Clinical Profile and Nursing Diagnosis of Patients at Risk of Pressure Ulcers
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This cross-sectional study characterizes patients at risk of Pressure Ulcers (PUs) and identifies their corresponding Nursing Diagnoses (NDs). The sample consisted of 219 hospitalizations of adult patients at risk for developing a PU established through the Braden Scale. Data concerning the results of the application of the Braden Scale were retrospectively collected from the patients’ medical files and statistically analyzed. Most patients were elderly women hospitalized for an average of nine days, affected by cancer, cerebrovascular, lung, cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. The most frequent NDs were Risk for infection, Self-care deficit syndrome, Bathing/hygiene self-care deficit, Impaired physical mobility, Imbalanced nutrition: less than body requirements, Ineffective breathing pattern, Impaired tissue integrity, Acute pain, Impaired urinary elimination, Impaired skin integrity, and Risk for impaired skin integrity. We conclude that most NDs are common in clinical nursing practice.
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Perfil clínico e diagnósticos de enfermagem de pacientes em risco para úlcera por pressão

Estudo transversal, cujos objetivos foram caracterizar os pacientes em risco para úlcera por pressão (UP) e identificar os seus diagnósticos de enfermagem (DEs). A amostra consistiu de 219 hospitalizações de pacientes adultos em risco para UP, determinado pela escala de Braden. Os dados foram coletados, retrospectivamente, em registros da escala de Braden e em prontuário eletrônico e, após, analisados estatisticamente. A maioria dos pacientes era de mulheres, idosos, com tempo de internação mediano de nove dias e portadores de doenças cerebrovasculares, pulmonares, cardiovasculares, metabólicas e neoplásicas. Os DEs mais frequentes foram risco para infecção, síndrome do déficit no autocuidado, déficit no autocuidado: banho/higiene, mobilidade física prejudicada, nutrição desequilibrada: menos do que as necessidades corporais, padrão respiratório ineficaz, integridade tissular prejudicada, dor aguda, alteração na eliminação urinária, integridade da pele prejudicada, risco para prejuízo da integridade da pele. Conclui-se que esses DEs, na maioria, são comuns à prática clínica de enfermagem.

Descritores: Diagnóstico de Enfermagem; Processos de Enfermagem; Úlcera por Pressão; Cuidados de Enfermagem; Protocolos.

Perfil clínico y diagnósticos de enfermería de pacientes en riesgo de contraer úlcera por presión

Se trata de un estudio transversal con objetivos de caracterizar a los pacientes en riesgo de contraer úlcera por presión (UP) e identificar sus diagnósticos de enfermería (DEs). La muestra consistió de 219 hospitalizaciones de pacientes adultos en riesgo de contraer UP, determinado por la Escala de Braden. Los datos fueron recolectados retrospectivamente en registros de la Escala de Braden en ficha electrónica y, analizados estadísticamente. La mayoría de los pacientes fueron mujeres, ancianos, con tiempo de internación promedio de nueve días y portadores de enfermedades cerebrovasculares, pulmonares, cardiovasculares, metabólicas y neoplásicas. Los DEs más frecuentes fueron Riesgo de infección, Síndrome de déficit en el autocuidado, Déficit en el Autocuidado: baño/higiene, Movilidad física perjudicada; Nutrición desequilibrada: menos que las necesidades corporales, Estándar respiratorio ineficaz, Integridad tisular perjudicada, Dolor agudo, Alteración en la eliminación urinaria, Integridad de la piel perjudicada, Riesgo para perjuicio de la integridad de la piel. Se concluye que estos DEs, en la mayoría, son comunes a la práctica clínica de enfermería.

Descriptores: Diagnóstico de Enfermería; Procesos de Enfermería; Úlcera por Presión; Atención de Enfermería; Protocolos.

