GROWTH AND YIELD OF PAPAYA UNDER IRRIGATION

Frederico Terra de Almeida1*; Salassier Bernardo2; Elias Fernandes de Sousa2; Sérgio Lúcio David Marin3; Sidney Grippa2

1UENF/CCT - Lab. de Engenharia Civil.  
3UENF/CCTA - Lab. de Fitotecnia.  
*Corresponding author <fredterr@uenf.br>

ABSTRACT: Thermal units or degree day systems can both be used to quantify relationships between plants and air temperature. The Northern Fluminense region holds no tradition for irrigated papaya (Carica papaya L.) cropping and, because of the need for irrigation, it is important knowing its growth and development characteristics under these conditions. This study aimed to determine the relationship between growth rate of papaya plants and degree days, and its effect on crop productivity, under different irrigation levels. An experiment was set up with the cultivar “Improved Sunrise Soil 72/12”, in a randomized blocks design, with seven irrigation water depths and three repetitions; crop growth and yield parameters were evaluated. There were significant correlations between water depths and degree days. Polynomial models of 2nd and 3rd order appropriately fitted the relationships degree day versus plant height, stem diameter, crown diameter and number of emitted leaves, for each water depth. Growth parameters related to degree days and to the applied treatments are indicative of yield potential. The total water depth that promoted the greatest fruit yield was 2,937 mm.
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INTRODUCTION

Various assumptions concern the its origin of the papaya tree (Carica papaya L.), considered to be originated somewhere in Tropical America (Medina, 1989). As a crop that thrives in typically tropical climates, it has been spread through several regions in the world, and in Brazil every state has regions where this plant finds favorable conditions for development. The most suitable regions for papaya cropping present tropical or subtropical climates, with ideal temperatures ranging on 22 and 26°C (25°C, optium) adequate relative humidity (60 to 85%), high precipitation, and fertile, well-drained soils (Siqueira & Botrel, 1986; Medina, 1989; Oliveira et al., 1994). However, among the main climatic factors which condition the establishment of papaya plantations, temperature seems to be one of the best indicators, because of its influence on crop growth and development. In warmer regions, papaya trees grow faster, and their fruits present better quality than in cooler regions (Siqueira & Botrel, 1986; Medina, 1989; Oliveira et al., 1994). In regions where temperatures drops below 15°C, usually from the end of fall through the beginning of spring, papaya trees have their growth paralyzed, no flowering occurs and fruit maturation is delayed, not to mention that most leaves are shed, leaving the fruits exposed to sunburns (Marin et al., 1995).

These considerations are important when the objective is to establish a papaya plantation in a non-tradi-
tional location. This is the case of the Northern Fluminense Region (NF), which was favorable edaphic-climatic and logistic conditions for papaya cropping. However, the lack of data on papaya growth and development, added to the fact of a possible interaction between climate and irrigation, needed in the region, might make the adequate conduction of this crop a difficult task.

Growth, flowering and nutritional status may affect the yield of papaya plantations, and the growth-limiting factors either influence the production of flowers or fruits or do not allow adequate nutritional supply and, therefore, must be optimized, with water being the main factor. Silva (1999) found the highest growth and development rates for papaya trees with 120% replacements of ETo, also obtaining the highest yield potential for this condition. The lowest yields were verified for replacements of 40 and 60% of ETo, at two-day irrigation intervals.

Silva (1999) applying 5 water replacement rates (from 0 to 120% of the ETo), at three frequencies (2, 3 and 5 days), observed that the behavior of papaya yield relative to the applied depths was linear, and that increasing yield was significant in most of the replacement rates. However, he did not verify a decrease in yield with the increases in water depth, presuming that not enough water was supplied to meet the crop yield potential.

Awada et al. (1979) verified, together with higher growth rates, a greater productivity for replacements of 129% ETo, considering that 5 water depths were applied weekly (from 33 to 162% ETo), and observing yield decreases at 162% of the ETo. The best water replacement rate, or the rate that provides the highest yields, is around 130% of the ETo (Silva, 1999 and Awada et al., 1979). Notwithstanding, Srinivas (1996) only observed a significant effect on yield increase for daily replacements of up to 60% of the ETo, increasing from this point forty, but without significance.

The measurement of crop growth allows the determination of the flowering season, the plant height at which harvest is no longer viable, the gain in leaf area that, in general, results in higher yields, the peak production seasons, etc., thus displaying the production conditions representative of a region. The duration of each vegetative stage varies with species and climatic conditions. Several climatic elements condition the development of agricultural species, with thermal and water conditions being the two environmental parameters that affect crop establishment and development the most. In irrigated crops, thermal conditions are the most important, since their water requirements are met by irrigation (Mota, 1986).

