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ABSTRACT: The intensive land use invariably has several negative effects on the environment and crop production if conservative practices are not adopted. Reduction in soil organic matter (SOM) quantity means gas emission (mainly CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) to the atmosphere and increased global warming. Soil sustainability is also affected, since remaining SOM quality changes. Alterations can be verified, for example, by soil desegregation and changes in structure. The consequences are erosion, reduction in nutrient availability for the plants and lower water retention capacity. These and other factors reflect negatively on crop productivity and sustainability of the soil-plant-atmosphere system. Conversely, adoption of “best management practices”, such as conservation tillage, can partly reverse the process – they are aimed at increasing the input of organic matter to the soil and/or decreasing the rates at which soil organic matter decomposes.
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INTRODUCTION

The need for food for an increasing population often threatens natural resources as people strive to obtain the most out of land already in production or push into virgin territory for new agricultural land (FAO, 2005). The damage is increasingly evident: erosion of arable lands, salinity, desertification, and urban spread; water shortages; deforestation; and threats to biodiversity (Foley et al., 2005). The situation is likely to be further worsened by the potential impacts of global warming and climate change on the growing conditions of crops (IIASA, 2001; IPCC, 2003).

Ruddiman (2005) reported that about eight thousand years ago, humans began to modify the environment and greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere started to increase. However, more land was converted to cropland since 1945 than in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries combined, and now approximately one quarter (24%) of Earth’s terrestrial
surface has been transformed into cultivated systems. Over this recent period, human population increased exponentially and, therefore, has changed ecosystems more rapidly and extensively than in any comparable period of time in human history, largely to meet rapidly growing demands for food, fresh water, timber, fiber, and fuel (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005).

Nowadays, the adverse consequences of global warming, expressed as global climate change, are among the main actual environmental concerns faced by human beings (Kerr, 2005). From the scientific point of view, global climatic changes are caused by natural and anthropogenic radiative forcings (IPCC, 2001). Positive radiative forcing agents like the greenhouse gases (GHG), the sun and the volcanic eruptions, increase atmospheric temperature, while negative radiative forcing agents (aerosols, clouds and others) cool the terrestrial surface (Hansen et al., 2002). Radiative forcing, expressed as W m⁻², unbalances the incident and emergent solar energy on the Earth. Climate adjusts in response to this energetic disturbance and causes the so-called global climate changes (Polwson, 2005).

According to the latest calculations of Hansen et al. (2005), the emerging energy is 0.85 ± 0.15 W m⁻² smaller than the incident. This energetic difference already caused a global temperature increase of about 0.6°C during the period from 1880 to 2003. The authors also mentioned that the greatest radiative forcing agents are the GHG (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and CFC), responsible for a total of 2.75 W m⁻² added to the level of 1880.

Recent National Communications on greenhouse gas (GHG; mainly CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) emissions indicate that in general GHG emitted in the tropics are mainly related to deforestation and agricultural intensification, while in temperate regions GHG comes from the combustion of fossil fuel in the transportation and industry sectors (UNFCCC, 2006). For instance, fossil fuel burning and cement production are globally the major sources, responsible for 66% of total GHG emitted to the atmosphere. Agriculture (20%) and land use change (14%) complete total anthropogenic emissions (IPCC, 2001).

The quantification of GHG contributions due to fossil fuel burning is more exact, since the quantities of petroleum, charcoal and natural gas extracted and consumed per year in the world are well known (Overpeck & Cole, 2006). The contribution of agriculture and land use changes are more difficult to be estimated, since the sources are diffuse and the systems more complex (Gregory et al., 2005).

In Brazil the proportion between the contributions of GHG from fossil fuel burning versus agriculture and land use is different compared to the global pattern. Fossil fuel burning is less important (Brasil, 2004), while land use change and agriculture respond for more than two thirds of total emissions. If the gases derived from deforestation process are considered (Fearnside, 2006), Brazil rises from the 17th to the 5th position in the ranking of countries with the greatest emission rates.

Despite the great efforts of governments in tropical areas, illegal deforestation has occurred over large areas (INPE, 2006). Although the cleared land makes more area available for food production, it has various negative impacts (Fearnside, 2006). Firstly, it exacerbates global climate change, which has a negative feedback in the global food production (Knorr et al., 2005). Food production can suffer from climate change impacts such as alteration in solar radiation period and extreme weather events (IPCC, 2001; Overpeck & Cole, 2006). Secondly, the illegal and random deforestation reduces crop production by jeopardizing environmental services such as crop pollination, genetic resources, clean air and water supplies (Foley et al., 2005), soil fertility and erosion (Bertol et al., 2005), pests and pathogen controls that help to maintain crop production (Ghini & Morandi, 2006).

The main reason for these facts is that temperate zones already achieved their needs on calories and yields, and the population reached steady state equilibrium. On the other hand, tropical areas are the ones with more human population, demanding more food. Moreover, crop yields in the tropics are reduced, mainly due to lack of good practice adoption and poor technological resources. In order to compensate these adverse conditions, tropical native ecosystems have been converted (Fearnside, 2006). Mainly in the past ten years, part of the converted areas are been used to produce food for export and consequently improving the GDP.

In addition to food, tropical regions are also producing fibers and bio-fuels, such as ethanol and biodiesel. At the beginning, bio-fuels were generated to help developing countries to achieve their self sustainability on fuel consumption. However, nowadays bio-fuels produced in tropical areas are being negotiated to be used as off-set of fossil fuel in Annex I countries, as a complementary action used to meet their targets on GHG emission reductions, proposed by the Kyoto Protocol (UNFCCC, 2006).

**CO₂ emission by agricultural land in Brazil**

Concerns about global warming and increasing atmospheric greenhouse gases concentrations (CO₂,
CH₄ and N₂O) have led to questions on the role of soils as a source or sink of carbon (Houghton, 2003). Excluding carbonated rocks, soils constitute the largest surface carbon pool, approximately 1500 Gt, equivalent to almost three times the quantity stored in the terrestrial biomass and twice the amount stored in the atmosphere (IPCC, 2003).