Introduction

The Nursing Process consists of a method to systematize care provided to individuals, family and communities, as well as to support decision-making and communication among nurses. From this perspective, terminologies and classifications are developed as instruments to describe diagnoses, interventions and nursing outcomes in order to give visibility to nursing practice(1-2).

Since the end of the 1970s, the Hospital de Clínicas of Porto Alegre (HCPA) has used a nursing process based on Horta’s theoretical framework(3) including stages of anamnesis and physical assessment, diagnosis, nursing
prescription, implementation of nursing interventions and nursing computerized evaluation\(^1\). The Nursing Diagnoses (NDs) introduced in 2000 were grouped according to the psychobiological, psychosocial and psychospiritual needs and described according to the vocabulary of NANDA-I\(^4\).

The practice of computerized nursing prescription is based on NDs and their related factors or risks (etiologies). There are care actions corresponding to each etiology of an ND, which are selected according to the clinical judgment of the nurse who prescribes it. Such care is based on the body of knowledge found in nursing literature, on the clinical practices of nurses and on the Nursing Classification of Interventions (NIC)\(^2,5\).

Care protocols also help to qualify nursing care in the HCPA. Hence, in 2006 a protocol to prevent and treat PUs was developed\(^6\). PUs are lesions on the skin and/or subjacent tissue, usually over a bony prominence, which occurs as a consequence of pressure or pressure combined with shearing and/or friction. Although PUs are avoidable most of the time, they are a high-incidence problem for hospitalized patients, requiring the adoption of preventative measures and efficient treatment\(^7\).\(^{10}\).

Identifying individuals at risk of PUs does not depend only on the clinical abilities of professionals but also on the use of an appropriate evaluation instrument. The Braden Scale has indices with an appropriate predictive validity, sensitivity and specificity and has been translated and validated for the Portuguese language. This motivated the choice to use it as the instrument to predict risk for PU at HCPA\(^6,9,11\).

The Braden Scale, composed of six subscales (sensory perception, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, friction and shearing)\(^12\)\(^{14}\) is applied to patients at their admission into HCPA, re-applied weekly and with each change in a patient’s general condition; scores below or equal to 13 determine patient risk for PUs\(^6\). This evaluation also supports a rational diagnostic process and the implementation of nursing interventions according to a care protocol. A reduced incidence of PUs has been observed in this facility since the implementation of this protocol, which is some evidence of the qualification of nursing care. However, the clinical characteristics of patients at risk for PU, their main NDs and related factors and risks were unknown, as were the cases in which a prescription of interventions described in the care protocol were selected.

Therefore, this study characterizes the clinical profile of patients hospitalized in the HCPA at risk of developing PUs. It identifies the NDs and their most frequent related factors or risks established for these patients; and also identifies those to whom the prescription of the nursing intervention “Implement PU prevention and treatment protocol” was applied. This intervention is composed of a set of actions\(^5\) established in the care protocol to prevent and treat PUs within this facility.

This study is also justified by the fact that despite the extensive literature on the clinical situation of PUs\(^8,9,13,15\)\(^{16}\), up to the time this study was published, there were no Brazilian studies associating the clinical situation of PUs with nursing diagnoses. One of the few studies addressing the topic was an American study that presents the stages of the nursing process related to the care provided to patients at risk for PUs\(^17\).

**Methods**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the HCPA at the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The sample included 219 hospitalizations of adult patients in clinical and surgical units at risk for PU established by scores below or equal to 13 on the Braden scale\(^6,14\). Missing information regarding the reasons patients were hospitalized and comorbidities associated with NDs reduced the sample to 194 hospitalizations in the analysis stage. Data related to the first semester of 2008 were retrospectively collected. In the first stage, existing data originated from the application of the Braden Scale and records from the patients’ medical files available online were collected. Then, NDs and nursing prescriptions were collected from the computerized system. All data were organized in Excel spreadsheets and statistically analyzed through the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences – SPSS version 16.0.

The project was approved by the Ethics Health Research Committee at the institution (protocol n° 08-319) and all the researchers signed a form acknowledging their commitment and responsibility concerning the use of data.