Defining thermal units system or degree days is among the attempts made to quantify the relations between plants and air temperature which represents this interaction by the accumulation of daily mean temperatures of the air above a value that is considered a baseline for the crop, a certain phenological stage being attained when the plant accumulates a given amount of thermal units, and the involved time is disregarded (Wang, 1960). Historically, the studies of climate-plant interactions began with R.A.F. Réaumur, in 1735, with the construction of the thermometric scale and the suggestion that “the summation of mean temperatures, for a plant development stage, is constant for each plant species”, (Kish, 1967). This temperature summation came to be known as Réaumur’s phenology constant. It was only in 1834 that Boussingault suggested the utilization of the “product of mean temperatures, above six degrees centigrade and the period under consideration”, according to Robertson (1968). Such product was called Degree Days - DD.

The concept of degree days, also called thermal units, presumes there is a baseline temperature, below which the plant does not develop, and if it does, it happens on a very reduced scale. It also presumes a linear relationship between temperature increases and plant development. Each plant species or cultivar has its own baseline temperature, and its value may vary with plant age. Several formulations or models have been suggested for the computation of degree days that are necessary for the plant to attain a given stage of its development cycle, such as: Lyndsey & Newman (1956), Holmes & Robertson (1959), Arnold (1960), Brown (1969), Ometto (1981).

Since the NF region is not a traditional papaya cropping zone and needs supplementary irrigation, knowledge on plant growth and development behaviors under these conditions are quite important. Thus, the objective of this work was to study the growth of ‘Improved Sunrise Soil 72/12’ papaya trees with regard to degree days and its effect on yield, under different irrigation levels.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The experiment was carried out from Oct/10/1998, when transplanting to the field occurred, to Dec/07/1999, at Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil (11m above sea level, 21°45′15″S, 41°19′28″W).

The soil of the area is a fluvial-origin Cambisol, with low fertility, shallow, with moderate to imperfect drainage (Sondótecnica, 1983), and physical-hydric characteristics are in Table 1. Monthly climatic data, such as mean, maximum and minimum temperatures, reference evapotranspiration (estimated by a Class A Pan) and precipitation during the experimental period were measured by an automatic weather station placed next to the experiment. The papaya cultivar was ‘Improved Sunrise Soil 72/12’, planted at a spacing of 3.6 x 2.0 x 2.0 m.

Treatments were applied by a micro-sprinkler irrigation system, consisting of seven irrigation water depths (corresponding to 0; 40; 80; 120; 160; 200 and 240% of ETo). Applied water volumes were controlled.
by water flow meters installed in each treatment, and the hydraulic dimensioning was calculated so as to uniformize the flow in all self-compensating micro-sprinklers.

Treatments, were arranged as randomized blocks, establishing a total of 21 plots, with each plot having five usable plants (n = 3). For the variables plant height, stem diameter, crown diameter and no. of emitted leaves, a split-plot-in-time scheme was considered.

For crop establishment, seeds were initially sown in small bags (Jun/06/1998), and 66 days after germination, were transplanted to the field. During thirty days after transplanting, all treatments received the same application of water, so they would establish a homogenous field. From this point forth, water depths were differentiated. With irrigations performed on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays, the application of water for each plot had its volume determined as a function of the water balance between precipitation and the accumulated reference evapotranspiration, of the number of plants per micro-sprinkler (four plants), of the row spacing between plants, of the percentage of wetted area for the crop (70%) and of the coefficient related to the treatments (water depths).

The initial fertilization was based on soil analysis and the monthly fertilization was performed according to a recommendation for high productivities (Marin et al., 1995). The management of weeds, pests and diseases were carried out systematically by crop inspections and applications of chemicals as needed. Thinning was performed when plants were in full bloom (November, 1998), leaving a single plant per pit, when the evaluation of the papaya growth was initiated.

Measurements of plant parameters were performed as follows: for plant height, measurements were taken from the plant base at the soil up to the height of the position of the last emitted leaf, and the number of emitted leaves between the interval of each measurement, performed on Dec/02/98, Jan/03/99, Feb/02/99, Mar/04/99, Apr/10/99, May/10/99, Jun/10/99, Jul/08/99 and Aug/09/99. For stem diameter, a caliper rule was utilized, set at 20 cm the soil surface; measurements were performed on Dec/03/98, Jan/04/99, Mar/22/99, Apr/13/99, May/11/99, Jun/11/99, Jul/10/99 and Aug/14/99. With respect to crown diameter, measurements were made in two directions, relative to the planting row, transversal and longitudinal, performed on Dec/03/98, Jan/04/99, Feb/05/99, Mar/05/99, Apr/14/99, May/13/99, Jun/10/99, Jul/10/99 and Aug/14/99.