Therefore, any modification of land use or land management can induce changes in soil carbon stocks, even in agricultural systems in which carbon is perceived to be in a steady state (Six et al., 2002; Lal, 2006). For the Brazilian territory, the procedures adopted to estimate CO₂ emission from agricultural land are described in Bernoux et al. (2001; 2002).

Briefly, soil organic C stocks to a depth of 30 cm were estimated for Brazil on the basis of a map of different soil-vegetation associations combined with results from a soil database. The soil-vegetation map was derived by combination of soil (EMBRAPA, 1981) and vegetation (IBGE, 1988) maps at the 1:5,000,000 scale. The original soil and vegetation classifications were simplified to six soil classes (from 2698 map units divided into 69 soil types) and 15 vegetation categories (from 2021 map units divided into 94 vegetation types) on the basis of criteria recommended by IPCC (1997). The soil-vegetation map comprised 75 categories in 21,111 map units. Mean representative C stocks of the map categories were calculated using a soil profile database (Bernoux et al., 2002) containing information on C concentration, bulk density, soil type and native vegetation. Approximately 2694 soil profiles were used to obtain the range of 1.5 to 41.8 kg C m⁻² for the mean representative C stocks (Figure 1). In total, Bernoux et al. (2002) estimated that about 36.4 ± 3.4 Pg C was stored in the 0-30 cm layer of Brazil. Using the map of the Brazilian soil C stocks for the 0-30 cm layer, Bernoux et al. (2001) calculated the first approximation of CO₂ fluxes from soils in Brazil for the 20 year periods of 1970-1990 and 1975-1995. The methodology employed was an adaptation of the approach proposed by the IPCC in “Revised 1996 guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories”, which is based on the variation in soil C stocks as a function of change in land-use. They showed that the annual fluxes for Brazil indicate a net emission of CO₂ to the atmosphere, which decreased from 93.3 Tg CO₂ for the period 1970-1990 to 46.4 Tg CO₂ (or 12.65 Tg C) for the period 1975-1995. This important change is associated with the rapid changes of land use in Brazil (Bernoux et al., 2001).

Impact of climate change on the tropical agriculture

Global climate changes caused by increased greenhouse gas emissions to the atmosphere from anthropogenic activities have direct influence on natural and agrosystem functioning (Lal, 2002). Modifications in hydrologic regimes and atmosphere temperature due to anthropogenic greenhouse effect provoke variations in plant productivity and therefore, affect food production (IPCC, 2003).

Crop simulation models, driven by future climate scenarios from global circulation models, suggest that the reduction in agricultural production would be more severe in tropical regions (IPCC, 2001), where there is still a shortage of food production.

No clear picture has emerged on the regional consequences of climate change for agricultural production. However, uncertainties are beginning to narrow on some general research findings. The Third Assessment Report (TAR) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), summarized by Easterling & Apps (2005), reported that models simulate the capacity of temperate crops to absorb 2-3 degrees C of warming before showing signs of stress. Crops grown in the tropics, wheat especially, exhibit immediate yield decline with even the slightest warming (Figure 2) because they are currently grown under conditions close to maximum temperature tolerances—even a little warming sends them over the edge. Developing countries everywhere will strain to maintain food security while preserving ecosystem services as they meet the challenges of climate change.

Brazil, located almost entirely in the tropical zone, is not an exception to this rule, and therefore, is
susceptible to reductions in agricultural and cattle ranching production. Moreover, agriculture comprises the largest single sector of the Brazilian economy, representing 29% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2002, and about 47.5% of the Brazilian exports in 2003. Therefore, understanding the possible impacts of climate change on the Brazilian agriculture is a key point for governmental decision-makers, in order to avoid jeopardizing domestic food production and agricultural exports.

Research about the impacts of climate change on Brazilian agriculture is scanty and has focused mainly only on grain production (Siqueira et al., 1994; 2001). Simulations of grain production are usually done by coupling a crop growth model with a climate change scenario and projected increases in CO₂ from a future emission scenario, using historical climate data and current CO₂ levels as a base scenario.

Siqueira et al. (1994; 2001) presented results on wheat (*Triticum vulgare* Vill), maize (*Zea mays* L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr) production simulations with the crop growth model CERES and SOYGRO for 13 Brazilian situations under climate change scenarios generated by GISS (Goddard Institute for Space Studies), GFDL (Geophysical Fluid Dynamic Laboratory) and UKMO (United Kingdom Meteorological Office) GCMs run with 330 and 555 ppm CO₂.

Siqueira et al. (2001) reported that simulations show an increase in the mean air temperature between 3 to 5°C and an increase of about 11% in the mean precipitation for the Center-South region throughout the year 2050. This scenario would cause a reduction of 30 and 16% of the wheat and corn productions, respectively; and an increase of about 21% in the soybean production. These figures correspond to a reduction of 1 million tonnes of wheat and 2.8 million tonnes of corn and an increase of 3.5 million tonnes of soybean. The major problems resultant from additional rainfall are related to higher probability of disease incidences, greater difficulties in cultivation management and higher risks of soil water erosion.

Sivakumar & Das (2005) pointed out that periodic occurrences of severe El Niño – associated droughts in northeastern Brazil have resulted in occasional famines. Under doubled-CO₂ scenarios, yields are projected to fall by 17 to 53% depending on whether or not direct effects of CO₂ are considered.

The effects of the climate change scenarios in the agroclimatic zoning of arabic coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) is important in the main plantation areas in Brazil (Silva et al., 2006). The simulations presented by Assad et al. (2004) indicate a reduction of suitable areas greater than 95% in the states of Goiás, Minas Gerais and São Paulo, and about 75% for Paraná when the temperature increases in 5.8°C. In terms of annual crop production, the effects of the high temperatures are negative.