**Results**

The average age of the patients was 67 years old (± 20.2). Women predominated (132/67%); average time of hospitalization was nine days (± 27); most of the hospitalizations (129/59%) were in medical clinic units.

The most frequent scores obtained were as follows. A score of 2 (very limited) was obtained in 109 (50%)
cases concerning the subscale 'perception'; score of 3 (occasionally moist) was obtained in 92 (42%) cases concerning 'moisture'; score of 1 (bedfast) was obtained in 182 (83%) cases in the subscale 'activity'; score of 2 (very limited) was obtained in 184 (84%) cases related to 'mobility'; in the case of 'nutrition', score of 2 (probably inadequate) was obtained in 132 (60%) cases; score of 1 (problem) was obtained in the subscale 'friction and shearing' in 115 (52.5%) cases. Scores can vary from one to four in the first three subscales and from one to three in the last subscale. Forty-six NDs were identified in the 219 hospitalizations, while 11 were the most frequent (Table 1).

Table 1 – NDs most frequently identified in patients at risk for PUs and their main related factors or risks. Porto Alegre, Brazil, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDs</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Related factor/risk</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk for infection</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Invasive procedures</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care deficit syndrome</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>Neuromuscular/musculoskeletal impairment</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathing/hygiene self care deficit</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Evolution of the disease</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired physical mobility</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Neuromuscular/musculoskeletal impairment</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective breathing pattern</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Neuromuscular/musculoskeletal impairment</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbalanced nutrition: less than body requirements</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Airway infectious processes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired tissue integrity</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>Mechanical trauma</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute pain</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired urinary elimination</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Neuromuscular/skeletal impairment</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Skin integrity</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Physical Immobilization</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk for impaired skin integrity</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Physical Immobilization</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In some cases, the same patient presented more than one ND and/or more than one related factor/risk in the same hospitalization (Table 1). Five NDs required the selection and prescription of the nursing intervention "Implement PU prevention and treatment protocol" (Table 2).

Table 2 – NDs for which the intervention "Implement PU prevention and treatment protocol" was prescribed. Porto Alegre, Brazil, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDs</th>
<th>Hospitalizations</th>
<th>Prescription of intervention</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-care deficit syndrome</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired skin integrity</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk for impaired skin integrity</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired physical mobility</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired tissue integrity</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main reasons patients were hospitalized were cerebrovascular disease (49/22.4%), lung disease (34/15.5%), cardiovascular diseases (30/13.7%), and cancer (30/13.7%). The most frequent comorbidities were cardiovascular (88/40%), cerebrovascular (82/37%) and metabolic (60/27%) diseases.

The most prevalent NDs and those directly related to risks posed to the skin were compared to the reasons for hospitalizations and comorbidities in order to verify their frequency in each of the situations (Table 3). The Ineffective breathing pattern was the second most frequent ND in patients who were hospitalized due to lung disease and Impaired physical mobility was the second most frequent in patients hospitalized due to cancer. Twenty-five hospitalizations were excluded in this stage due to lack of information, thus the sample was reduced to 194 hospitalizations.
The average scores of each Braden subscale were analyzed in patients who presented at least one of the 11 most frequent NDs. The subscales ‘activity’ and ‘mobility’ were those that presented the lowest scores in all the NDs: 1.19 and 1.89 respectively. The lowest score (1.00) was obtained in the subscale ‘activity’ in patients with the ND Risk for impaired skin integrity.

Discussion

Most of the studied patients were elderly women hospitalized for an average of nine days, mostly in clinical hospitalization units. Old age is indicated as one of the most relevant factors involved in PUs’ physiopathogenesis, especially when associated with morbid conditions such as neurological, mental, nutritional, mobility and activity alterations, and anal and urinary incontinence\(^9,16,18,19\). These factors characterize a population prone to PU development, recurrence and complications, which consequently requires an increased average time of hospitalization, which in turn may worsen the population’s health condition and also increase the costs of treatment\(^9,15,20\).