To quantify the relation between temperature and plant growth in papaya trees in the NF Region, the temperature accumulation on the above dates was determined for the parameters relative to the sowing date, according to methodology proposed by Lyndsey & Newman (1956), Holmes & Robertson (1959), Arnold (1960), Brown (1969) and Ometto (1981). The daily mean temperatures were utilized for calculating degree days, and the residual method was utilized, through the equation: GD = Tmed – Tb; where, GD – degree days, ºC; Tmed – mean temperature on the day, ºC; and Tb – baseline temperature for the crop, 15ºC, according to Marin et al. (1995).

Considering the yield of the first harvest, i.e., the yield corresponding to the flowers emitted for a six-month period, totaling a period of 16 months of observations from planting, measurements were made of the number of fruits per plant and the weight of each fruit, and productivity was determined for each plot and, consequently, the mean productivity was determined for each treatment, establishing a relationship between yield and the observed growth. The variables thus obtained were submitted to regression analysis, and the model coefficients were tested up to 5% probability by t test.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Climatic data obtained during the experiment (Figure 1) confirm the potentiality of the NF region for papaya planting with regard to temperature, since the mean, maximum and minimum temperature values lie within the recommended range (Siqueira and Botrel, 1986; Medina, 1989; Oliveira et al., 1994). The minimum temperature, only in the months from June through August, occurs at values lower than the baseline temperature, leading to a stop in plant growth and development. However, this fact usually occurs in most regions where this crop is planted.
With respect to the water balance, a smaller occurrence of precipitation could also be observed relative to the reference evapotranspiration in 12 out of the 16 months of the experiment or, better yet, three months a year, a fact that further confirms the need for supplementary irrigation of the crop. Variation of plant height was observed with respect to water depths, to thermal units (degree days) and also to the interaction between these two, and regression equations were estimated for papaya tree height relative to degree days with regression coefficients significant at 5%, by the t test (Figure 2).

Distinct behavior can be visualized in growth of plant height among the various treatments, given the interaction between degree days and treatments, and this can be best identified by the curve estimated for T1, which only received water via precipitation. This fact demonstrates the importance of water replacement for the crop, so as to allow its adequate growth and, therefore, result in high yield.

Another situation that should be emphasized is the growth shown by T5 (160% ETo), which had the tallest plant height during the entire period, differing slightly from the value found by Awada et al. (1979), 129% ETo, probably due to differences in the coefficients adopted for the irrigation systems, or to different efficiencies of those systems. If this growth can so on continually, plants submitted to this treatment will reach a height that is unviable for harvest much sooner than plants under the other treatments. The fitted curves show a gradual reduction in plant growth with increasing thermal units, a fact also verified by Silva (1999) and cited by Awada (1961).

Similarly to the behavior of plant height, there was also variation in stem diameter relative to the water depths, with regard to thermal units and to the interaction between these two, and regression equations were estimated for stem diameter of the papaya trees relative to degree days for each treatment, with coefficients significant at 5%, by the t test (Figure 3). With respect to this parameter a greater difference can be noticed between its behavior relative to degree days in the applied treatments, more remarkably in T1 and T2 with smaller growth, and in T5 with greater growth. A decrease in growth occurs around the value of 2,380°C d (beginning of March), for most treatments. This situation was also found by Silva (1999), in Linhares-ES, with a nearby value of 2,300°C d, thus confirming the importance of determining growth parameters as a function of degree days, which is supposed to be independent of location and planting season, but dependent of temperature accumulation.

Because of the similarity between the behavior of plant height and crown diameter, greater responses were obtained for T5 which were also found by Awada et al. (1979), for a replacement of 129% ETo, a difference that can possibly be explained by the factors previously mentioned. Variation was detected for mean crown diameter with regard to thermal units, for all irrigation treatments, and their interactions. The respective regression equations were estimated, and the coefficients for those equations were significant at the 5% probability level, by the t test (Figure 4).

The growth of crown diameter was influenced not only by water availability, but also by temperature. This

![Figure 2 - Estimate of plant height growth in relation to degree days, for each irrigation treatment.](image)

![Figure 3 - Estimate of stem diameter growth in relation to degree days, for each irrigation treatment.](image)

![Figure 4 - Estimate crown diameter growth in relation to degree days, for each irrigation treatment.](image)
becomes evident when the differences between the treatment without irrigation (T1) and those with irrigation (T2 to T7) are visualized. The first treatment presents little growth, or even a decrease in thermal units from 1,500 to 2,000°C d, corresponding to spells of dry and hot weather (“veranicos”) in January and February 1999, a period of high temperatures, while the other treatments presented greater growth, but less marked in T2, which received the shallowest water depth. Stabilization and then a reduction in crown diameter occurred near thermal units of 2,500°C d for all treatments, a fact that relates to the beginning of the winter, with the occurrence of low temperatures.