### Plant pests

Plant pests, which include insects, pathogens and weeds, continue to be major constraints to food and agricultural production in both developed and developing countries (Burdon et al., 2006). Crop losses significantly reduce the amount of food available for human and animal consumption, thus contributing directly to food insecurity and poverty (Epstein & Mills, 2005). They also negatively affect internal and external marketing and trade in agricultural products, reduce farmers’ income, and block poverty alleviation (IPCC, 2001).

Global drivers of plant pest problems include intensification of cropping which provides greater host availability for pests, international trade and food aid that increases the movements of plants and often their accompanying pests, migration and tourism that increase movement of people who carry plant materials, and civil conflict and war, that both increase movement of refugees and military personnel and disrupt phytosanitary control systems at borders (FAO, 2005).

Climate change as a driver will have different effects on the various types of pests (Garrett et al., 2006; Ghini & Morandi, 2006). Based on studies of individual species, climate change may affect: pest developmental rates and numbers of pest generations per year; pest mortality due to cold and freezing during winter months; or host plant susceptibility to pests (Burdon et al., 2006). When two or more species contribute to a pest problem, as with vectored pathogens or pathogens which cause more severe symptoms in the presence of simultaneous insect damage, the effects of climate change could be expressed through any of...
...these species (Ghini, 2005; Garrett et al., 2006). Overall temperature increases may influence crop pathogen interactions by speeding up pathogen growth rates, which increases reproductive generations per crop cycle (Ghini & Morandi, 2006), by decreasing pathogen mortality due to cold winter temperatures, and by effects on the crop itself that leave the crop more vulnerable (FAO, 2005).

As if the inter-relationships between plants, pest organisms, and the existing environment weren't staggeringingly complex, the onus of potential global climate change bodes yet further complications of the fragile equation (Ghini, 2005). With a specific focus on major classes of crop pests, Pritchard & Amthor (2005) suggest that: i) warming may favor most weeds in comparison to crops; ii) rising CO$_2$ also is likely to enhance weed growth relative to crops; iii) being highly adaptable, many weed species can be expected to rapidly and more effectively adapt to increasing stresses such as rising atmospheric ozone and soil salinization; iv) warming trends most likely will also increase abundance, growth rate, and geographic range of many key crop-attacking insect pests; v) warming may, depending on the shifting of precipitation patterns, stimulate microbial pathogens; vi) crop tissue chemistry, including nitrogen and water content as well as inducible defense mechanisms, is likely to evolve as environmental change occurs; vii) on the plus side, rising CO$_2$ may stimulate rhizobia and mycorrhizae and benefit both crop plants and soil dwelling symbionts; and vii) warming (soil) may be beneficial in some regions, but harmful in those regions where optimal soil temperatures already exist.

Control of plant pests still involves substantial use of pesticides, which protect crops and boost productivity but can have severe side effects on human health and the environment (Epstein & Mills, 2005). The risks for developing countries – where farmers cannot afford less toxic compounds, proper application equipment and appropriate personal protection – are particularly great (FAO, 2005). Genetically modified crops offer a solution to the control of weeds and some pests – and their use has increased as a result (Garrett et al., 2006).

Examples of management practices for greenhouse gas emission reduction and soil carbon sequestration

Conventional versus no-tillage system

No-tillage is presumed to be the oldest system of soil management. In some parts of the tropics, No-tillage is still practiced as part of slash-and-burn agriculture. After clearing an area of forest, by controlled burning, seed is placed directly into the soil. However, as mankind developed more systematic agricultural systems, cultivation of the soil became an accepted practice as a means of preparing a more suitable environment for plant growth. Paintings in ancient Egyptian tombs portray farmers tilling their fields using a swing-plough and oxen, prior to planting. Indeed, tillage symbolized by the mouldboard plough became almost synonymous with agriculture (Dick & Durkalski, 1997). No-tillage can be defined as a crop production system where soil is left undisturbed from harvest to planting except for fertilizer application.

Conversion of native vegetation to cultivated cropland under conventional tillage system has resulted in a significant decline in soil organic matter content (Paustian et al., 2000; Lal, 2002). Farming methods that use mechanical tillage, such as the mouldboard plough for seedbed preparation or discing for weed control, can promote soil C loss by several mechanisms: they disrupt soil aggregates, which protect soil organic matter from decomposition (Karlen & Cambardella, 1996; Six et al., 1999; Soares et al., 2005), they stimulate short-term microbial activity through enhanced aeration, resulting in increased levels of CO$_2$ and other gases released to the atmosphere (Bayer et al., 2000a; 2000b; Kladivko, 2001), and they mix fresh residues into the soil where conditions for decomposition are often more favourable than on the surface (Karlen & Cambardella 1996; Plataforma Plantio Direto, 2006). Furthermore, tillage can leave soils more prone to erosion, resulting in further loss of soil C (Bertol et al., 2005; Lal, 2006).

No-tillage practices, however cause less soil disturbance, often resulting in significant accumulation of soil C (Sá et al., 2001; Schuman et al., 2002) and consequent reduction of gas emissions, especially CO$_2$, to the atmosphere (Lal, 1998; Paustian et al., 2000) compared to conventional tillage. There is considerable evidence that the main effect is in the topsoil layers with little overall effect on C storage in deeper layers (Six et al., 2002).

Globally, at present, approximately 63 million ha are under no-tillage systems with USA having the largest area (Lal, 2006). In Brazil the no-tillage system started in the south region (Paraná State) in 1972 as an alternative to the misuse of land causing erosion (Denardin & Kochhann, 1993). The underlying land management principles that led to the development of no-tillage systems in Brazil were, prevention surface sealing caused by rainfall impact, achievement and maintenance of an open soil structure and reduction of the volume and velocity of surface runoff. Consequently, the no-tillage strategy was based on two essential farm practices: (i) not tilling and (ii) keeping soil covered at all times. This alternative strategy.
quickly expanded to different states and the planted area under no-tillage has since then increased exponentially.

In the early 90’s the area covered by the system was 1 million ha increasing 10 times by 1997. Now, the approximately 20 million ha covered by no-tillage practice (Febrapdp, 2006) make Brazil the second largest adopter in the world. This expansion is taking place not only as a result of the conversion from conventional tillage in the southern region (72%) but also after clearing natural savannah in centre-west area (28%). More recently, due to the high profits, ranchers in the Amazon region are converting old pastures into soybean/millet under no-tillage.

