational control strategy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The city of Posadas (27° 23’ S; 55° 53’ W, 120 masl), is the capital of Misiones province in the northeastern border of Argentina. The city was originally part of the Paranaense Forest, a subtropical humid forest from the Amazonian domain, with a mean annual temperature of 22.2 °C and a mean annual precipitation of 1699 mm (years 2003 to 2007, Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos, 2009). It is the most populated city of the province, with more than 250,000 inhabitants (Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos, 2001).

Phlebotominae sand flies were captured in the city of Posadas using CDC battery powered mini light traps overnight.13 The traps were located...
in the ‘worst scenario’: the peridomestic environment most prone to have phlebotomines. The trap was hung in a shadowy place, at 1.5 m from the ground, close to dogs or chickens as blood source. The dates and places are described below. All the sand flies captured were preserved dry and clarified in lactofenol 1%, the species was identified according to the key of Young & Duncan (1994), with the modification of ANDRADE FILHO et al. (2003)"

The study of Phlebotomine distribution by hour was performed in the backyard of the first reported case of human VL (S27° 23’ 00”, W55° 54’ 19”). The CDC trap was located in a three-wall storeroom over a dog during five consecutive nights from 2/12/2007 to 16/12/2007. The bag of the trap was changed at each hour from 19.30h to 7.30h, and the temperature and relative humidity were recorded with a digital device. The results were expressed as the relative abundance (%) by hour for each night (100%) and the mean of the five nights was computed.

In the same place during three nights the principal investigator exposed only a rectangle of the internal face of one of his thighs, and collected any sand flies that landed before they tried to bite and any sand flies that landed in the clothed area with a mouth aspirator. These captures were done during different nights when the principal investigator was 5 m, 3 m, 2.5 m from the dog in the direction of the odor plume, and during the last night a capture at “0” m from the dog was performed over a five minute period each hour during the hours of highest sand fly activity (21.30h-24.30h).

From the data provided by the regular monitoring of sand flies, (unpublished data) six houses with ≥60 Lu. longipalpis/trap/night separated from each other by at least 400 m were selected. After the informed consent was signed by the householder and his neighbors, three out of the six houses were sprayed with deltamethrin (EC 10% w/v) diluted to 62.5 mL/10 L in order to obtain a dosage of 25mg/m². The solution was applied with backpack sprayers with fan producer peaks at a flux of 900 mL/min on the external walls of the domicile, peridomestic dwellings and tree trunks up to 200 m from the house. At the three sprayed houses, the three houses without spraying (control), and three houses non sprayed but located just in the border of the intervention area (control of dispersion due to the insecticide) CDC traps were located as described above during the day “0” (pre-intervention night) and on the 3rd, 7th and 14th days post-intervention.

The hour-abundance analysis was made by linear multiple regression, with relative humidity and temperature as independent variables (adjusted by quadratic transformation). The interventions were compared with Tukey’s test with the values transformed to percentages (day “0”-100% for each house).

**RESULTS**

The captures discriminated by hour collected 959 phlebotomines (181 female and 778 males), all *Lu. longipalpis*, during five consecutive nights (140, 228, 124, 427 and 40 sand flies/night). Significantly more sand flies were captured between 21.30h to 0.30h than 0.30h to 7.20h (p = 0.0001). Furthermore, 90% of the females were captured from 20.30h to 1.30h, but only 63% of the males (males-female abundance p = 0.0348) (Fig. 1).

The relative abundance of *Lu. longipalpis* by the hour was associated with the mean temperature/hour (p = 0.0072) and relative humidity (p = 0.0077) with a R² = 0.60. When only the females were taken into account, the relative abundance was again associated with temperature and humidity (p = 0.0164 and p = 0.0156 respectively) but with a R² = 0.87, while the males abundance besides with temperature (p = 0.0517) and humidity (p = 0.0259) showed association also with the female abundance (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 1). The “window” with higher abundance for females of *Lu. longipalpis* was between 63% and 68% of relative humidity and between 26 °C and 28 °C, the linear regression suggested that females are captured from 23 °C up.

Lu. longipalpis did not land on man at 5 m and 3 m from the dog, although the CDC trap collected 140 and 228 individuals respectively on the same nights. When the distance between the dog/trap and the human was 1.5 m, 38 sand flies landed on the human (30.6% of the CDC trap performance), and at “0” m up to 12 sand flies were observed for five minutes, almost half of those were observed on the dog.

During the intervention in the nine houses studied 7,306 Phlebotominae were collected all belonging to the species *Lu. longipalpis*. The results showed a significant reduction of the sand fly captures up to seven days post-intervention (p ≤ 0.05), and a trend of increasing abundance afterwards, but were not considered significantly different from the controls due to the high deviation of the data (Fig. 2). The houses just on the border of the intervention did not show differences in abundance from the controls but were significantly different from the sprayed houses up to the day 7 post-intervention (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study was performed in order to assess different aspects of risk during the earlier stages of VL human transmission in Posadas City, Argentina, the southernmost focus known up to now, in order to develop preliminary recommendations. The hourly activity of *Lu. longipalpis* in peridomestic habitats during the summer suggested that the insects become active after sunset, but the females were significantly more abundant (70%) from 21.30h to 0.30h, and the male abundance was correlated with that of the females. In spite of the recruiting role for males suggested many times, it has also been suggested that at least for new infestations the females are the first attracted to host odors37. On the other
hand, these data does not discriminate the effects of the hour (biological clock) from the weather variables, as there was an optimal “window” for the female activity between 26 °C-28 °C and with the humidity below 68%. However, in Colombia, two patterns of nocturnal activity of Lu. longipalpis were reported, one peaked early in the evening (18.30-23.30 hours) and then declined towards the morning, with an increased activity also above 24 °C. In Venezuela the greatest activity of this species was recorded before 23.00h and in Maranhão, Brazil, in animal sheds Lu. longipalpis was mainly collected between 18.00h and 20.00h. These results highlight the risk associated with the nocturnal habits of the people of Posadas, who usually remain in their backyards during the summer months from dinnertime to around midnight. In this cultural frame the eventual impact of impregnated nets or curtains would be very low, as the people are neither in bed nor inside the house during the peak of vector activity. Otherwise repellents with effects in semi-open spaces could be more useful. However, the use of bed nets could not be discarded for small children that are sleeping inside the house or in the backyard besides their parents during dinner (almost 12% of the cases up to now).