In HCPA, patient risk for PUs is determined by total scores below or equal to 13 on the Braden Scale. The establishment of such a risk took into account the hospital’s characteristics. This facility is linked to the Unified Health System (SUS) and has a large demand for hospitalizations of severe patients with conditions characterized as having high complexity. The importance of defining a cut-off point is based on the need to delimit the patient’s risk of PUs and to direct specific preventive actions to these patients, thereby preventing the implementation of avoidable measures for the largest possible number of patients\(^6,11\).

The most frequent NDs identified in the studied patients present important points to be discussed. The first is the prevalence of some NDs also identified in other studies evaluating groups with characteristics similar to those of the patients evaluated in this study, such as age (around 65 years old), hospitalization—especially in clinical and surgical units—and the presence of chronic diseases and associated comorbidities\(^21-24\). Among the most frequent NDs identified in these studies are Risk for infection, Bathing/hygiene self-care deficit, Impaired Physical Mobility, Imbalanced nutrition: less than body requirements and Acute pain. This fact leads us to the conclusion that these NDs are common in nursing practice; these are often independent of the cause of the
patient’s disease but accompany changes in the level of basic needs for the functioning of their bodies. Another point is the peculiarities of each ND. Risk for infection was the most prevalent (120/55%); preventing this complication has been increasingly addressed in hospitals in order to avoid its occurrence as much as possible. In addition, the studied patients are mostly elderly individuals with associated comorbidities that predispose them to infections due to reduced immunity.

The second most frequent ND was Self-care deficit syndrome (102/46.5%), the third was Bathing/hygiene self-care deficit (95/43%), and the fourth ND was Impaired physical mobility (70/32%). These were the main factors related to neuromuscular/musculoskeletal impairment and evolution of disease. It shows that these patients have impaired mobility, which affects the performance of daily living tasks, both given their advanced age and their aggravated health conditions.

It is known that changes caused by aging and also by disease may limit an individual’s ability to perform daily living tasks, such as taking a shower, dressing, defecating and urinating without help, feeding oneself, walking, sitting and standing up. These limitations are factors that contribute to an increased risk for PUs and may themselves be worsened by the absence of appropriate interventions.

Neuromuscular and/or musculoskeletal impairment was also the main factor associated with the NDs Ineffective breathing pattern, the fifth most frequent (57/26%), and Impaired urinary elimination, which was the ninth most frequent (30/14%), indicating a risk for PUs. Patients with Impaired urinary elimination also presented an average score of 2.55 on the subscale ‘mobility’ (1.92). Those with the ND Risk for Impaired skin integrity obtained an average score of 1.0 (the lowest possible) in the subscale ‘activity’ and 1.78 in ‘mobility’, which corroborates the presence of factors and risks related to these NDs. Hence the conclusion is that the Braden Scale is an excellent instrument to evaluate patient risk for PU and determine the ND Risk for impaired skin integrity as recommended by NANDA-I, since its subscales evaluate risk factors described in this classification.

The most frequent factor related to the 11 NDs was neuromuscular and/or musculoskeletal impairment, which demonstrates to some degree that nurses applied clinical reasoning since this factor indicates the possibility of a lower score in the subscales ‘activity’ and ‘mobility’, which in fact presented the lowest scores. The subscale with the lowest average score was ‘activity’ (between 1.00 and 1.36) followed by ‘mobility’ (between 1.72 and 2.03), characterizing a bedridden, immobilized or very limited patient.

The nursing intervention “Implement PU prevention treatment protocol” was selected and prescribed for the NDs Self-care deficit syndrome, Impaired skin integrity, Risk for impaired skin integrity, Impaired physical mobility and Impaired tissue integrity. All of them had risks or factors related to signs and symptoms that indicate harm to the skin, and thereby the need for intervention as proposed in the PU care protocol. This raises a question as to the reason some of the NDs such as Impaired skin integrity and Risk for impaired skin integrity, which are specific for patients known to be at risk for PU, were not more frequently identified.