Changes in soil C stocks under no-tillage have been estimated in earlier studies for temperate and tropical regions. Cambardella & Elliott (1992) showed an increase of 6.7 t C ha\(^{-1}\) in the top 20 cm in a wheat-fall rotation system after 20 years of no-tillage in comparison to conventional tillage. Reicosky et al. (1995) reviewed various publications and found that organic matter increased under conservation management systems with rates ranging from 0 to 1.15 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), with highest accumulation rates generally occurring in temperate conditions. Lal et al. (1998) calculated a C sequestration rate of 0.1 to 0.5 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in temperate regions. For the tropical west of Nigeria, Lal (1997) observed a 1.33 t C ha\(^{-1}\) increment during 8 years under no-tillage as compared to the conventional tillage of maize, which represents an accumulation rate of 0.17 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\).

In the tropics, specifically in Brazil, the rate of C accumulation has been estimated in the two main regions under no-tillage systems (south and centre-west regions). In the southern region Sá (2001) and Sá et al. (2001) estimated a greater sequestration rates of 0.8 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the 0-20 cm layer and 1.0 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the 0-40 cm soil depth after 22 years under no-tillage compared to the same period under conventional practice. The authors mentioned that the accumulated C was generally greater in the coarse (> 20 µm) than in the fine (< 20 µm) particle-size-fraction indicating that most of this additional C is weakly stable.

Bayer et al. (2000a; 2000b) found a C accumulation rate of 1.6 t ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) for a 9 year no-tillage system compared with 0.10 t ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) for the conventional system in the first 30 cm layer of an Acrisol in the southern part of Brazil. Corazza et al. (1999) reported an additional accumulation of approximately 0.75 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the 0-40 cm soil layer due to no-tillage in the savannah region located in the central-west (Table 1). Estimates by Amado et al. (1998;1999) indicated an accumulation rate of 2.2 t ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) of soil organic C in the first 10 cm layer. Other studies considering no-till system carried out in the centre-west part of Brazil (Lima et al., 1994; Castro-Filho et al., 1998; Riezebos & Loerts, 1998; Vasconcellos et al., 1998; Peixoto et al., 1999; Spagnollo et al., 1999; Resck et al., 2000) reported soil C sequestration rates due to no-tillage varying from 0 up to 1.2 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) for the 0-10 cm layer.

Bernoux et al. (2006) reported that most studies of Brazilian soils give rates of carbon storage in the top 40cm of the soil of 0.4 to 1.7 t C ha\(^{-1}\) per year, with the highest rates in the Cerrado region. However, the authors stressed that caution must be taken when analyzing no-till systems in term of carbon sequestration. Comparisons should include changes in trace gas fluxes and should not be limited to a consideration of carbon storage in the soil alone if the full implications for global warming are to be assessed.

As mentioned before, the no-tillage system in Brazil can vary significantly between regions. Therefore, we have used in our calculations of additional soil C accumulation due to non-tillage a weighted average value of 0.5 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the first 10 cm depth. This weighted average was calculated using soil C sequestration rates for the southern region (72% of the no-till area) and also for the central-west region (28% of the cultivated area under no-till system) as data shown in Table 1.

The total area in Brazil under the no-tillage system is about 20 million ha, and the weighted average soil C accumulation rate due to no-tillage adoption is 0.5 t C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the first 10 cm depth, giving an estimated change in total soil C of about 10 Mt yr\(^{-1}\). In addition we should include a C offset due to a significant reduction in fuel consumption (60 to 70%) in the no-tillage system as compared to the conventional tillage (Plataforma Plantio Direto, 2006).

It is important to mention that there is a lot of controversy regarding whether no-till really does sequester much soil C, especially when the whole soil profile is considered (Smith et al., 1998). Most studies that have looked at the whole profile have shown insignificant soil C gain. The quantity of residues returned, variations in the practices implemented and perhaps the type of climate are factors likely to influence the outcome. According to Smith et al. (1998) only certain fixed amounts of soil C can be gained up to a new equilibrium limit, which is reversible if management reverts to conventional tillage.

Burning versus non-burning harvesting sugar cane system

The sugar cane crop offers one of the most cost-effective renewable energy sources that are readily available in developing countries (Macedo, 1998). It
Table 1 - Carbon storage rates (accumulation following conversion of a conventional to no-tillage system) in no-tillage systems in Brazil. Expanded from Bernoux et al. (2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Succession or dominant plant</th>
<th>Reported soil classification</th>
<th>Clay (%)</th>
<th>Layer (cm)</th>
<th>Duration (yr)</th>
<th>Rate (t C ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cerrados region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planatina DF</td>
<td></td>
<td>S/W</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Corazza et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinop MT</td>
<td></td>
<td>R - S/So - R/So - S/M-S/E</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>50-65</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Perrin, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goiânia GO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rice/Soya</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Ud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Verde GO</td>
<td></td>
<td>M or S/Fallow/S or So or Mi</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>45-65</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Scopel et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td></td>
<td>M or S</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Resck et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Londrina PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>W/S</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Machado &amp; Silva, 2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Londrina PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>S/W - S/L -M/O</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5-0.9</td>
<td>Zotarelli et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Londrina PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>S/W/S or M/W/M or S/W/M</td>
<td>Typic Haplorthox</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Castro Filho et al., 1998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Castro Filho et al., 2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Grossa PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>(S or M)/(O or W)</td>
<td>Typic Hapludox</td>
<td>40-45</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Sá et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibagi PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>(S or M)/(O or W)</td>
<td>Typic Hapludox</td>
<td>40-45</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Sá et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Grossa PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>S/T-S/A-M/T</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>Pavei, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibagi PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>M/W - S/O - S/O</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>40-45</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Venzke Filho et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibagi PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>M/W-S/O-S/O</td>
<td>Oxisol</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Siqueira Neto, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>S/O</td>
<td>Haplic Ferrasol</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.68⁴</td>
<td>Riezebos &amp; Loerts, 1998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria RS</td>
<td></td>
<td>M and Mu/M</td>
<td>Ultisol</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Amado et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue...
is a highly efficient converter of solar energy and, in fact, has the highest energy-to-volume ratio of all energy crops (Johnson, 2000). Sugar cane is a perennial crop that is harvested on an annual cycle. There may be up to six cycles before re-planting. There is generally only a short fallow between ploughing out the old cane and re-planting. On the majority of farms in Brazil sugar cane is grown as a monoculture (Macedo, 1997; Simões et al., 2005). It is a highly flexible resource, offering alternatives for production of food, feed, fibre and energy. Such flexibility is valuable in the developing world where fluctuations in commodity prices and weather conditions can cause severe economic hardships.