Lu. longipalpis in this southern focus were strongly attracted to dogs, the main urban reservoir of L. infantum. The sand flies landed on a human only when the dog was at 1.5 m or closer. The dog preference was reported for some older foci15 as well as for a factor associated with higher abundance of Lu. longipalpis16, but in other studies was not a highly preferred blood source.10,23,26,34 Besides geographical differences of behavior within Lu. longipalpis complex subspecies, the blood relative preference of different species in those studies based on gut content could be associated with the spatial distribution of traps and hosts and the opportunistic behavior of the vector. The host attractiveness observed in Posadas could be then also associated with an increased risk during dinner in the backyard, when the dogs were usually around, and in close contact with the people. When the captures with human protected bait were performed in the adjacent house the children were playing, embracing dogs up to 22.00h, as it is usual in the city. Furthermore, unpublished results from the same focus showed that having chicken coops in the yard increased the odds ratio of presenting high abundance of Lu. longipalpis three times.

Thus, these results support the recommendation to keep dogs and other domestic animals at least five meters from the sleeping place of humans, and to avoid the interaction with unprotected animals (by repellents) or environments (by insecticides) during the night.

However, with respect to insecticides, a new vector-borne disease usually leads to a city-wide spatial spraying of insecticide. The impact of the use of deltamethrin by county officials in the peridomestic environments of houses with high density of sand flies was assessed. The data obtained only allowed us to ascertain that the pyrethroid decreased Lu. longipalpis densities in the first seven days after intervention, but did not increase the dispersion of vector to the sprayed border areas. Although some studies reported deltamethrin residual effects up to 9-12 months inside houses13,19, strong anti-feeding effects when applied to dogs as collars or topically, and repellent effects in impregnated bednets23,25, and pyrethroids as lambda-cyhalothrin reducing impact on Lu. longipalpis sand fly captures after focal or indoor applications24,28, there are many reports about the short or transient effects of fenitrothion14, cypermethrin15 and deltamethrin as peridomestic sprayed insecticides13,19-21. Unfortunately the effects of insecticides for longer periods than 14 days could not be assessed in this study due to an abrupt change in the weather conditions that reduced the population; both in the intervention and the control group, but the results obtained were consistent with the literature. Therefore, the recommendation about focus interventions by insecticides need further validation in this southern focus, with risk focused outside the house during the first half of the night, with improved active principles, formulations, ways of applications, and strategies that take into account also the environmental and reservoir risk factors.13,15,17 In this context, PELICCIANELLI et al.14 after a controlled trial, proposed lambda-cyhalothrin indoor spraying three times per year, with a dose higher than usual. However, even if the outdoor associated risk is not taken into account, this strategy could only be applied at microfocal level and not in city-wide programs, further when the indiscriminate use of insecticides could induce resistance both in target and not targeted species1, and pyrethroids have been used broadly in Aedes control.

In conclusion, this short term assessment of risk scenarios in a recent and previously unknown focus of VL generated preliminary recommendations: a) the individual protection (clothes, repellents, bednets) should be intensified during the first half of the night, mainly when the people remains outdoors; b) the dog and chicken sleeping areas should be as far as possible or at least five meters from the human sleeping area; c) the insecticide spraying effectiveness should be assessed, while the use of deltamethrin as it is used is questioned, and the eventual use of impregnated fabric strategies could be focused only in small children. On the other hand, the observations about the risk associated with habits and behaviors during the peak of vector activity related to human exposure, human-dog interaction and chicken management, strengthened the need of anthropological approaches besides the biological studies, in order to develop recommendations based on the perception of risk among the population and how it could be changed.

RESUMO

Comportamento e controle de Lutzomyia longipalpis em foco de leishmaniose visceral urbana na Argentina

Durante os estádios precoces de transmissão da leishmaniose visceral na cidade de Posadas, Misiones, foi avaliada a atitude noturna da

Fig. 2 - Abundance (+ SD) of Lu. longipalpis in peridomicile CDC located traps in Deltamethrin sprayed houses (intervention), non sprayed houses more than 400 m away (control), and houses just out of the border of the intervention 200 m from intervened houses (marginal). The samples were taken at day 0 (pre-intervention night), and days 3, 7 and 14 post-intervention. Significant differences of sprayed houses from control x, from marginal houses *. The table below the graphic shows sample averages (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Marginal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
<td>76.95 (9.43)</td>
<td>38.70 (24.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
<td>6.12 (0.50)</td>
<td>5.39 (2.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
<td>100.95 (9.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
<td>100 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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