Calibration and validation of models for short-term decomposition and N mineralization of plant residues in the tropics
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Abstract: Insight of nutrient release patterns associated with the decomposition of plant residues is important for their effective use as a green manure in food production systems. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the ability of the Century, APSIM and NDICEA simulation models for predicting the decomposition and N mineralization of crop residues in the tropical Atlantic forest biome, Brazil. The simulation models were calibrated based on actual decomposition and N mineralization rates of three types of crop residues with different chemical and biochemical composition. The models were also validated for different pedo-climatic conditions and crop residues conditions. In general, the accuracy of decomposition and N mineralization improved after calibration. Overall RMSE values for the decomposition and N mineralization of the crop materials varied from 7.4 to 64.6 \% before models calibration compared to 3.7 to 16.3 \% after calibration. Therefore, adequate calibration of the models is indispensable for use under humid tropical conditions. The NDICEA model generally outperformed the other models. However, the decomposition and N mineralization was not very accurate during the first 30 days of incubation, especially for easily decomposable crop residues. An additional model variable may be required to capture initial microbiological growth as affected by the moisture dynamics of the residues, as is the case in surface residues decomposition models.
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Introduction

Nutrient release associated with the addition of organic materials in agricultural systems plays a prominent role in the management of tropical soils where nutrient availability is limited (Tian et al., 2007). The addition of organic amendments influences short-term soil nutrient supply and in the long-term can also favor the build-up of organic matter and formation of stable soil aggregates (Palm et al., 2001). Insight of nutrient release patterns associated with the decomposition of plant residues is important for their effective use as a green manure in food production systems.

The decomposition of organic material in terrestrial ecosystems is controlled by its composition, soil biotic activity, and pedo-climatic conditions (Swift et al., 1979). The quality of organic materials used as a soil amendment has been considered being the most important factor controlling their subsequent decomposition and nutrient release (Heal et al., 1997). A close correlation was observed between nutrient content of plant materials and decomposition rate (Cobo et al., 2002). On the other hand, lignin (L):nitrogen (N) ratio along with polyphenol (PP) content may influence decomposition and subsequent N release from plant material even to a larger extend than L and N contents (Melillo et al., 1982; Mendonça and Stott, 2003). The C:N, L:N, PP:N and (L+PP):N ratios were observed to be inversely correlated with N release from herbaceous material, while the weight loss of the material (decomposition) was increased with decrease in L:N and (L+PP):N ratios (Cobo et al., 2002).

In addition to the several intrinsic factors of organic material, a number of interactive climatic and edaphic factors also control decomposition and nutrient release (Heal et al., 1997). However, it is difficult to quantify how these interactive factors affect the decomposition rate of organic material due to the inherent complexity of the underlying processes. Therefore tools such as simulation models can be effective to enhance our understanding of decomposition and N mineralization processes of crop residues used in agriculture. In this manner, specific field data may be used to generate more generic and useful management guidelines for farmers (Whitmore and Handayanto, 1997).

The study developed by Nascimento et al. (2011) indicated the difficulty of the models to estimate the decomposition and N mineralization in short-term. So, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the ability of the Century, APSIM and NDICEA simulation models to correctly predict the decomposition and subsequent N release rates of crop residues in the tropical Atlantic forest biome.

Materials and Methods

Material and experimental setup

Field data for this study were collected from Mar. to Aug. 2005 in Araponga [20°38’47” S,
42°32'30" W, 790 m asl), Minas Gerais state, Brazil. During the experiment the minimum temperature average observed was 14.9 °C, maximum temperatures average was 25.6 °C and the sum of rainfall during this period was 465 mm. The soil is classified as a Typic Hapludox (Soil Taxonomy), has a pH measured in water of 5.16, dystrophic, low aluminum saturation, a soil organic matter content of 57.4 g kg⁻¹ and contains 520 g kg⁻¹ clay.

The decomposition dynamics was determined during a period of 150 d for residues of Sunn Hemp (Crotalaria juncea L.) which is an annual leguminous cover-crop, Inga (Inga edulis) a perennial leguminous tree, and Brachiaria (Brachiaria decumbens Stapf.) a perennial tropical grass. The chemical and biochemical properties of the corresponding residues used in the experiment are listed in Table 1. The method using litter bag, located at the middle of the plot, with 4 mm of mesh and size 30 × 30 cm was used to estimate mass loss and N mineralization rate with residue materials in litterbags being measured at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 d. The experiment was carried out in random blocks design with four replicates in a place cultivated with Coffea arabica cropping. Samples of the plant residues were collected at flowering of the plants and 100 g of dry matter were put into the litter bags and deposited in the respective treatments. The nitrogen remaining in the residue was determined by dry combustion in an elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer CHNS/O 2400).