In spite of the differences in the fitted curves found by Silva (1999) due to the different seasons in which the analyses were performed, the same behavior for crown diameter was verified in this experiment, in which stabilization and then a decrease in growth were verified starting during winter, and growth was resumed at the beginning of the summer, being more or less distinct as a function of the smaller or greater application of water depths, respectively.

Even though the difference was small, T5 had the greatest crown diameters, during the entire evaluation period, having practically the same thermal units as T3, in the vicinity of 3,000°C d. Thus, in general papaya trees tend to reduce their crown diameters with time; however, the values verified in this work seem to be related to the lack of soil water (T1), which was also verified by Aiyelaagbe et al. (1986), and to the low winter temperatures influencing all evaluated treatments, a condition also found by Silva (1999). No other reductions were detected.

Variations in the number of emitted leaves were obtained during the evaluated period in relation to thermal units, in all irrigation treatments and their interactions; the respective regression equations were estimated, and the coefficients were significant at 5%, by the t test (Figure 5). The estimated regressions did not present sufficiently high coefficients of determination, demonstrating that they do little to explain the data obtained, despite the observed significance. This could probably be related to the fact that in studies conducted by Aiyelaagbe et al. (1986) and Awada et al. (1979), while studying water stress in papaya trees, found that the no. of leaves of plants submitted to water stress decreases only due to leaf abscission and not because of the number of emitted leaves, since the numbers of nodes in the plants were the same, and only the distance between nodes was different, under the different moisture regimes.

However, in the present work, when leaf emission is analyzed under ranges of water application, small differences can be observed in leaf emission between T3, T4 and T5 and between T6 and T7, but with differences in emissions between the sets of treatments. With regard to treatments with low application of water (T1 and T2), the difference in the emission of leaves is large both between them and between the sets in the other ranges of treatments, thus demonstrating that there are differences in the emission of leaves as a function of the water depth, and no inference on leaf abscission can be made over the fact that the total number of leaves present in the plant in each period was not evaluated.

Based on the yield data obtained during a 16-month period, for each applied treatment (Table 2), variations were observed relative to the different water depths, or total water depths. The respective regression equations were estimated, and the coefficients for those equations were significant at 5%, by the t test (Table 2).

The growth parameters evaluated in the treatments give an indication of yield potential, i.e., each irrigation water depth applied corresponds to a certain growth relative to degree days and, in general, the increase in this growth corresponds to an increase in yield.

![Figure 5 - Estimate of the no. of emitted leaves between each period in relation to degree days, for each irrigation treatment.](image)

| Table 2 - Summary of yield data: applied treatment (Trat), treatment as percentage of evapotranspiration (ETo), irrigation water depth applied (LI), total water depth applied - irrigation + precipitation (LT), and mean productivity obtained (Prod). |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Trat (ETo) | LI | LT | Prod* |
| % | mm | LT | t ha⁻¹ |
| T1 (0) | 80 | 1,268 | 7.4 |
| T2 (40) | 504 | 1,692 | 26.7 |
| T3 (80) | 886 | 2,074 | 48.3 |
| T4 (120) | 1,292 | 2,480 | 45.1 |
| T5 (160) | 1,749 | 2,937 | 53.0 |
| T6 (200) | 2,185 | 3,373 | 41.2 |
| T7 (240) | 2,619 | 3,807 | 38.3 |

*Regression equation fitted for productivity: y = -0.00002.x² + 0.09809.x - 88.12 - R² = 0.92 - with 1% probability significance for the coefficients, by t test.
These relationships between growth and obtained yields can be visualized when growth data are compared to yield data; an increase in values can be perceived for most growth parameters up to T5, dropping in T6 and T7 (Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5), which occurs in the same way with yield data (Table 2). The greatest values for parameters plant height and stem diameter corresponded to the greatest productivity, when an irrigation water depth of 160% ETo was applied. The same was also verified by Srinivas (1996), but with a water replacement of 120% ETo, probably because of the adoption of different coefficients related to water replacement, or to different efficiencies in the irrigation systems. Several authors have verified that increases in growth parameters correspond to yield increases; however, they did not find values greater than those verified in this work, as well as a decrease in growth and yield after a certain amount of water was applied, since they did not apply water at depths great enough to ensure this (Aiyelaagbe et al., 1986; Awada, 1961; Awada et al., 1979; Silva, 1999; Srinivas, 1996).

Since the evaluated growth parameters are possibly indicative of yield potentials, the evaluation of such parameters alone will be sufficient to determine suitable irrigation management conditions for papaya cropping in the NF Region and, as a consequence, to produce high yield. In conclusion, the possible creation of tools to allow growth estimation and therefore yield estimation as a function of climatic conditions deserves additional investigation.
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