For biomass energy production, sugar cane is an excellent feedstock in terms of efficiency and flexibility, providing gaseous, liquid and solid fuels (Ripoli et al., 2000). It offers the potential for climate change mitigation through substitution of fossil fuels without the need for excessive subsidies or expensive infrastructure development (Oliveira et al., 2005).

The Brazilian ethanol programme remains the world’s largest CO₂ mitigation programme (Johnson, 2000; Oliveira et al., 2005). At present in Brazil, sugar cane is cultivated on about 5 million ha, with an average annual production of approximately 300 million tonnes (FNP, 2006). In 1999/00 about 19 million tonnes of sugar and 12 million m³ of alcohol were produced (CENBIO, 2002).

There are two procedures adopted for sugar cane harvesting. Traditionally, sugar cane was burnt in the field a few days before harvesting in order to facilitate manual cutting by removing leaves and insects (Thorburn et al., 2001). However, since May 2000 this common practice has been progressively prohibited by law in some areas in Brazil. In addition to CO₂ emissions, other pollutant gases are emitted during the burning period causing respiratory problems and ash fall over urban areas (Andreae & Merlet, 2001). Even though the law will not be fully implemented before 2030, the adoption of mechanical harvesting has increased exponentially in Brazil during the last decade. For example, in 1997 about 20% of the Brazilian sugar cane area was harvested by machines (Silva, 1997) and it is estimated that about 80% of the planted area in the most productive sugar cane region in Brazil will use mechanical harvesting in the next 20 years (CENBIO, 2002).
it seems likely that when the burning ban is fully implemented steeply sloping land will go out of sugar cane production unless new harvesting methods are developed (Simões et al., 2005). By the return of crop residues to the soil surface the mechanical approach has indirectly favoured soil organic matter accumulation (Thorburn et al., 2001; Luca, 2002) and gas emission reduction when compared to the burning system (Andreae & Merlet, 2001).

The net contribution of the Brazilian sugar cane industry to the evolution of atmospheric CO₂ is a combination of three activities, two industrial and one agricultural. The first activity is the substitution of gasoline as a fuel by alcohol. Since the early 1930’s the Brazilian government has given incentives for alcohol production from sugar cane to be added to gasoline in the transportation sector (Sociedade Nacional de Agricultura, 2000). Due to the oil crises in 1973-74, Brazilian authorities created new incentives through the Brazilian alcohol program (Proalcool) to increase the production of alcohol to 10.7 billion litres per year (Coelho et al., 2000). During 1975 to 2000, 156 million m³ of hydrated alcohol and 71 million m³ of anhydrous alcohol were produced. Considering that 1 m³ of gasoline can be substituted by 1.04 m³ anhydrous alcohol and 0.8 m³ hydrated alcohol and that gasoline contains on average 86.5 % C (American Petroleum Institute, 1988) we calculate that during the 1975-2000 period, 172 Mt C were offset and consequently not emitted to the atmosphere, which gives an average annual offset of 6.8 Mt C. However, the alcohol production and consumption are increasing every year in Brazil. If data just for the last 10 years were used, the offset would be about 10 Mt C yr⁻¹.

The second associated mitigation factor in the sugar cane system is related to the use of plant residues as a fuel. At the mill, the cane stalks are shredded and crushed to extract the cane juice while the fibrous outer residue, known as bagasse, is burnt to provide steam and electricity for the mill (Luca, 2002). For instance, in 1998 approximately 45 Mt dry matter of sugar cane residues were produced in Brazil (Brasil, 1999). Assuming that 2.35 t of residues substitute for 1 t of fossil fuel (Macedo, 1997) we estimate that 8 Mt C were offset in 1998 due to use of sugar cane residues at the mill instead of fuel. This renewable energy resource, found mainly in developing countries, has obvious appeal for international efforts to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. Moreover, the organic wastewater stream from alcohol production, known as vinasse, can be used as fertilizer or can be converted to methane gas through anaerobic digestion. The transportation fleets used in sugar factories and ethanol distilleries in Brazil have in some cases been powered by methane gas (Johnson, 2000). The production of alcohol has been viewed as a valuable means of saving foreign exchange in developing countries while at the same time providing local and global environmental benefits (Oliveira et al., 2005). In addition to climate mitigation and reduction of local pollutants, it can serve as an octane enhancer that might speed the phasing-out of leaded gasoline. The economic and environmental attractiveness of sugar cane as a renewable energy resource and the variety of options for increasing use of cane by-products and co-products could one day lead to sugar becoming the by-product rather than the main product.