One likely explanation is that the other NDs identified also have, as related factors, reasons that explain risk to skin such as neuromuscular and/or musculoskeletal impairment. There is however a tendency to establish
NDs common to nursing clinical practice, e.g. ‘Risk for infection’, when others could more precisely define a given situation. This leads to the issue of diagnostic accuracy, which is based on the assumption that there is a great variety of potential diagnoses in diverse clinical situations. Nurses need to be able to apply the most precise clinical judgment possible.

The need to improve diagnostic accuracy was also observed when the NDs Self-care deficit syndrome and Risk for infection were identified as the most frequent diagnoses, regardless of the reason for hospitalization or comorbidity presented by patients (cerebrovascular, lung or cardiovascular diseases and cancer).

These pathologies, which were the comorbidities or reasons that led to the hospitalizations of patients, can be debilitating and harm one’s health, consequently making the individual more vulnerable to PUs. This view agrees with the results of a study that evidenced that diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular and respiratory diseases are significant for the development of PUs\(^{(8)}\).

Although other health professionals in addition to nurses need to be involved in the prevention and treatment of PUs given their multiple causes, nursing traditionally plays a crucial role in this process considering the conditions that lead to the development of such ulcers.

Hence, the prevention and treatment of PUs pass through the adoption of care actions implemented for patients, the permanent education of professionals, guidance provided to family members and caregivers, and also the commitment of the institution to provide the conditions required to deliver appropriate care\(^{(20)}\). Therefore, a prevention and treatment protocol and the establishment of accurate nursing diagnoses constitute alternatives to achieve excellence in nursing care provided to PUs, since the adoption of best practices can reduce PUs incidence and favor treatment\(^{(27)}\).

**Conclusions**

The patients at risk for PU were mostly elderly women hospitalized in clinical units, particularly for cerebrovascular, lung, cardiovascular diseases and cancer, with comorbidities related to the cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and metabolic diseases.

Eleven NDs were most frequently identified for these patients, which presented as the main related factor Neuromuscular and/or musculoskeletal impairment, with low scores in the subscales ‘activity’ and ‘mobility’ of the Braden Scale. It leads to the conclusion that these are bedridden patients with impaired mobility and who therefore require, in addition to a precise diagnosis, an appropriate care plan with preventive measures and qualified nursing evaluation.

The NDs Self-care deficit syndrome and Risk for infection were prevalent regardless of the reason of hospitalization or comorbidity, which demonstrates that NDs are common in clinical nursing practice. In turn, the NDs related to risk to skin or tissue such as Impaired skin integrity and Impaired tissue integrity were less frequent, contrary to the idea that these would be more prevalent in patients previously identified as being at risk for PUs. This fact points to one of this study’s limitations and the need to more deeply investigate this subject and conduct further research in the field of diagnostic accuracy.

The nursing intervention “Implement PU prevention and treatment protocol” was selected for the nursing prescription in the cases of patients who presented NDs with risks or factors related to skin harm, hence the PU is correctly treated when the ND is accurate.

We highlight that the Braden Scale is applicable as an instrument to evaluate patient risk for PUs and can support the identification of the ND Risk for impaired skin integrity. NANDA-I recommends the use of a risk prediction instrument to establish this ND. It is one of the main innovations and implications for nursing practice in this study, which also presented the description of the most frequent NDs established for these patients and the situations in which the intervention that composes the PU care protocol was implemented.

The role of nurses is crucial in this context because they are the professionals who select data, establish NDs, select, prescribe and/or perform the intervention and evaluate the outcome obtained by patients. For that, nurses are required to know not only the characteristics of patients but also identify in patients the main factors and risks related to different care situations, such as PUs.
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