Finally, the third activity associated with CO₂ mitigation in the sugar cane system is the conversion harvesting without prior burning. At present there are 5 Mha of sugar cane grown in Brazil (FNP, 2006) of which approximately 20% (1.5 Mha) is harvested without burning (Silva, 1997; Oliveira et al., 2005). In the absence of burning, sugar cane residues are returned to the soil surface with litter and this factor is significant because it contrasts with the alternative system where cane is burnt before harvest removing dead and green leaves, so there is very little C returned to the soil from the above ground vegetation. For instance, Blair et al. (1998) found increases in the labile C fraction in green trash treatments as compared to the trash burnt treatments in the surface soils of two green trash management trials in Australia. In Southern Brazil, Feller (2001) reported that an average of 0.32 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ was accumulated in 12 years in the first 20 cm depth of an Oxisol due to the elimination of burning. Other estimates exist, but for shorter periods of no-burning. For instance, Luca (2002) reported increases ranging from 2 to 3.1 and 4.8 to 7.8 t C ha⁻¹ respectively for the top 5 cm and 40 cm depth during the first 4 years following no-burning. The corresponding annual increase ranges from 0.5 to 0.78 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the 0.5 cm layer and 1.2 to 1.9 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the 0-40 cm layer. However, sugar cane is typically replanted each 6-7 years and tillage practices are then commonly used. This procedure would probably reduce the high rates presented by Luca (2002) if the study had been for a longer period. In our estimate of C sequestration we have used the value found by Feller (2001) because it represents the longest period of harvest without burning in Brazil and incorporates cane replanting. Thus, considering the area under this management system and the mean annual C accumulation rate, a total of 0.48 Mt C yr⁻¹ is sequestered in Brazil.

When sugar cane is burnt other greenhouse gases like CH₄ and N₂O are emitted to the atmosphere. Macedo (1998) shows that 6.5 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ are released from the burning of sugar cane. Considering the total
area with sugar cane under no burning harvesting system (1.5 Mha) and that the methane has the global warming potential of 21, we have calculated that 0.2 Mt CO$_2$-equivalent (0.05 Mt C) that are not emitted annually to the atmosphere due to the adoption of no burning. The same calculation is required for N$_2$O emission; however, currently there are no adequate measurements of this gas for sugar cane.

In summary, when sugar cane is harvested mechanically without burning in Brazil, 0.48 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ is sequestered in soil and methane emission equivalent to 0.05 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ is avoided. This total of 0.53 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ is the contribution of the agricultural sector. Moreover, the industrial sector contributes not only the 10 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ offset due to substitution of fossil fuel by alcohol for transportation but also the 8 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ by substituting fossil fuel for power generation at the mill. Combining the agricultural and the industrial sectors, sugar cane produced without burning gives a total of 18.5 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ removed from the atmosphere.

**Sequestration opportunities**

The cultivated area under no-tillage in Brazil is increasing rapidly at an average of 2.4 million ha per year over the last five years. Assuming the same growth pattern, projections show that in less than ten years the cultivated area under no-tillage will be doubled. Consequently, estimated values for soil C accumulation (10 Mt C yr$^{-1}$) presented may be doubled in the next 10 years.

The no burning harvest system adopted on 20% of the crop in Brazil contributing through soil C sequestration and C offset at a rate of 18.5 Mt C yr$^{-1}$. This rate is going to increase substantially as the no-burning system is expected to reach 50% of the crop in the next decade (Macedo, 1998).

Estimated annual fluxes for Brazilian agriculture indicate a net emission of 46.4 Mt CO$_2$ (or 12.65 Mt C) to the atmosphere for the period 1975-1995. However, the main changes in agricultural management discussed in this report contribute together to CO$_2$ mitigation with a total of 10.53 Mt C yr$^{-1}$. Of this total 10 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ relates to adoption of no-tillage and 0.53 Mt C yr$^{-1}$ relates to introduction of sugar cane harvesting without burning. The implementation of these two practices is almost sufficient to compensate for the net soil emissions of 12.65 Mt C yr$^{-1}$.

Apparently, no-tillage is more effective in sequestering C than harvesting cane without burning. However, we should emphasize that the area under no-tillage is about 10 times greater than the area under sugar cane. The C sequestration rate per unit area under no-tillage is slightly more than the rate for no burning. If the CO$_2$-equivalent of N$_2$O emitted during burning of sugar cane is subtracted, these rates would probably be similar. In addition to the CO$_2$ mitigation benefit due to no-tillage sugar cane has extra benefits derived from the substitution of fossil fuel by alcohol and bagasse.

In addition to the CO$_2$ mitigation related to the main management practices here discussed, the adoption of good management strategies has the potential to raise soil C levels and consequently improve soil structure. This results in increased infiltration, better soil water relations, reduced surface sealing and erosion which should lead to increased crop yields. The improvement and maintenance of soil C and soil structure is necessary for sustainable agricultural systems and conservation of the soil resource.

**Impact of climate change on soil organic matter status in cattle pasture in Western Brazilian Amazon**

Most studies concerning the impact of climate change on food security deal with grain production only. But beef production, with 175 million cattle in 2001, represents a large component of Brazilian agriculture. About 30% of the total is in the Amazon region where pastures are typically extensively managed and are on low fertility soils. The sustainability of these fragile ranching systems can be evaluated through the soil organic matter (SOM) status. A changing climate can induce losses of soil organic matter, upsetting the input-output nutrient balance and provoke losses in plant grass productivity, and subsequently sustainability of the overall system.

The main objective of this section was to estimate changes induced by potential climatic change on soil organic matter stocks in extensive pastures of the Brazilian Amazon region, using a modeling approach. In order to do so, we have applied the Century Ecosystem Model (Century 4.0) using Tyndall Center climatic predictions to simulate soil carbon stocks and fluxes in a chronosequence of forest to pasture located within the Nova Vida Ranch in the Rondônia State, in the western part of the Brazilian Amazon.

**Nova Vida Ranch - **It covers an area of 22,000 ha and is a mixture of native forest and well managed pastures of different ages. The climate of the region is humid tropical, with a dry season from May to September. Annual rainfall is 2200 mm. Annual mean temperature is 25.6°C. Mean temperature for the warmest and coolest months varies by less than 5°C and mean annual relative humidity is 89% (Bastos & Diniz, 1982). Soils are classified as Argissolo Vermelho-Amarelo by the Brazilian classification scheme and as Ultisols (Kandiuldults) in the U.S. soil taxonomy (Moraes et al., 1995).
**The Century Ecosystem Model** - Century is a model of soil organic matter and nutrient dynamics that emphasizes the decomposition of soil organic matter and the flux of C and N within and between different components (Parton et al., 1987). The grassland/crop and forest systems have different plant production submodels that are linked to a common soil organic matter and nutrient cycling submodel, which has been fully described before (Metherell et al., 1993; Paustian et al., 1997).

**Climate Change Scenarios** - For our soil C stock simulations, we modified the current climatic data (Table 2) measured at the study area, using Tyndall Center predictions (TYN CY 3.0 dataset for Brazil available at http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/~timm/grid/table.html). Details on the TYN SC 3.0 dataset are fully reported by Mitchell et al. (2004). Briefly The TYN CY 3.0 dataset comprises predicted climate, for the period 2001-2100. There are 16 climatic variables available: cloud cover, diurnal temperature range, precipitation, temperature, vapor pressure. There are 16 climate change scenarios making up all permutations of four GCM models (GCM2, CSIRO mk 2, DOE PCM and HadCM3; these models are used by the IPCC, 2001) with four contrasting emission scenarios (A1F1, A2, B2, B1) used by IPCC SRES scenarios (IPCC, 2001). This study is based on results from HadCM3 model combined with A1F1 SRES scenario (HadCM3- A1F1), and DOE PCM model with B1 (DOE PCM – B1), covering the maximum range in temperature and precipitation changes applied to actual data registered for the Nova Vida region.

Data from the Tyndall Center predicted that scenario HadCM3-A1F1 would cause an increase of 6.7°C in the annual mean temperature and a decrease of 461 mm in the annual mean precipitation. Scenario DOE PCM-B1 indicated smaller variations in annual temperature and precipitation as compared to the former scenario, i.e, only 1.3°C in temperature increase and 50 mm increase in the annual mean precipitation (Mitchell et al., 2004). It is important to emphasize that modifications made on actual data (Table 2) were performed using specific simulated results of temperature and precipitation for each month of the year (i.e, we did not spread simulated climatic differences uniformly throughout the year). Preserving the monthly differences in temperature and precipitation is important in areas where there are marked differences between wet and dry seasons, which is the case for our study area.

**Impact of climate change on soil organic matter** - The effects of the conversion of tropical forest to pasture on total soil C, using current weather data (Table 2), was analyzed in detail by Cerri et al. (2004), using the Century model and chronosequence data collected from the Nova Vida ranch, Western Brazilian Amazon. First, the model was applied to estimate equilibrium organic matter levels, plant productivity and residue carbon inputs under native forest conditions. Then Century was set to simulate the deforestation following slash and burn. Soil organic matter dynamics was simulated for pastures established in 1989, 1987, 1983, 1979, 1972, 1951 and 1911. Using input data from the Nova Vida ranch, the Century model predicted that forest clearance and con-

### Table 2 - Actual (measured at a local meteorological station) and predicted (according to Tyndall Center predictions) weather data for the Nova Vida Ranch. Adapted from Cerri et al. (2005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Prec</th>
<th>T max</th>
<th>T min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>HadCM3 - A1F1</th>
<th>DOE PCM - B1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prec</td>
<td>T max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Prec: monthly mean precipitation; T max: monthly mean maximum air temperature; T min: monthly mean minimum air temperature.
version to pasture would cause an initial fall in the soil C stocks, followed by a slow rise to levels exceeding those under native forest (Figure 3). The model predicted the longer-term changes in soil C under pasture close to those inferred from the pasture chronosequence. Mean differences between simulated and observed values were about 9.32 g m\(^{-2}\) for total soil C (data not shown). After approximately 80 years under pasture cultivation, simulated results showed that soils of the Nova Vida Ranch chronosequence sequestered about 1.7 kg C m\(^{-2}\) in comparison to the levels presented by the soil under native forest (Figure 3). Bearing in mind that this figure is model-derived, it is interesting to observe that soil C stocks increase even for a period longer than 100 years. On the other hand, many studies related to soil C sequestration suggest that there is probably a limit for nutrient storage in a determined soil type under specific climatic and management conditions (Lal, 2006).

Simulated effects of climate change scenarios suggested by the Tyndall Center (Mitchell et al., 2004) on soil C stock dynamics at the Nova Vida Ranch forest to pasture chronosequence are shown on Figure 4. Notice that the same parameterization procedures were adopted in the three simulated conditions (current climate, HadCM3-A1F1 and DOE PCM-B1), except for the climatic variables temperature and precipitation, which were modified (Table 2) according to the criteria discussed before.

Simulated results gave similar curve shapes for all the three modeled situations, i.e., an initial decline in soil C stock in the first two-three years, following conversion from forest to pasture, and then a steady increase during pasture establishment. Small differences of simulated soil C dynamics between DOE PCM-B1 and HadCM3-A1F1 scenarios can be observed. For instance, in the first 16-17 years after deforestation, scenario DOE PCM-B1 presented slightly higher soil C stock results as compared to the HadCM3-A1F1 scenario. Around year 20, the difference between those two-modeled conditions disappeared. Moreover, after about 80 years of pasture cultivation, simulated results showed an inversion of the pattern presented in the early period, i.e, soil C stock results were approximately 2% higher for the HadCM3-A1F1 scenario as compared to DOE PCM-B1 (Figure 4).

Figure 3 - Simulated result of soil C content in the 0 to 20 cm layer at the forest to pasture chronosequence located at Nova Vida Ranch, Rondônia State, Amazon. Adapted from Cerri et al. (2005).

According to Century model predictions, Nova Vida chronosequence soils under current climate conditions would store much more C in the 0-20 cm layer than the other two considered scenarios. Actually, simulated results applying weather data measured at the study area indicated that soil would sequester about 4160 g C m\(^{-2}\) after 80 years of continuous well managed pasture cultivation, which is approximately 400 g C m\(^{-2}\) and 465 g C m\(^{-2}\) more than the scenarios HadCM3-A1F1 and DOE PCM-B1, respectively (Figure 4).

Despite the enhancement in annual mean temperature of 1.3°C or 6.7°C (scenarios DOE PCM-B1 and HadCM3-A1F1, respectively), simulated results for those scenarios did not reflect in an increase of soil C stocks compared to the levels accomplished by actual weather data scenario. A plausible reason for this condition may be directly related to the Century model decomposition structure and concept. In the Century model, average monthly soil temperature near to the soil surface is calculated using equations developed by Parton et al. (1987). These equations calculate maximum soil temperature as a function of the maximum air temperature and the canopy biomass (lower for high biomass) while minimum soil temperature is a function of the minimum air temperature and canopy biomass (higher for high biomass). The actual soil temperature used for decomposition and plant growth rate

Figure 4 - Century simulated scenarios of soil C stock dynamics at the Nova Vida Ranch forest to pasture chronosequence, applying Tyndall Center predictions. Adapted from Cerri et al. (2005).
functions is the average of the minimum and maximum soil temperature (Metherell et al., 1993). Therefore, increasing temperature by 1.3°C or 6.7°C increases simulated decomposition rates, reducing the storage rates of C into the surface soil layer (Figure 4).

Moreover, the former inference of decomposition levels would probably occur more intensively in the slow C pool, which is responsible for about 68% of the total C in the first 20 cm soil depth (Figure 5). As expected, independent of the soil C pool (active, slow, or passive), simulated results followed the same pattern presented in Figure 4, i.e., the highest soil C content corresponds to simulations applying actual weather data and the lowest to simulations using climatic predictions from DOE PCM-B1 scenario.

The decomposition of soil organic matter in the Century model is assumed to be microbially mediated with an associated loss of CO₂ as a result of microbial respiration. The potential decomposition rate is reduced by multiplicative functions of soil moisture and soil temperature. Decomposition products flow into one of the three soil organic matter pools, each characterized by different maximum decomposition rates (Metherell et al., 1993). The active pool (Figure 5) represents soil microbes and microbial products and has a turnover time of months to a few years depending on the environment. Soil texture influences the turnover rate of the active soil organic matter (higher rates for sandy soils) and the efficiency of stabilizing active into slow soil organic matter. The slow pool in Figure 5 includes resistant plant material derived from the structural pool and soil-stabilized microbial products derived from the active and surface microbe pools. It has a turnover time of 20 to 50 years. Finally, the passive pool is very resistant to decomposition and includes physically and chemically stabilized soil organic matter, has a turnover time of 400 to 2000 years.

From the standpoint of soil C sequestration, the ideal situation is to store C in the passive pool, due to its stabilized state and long turnover time. Analyzing the simulated result presented in Figure 5 it is possible to verify that about 29% of the total C content is in the passive pool. Moreover, simulated values are increasing steadily throughout the simulation period and not maintaining a constant level as in the active pool.

The climate change scenarios impact other soil chemical, physical, and biological properties that we could not directly validate with measured data from the Nova Vida Ranch chronosequence. Another important aspect related to soil C dynamics in the Amazon region which we have not dealt with here is related to pasture management. Fearnside & Barbosa (1998) showed that trends in soil carbon were strongly influenced by pasture management. Sites that were judged to have been under bad management generally lost soil C, whereas sites under improved management had gained carbon. Trumbore et al. (1995) reported soil C losses in overgrazed pasture but soil C gains from fertilized pasture in the Amazon region. Neill et al. (1997) discussed that degraded pastures with little grass cover probably will be less likely to accumulate soil C because inputs to soil organic C from pasture roots will be diminished, but that might not be true in more vigorous regrowing secondary forest. Greater grazing intensity and soil damage from poor management would in all likelihood cause soil C and N losses. Similar processes that influence magnitude of annual soil organic matter inputs also regulate the accumulation of soil C in soils of North American grasslands (Conant et al., 2001).

We have also simulated changes in above and belowground plant productivity for pastures at the Nova Vida ranch, using weather data from current (actual data), HadCM3 – A1F1 and DOE PCM – B1 scenarios (Table 3). Modeled results showed a decrease in above and belowground productivity of 4% using DOE PCM – B1 data and about 20% reduction using data from HadCM3 – A1F1 compared to the plant productivity simulated for the current scenario (Table 3). Those simulated results suggest that climate change would cause a reduction in cattle stock rate (animals per ha) for pastures within the Nova Vida Ranch.

### Table 3 - Simulated changes in plant productivity of pastures at Nova Vida Ranch, using current (actual data), HadCM3 – A1F1 and DOE PCM – B1 scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Simulated plant productivity</th>
<th>Aboveground</th>
<th>Belowground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current (actual data)</td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE PCM - B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadCM3 - A1F1</td>
<td></td>
<td>416</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 - Simulated soil C pools in the 0-20 cm layer, using actual weather data, HadCM3 – A1F1 and DOE PCM – B1 scenarios for the conditions of forest-to-pasture chronosequence.
Modeling provides a flexible and powerful way to assess how different scenarios of climate and land use changes can affect soil C dynamics. Literature information emphasizes that the conversion of forest to pasture is an important source of greenhouse gas emission, notably CO₂ to the atmosphere. Globally, land use changes are responsible for about 14% of the total emissions. Research on soil organic matter dynamics under well-managed pastures has shown that soil organic carbon stocks progressively increase with time of pasture cultivation. This means that if considered in isolation, soils under well-managed pastures can be considered as a CO₂ sink. However, when the whole system is evaluated, including the slash and burn process, it acts as a source of CO₂ to the atmosphere. The disequilibrium between inputs and outputs of carbon can be aggravated if we consider the simulations performed in the present study. The scenarios used here indicated that there is a negative feedback of climate variability and climate change, especially in vulnerable regions where agriculture production is most sensitive to climatic fluctuations, are essential to avoid negative impacts on social and economic development.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Climate change and variability, drought and other climate-related extremes have a direct influence on the quantity and quality of agricultural production and in many cases, adversely affect it. Therefore, better agrometeorological adaptation strategies to increasing climate variability and climate change, especially in vulnerable regions where agriculture production is most sensitive to climatic fluctuations, are essential to avoid negative impacts on social and economic development.
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