Model Parameterization and Calibration

Biomass decomposition and N mineralization rate predicted during 150 d by the models were tested based on surface application of organic materials. For Century, a scenario was created where the residue was applied on the soil surface (CLITTR in site.100). For APSIM, the residue module was used that simulates surface-applied residues. In the NDICEA the fresh organic matter was used as input variable based on the quantity and the characteristics of the applied organic materials.

The models have some input variables in general, as temperature, rainfall and amount of organic material which were parameterized using the experimental dataset, such as weather and soil. More details and other input variables for the models are shown in Table 2. Model equations and further details can be found in Keating et al. (2003), Nascimento et al. (2011), Parton et al. (1994) and Van de Burgt et al. (2006).

After model parameterization, calibration was performed to ensure that observed and predicted values matched observed data by modifying pertinent model parameters for each model. For the Century model, the model parameters for both structural (DEC1) and metabolic residue (DEC2) material were adjusted; in APSIM, the value for the potential decomposition rate was modified; for the NDICEA model coefficients for Initial Age of organic amendments was calibrated, which is directly related to its chemical and biochemical composition, thus an indication how recalcitrant organic materials are (Yang and Janssen, 2002). The other input variables of the models were not modified during model calibration since they were assumed to be linked to environmental or plant-specific material properties (such as temperature, moisture, C:N ratio, etc.) that are defined by model input parameters. The adjusting of the parameters values described above was done manually, which a lot of combinations were run until to reach the best fit with smallest RMSE, such as described by equation 1.

Model Validation

The models thus parameterized were then evaluated under different conditions, which included different plant species, different soil type for different temperature regimes. The parameters calibrated (DEC1 and DEC2 in Century; potential decomposition rate in corresponding residues used in the experiment are listed in Table 1. The method using litter bag, located at the middle of the plot, with 4 mm of mesh and size 30 × 30 cm was used to estimate mass loss and N mineralization rate with residue materials in litterbags being measured at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 d. The experiment was carried out in random blocks design with four replicates in a place cultivated with Coffea arabica cropping. Samples of the plant residues were collected at flowering of the plants and 100 g of dry matter were put into the litter bags and deposited in the respective treatments. The nitrogen remaining in the residue was determined by dry combustion in an elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer CHNS/O 2400).

Model Parameterization and Calibration

Biomass decomposition and N mineralization rate predicted during 150 d by the models were tested based on surface application of organic materials. For Century, a scenario was created where the residue was applied on the soil surface (CLITTR in site.100). For APSIM, the residue module was used that simulates surface-applied residues. In the NDICEA the fresh organic matter was used as input variable based on the quantity and the characteristics of the applied organic materials.

The models have some input variables in general, as temperature, rainfall and amount of organic material which were parameterized using the experimental dataset, such as weather and soil. More details and other input variables for the models are shown in Table 2. Model equations and further details can be found in Keating et al. (2003), Nascimento et al. (2011), Parton et al. (1994) and Van de Burgt et al. (2006).

After model parameterization, calibration was performed to ensure that observed and predicted values matched observed data by modifying pertinent model parameters for each model. For the Century model, the model parameters for both structural (DEC1) and metabolic residue (DEC2) material were adjusted; in APSIM, the value for the potential decomposition rate was modified; for the NDICEA model coefficients for Initial Age of organic amendments was calibrated, which is directly related to its chemical and biochemical composition, thus an indication how recalcitrant organic materials are (Yang and Janssen, 2002). The other input variables of the models were not modified during model calibration since they were assumed to be linked to environmental or plant-specific material properties (such as temperature, moisture, C:N ratio, etc.) that are defined by model input parameters. The adjusting of the parameters values described above was done manually, which a lot of combinations were run until to reach the best fit with smallest RMSE, such as described by equation 1.

Model Validation

The models thus parameterized were then evaluated under different conditions, which included different plant species, different soil type for different temperature regimes. The parameters calibrated (DEC1 and DEC2 in Century; potential decomposition rate in tropical conditions.

Table 2 – Main input variables in the simulation models tested for tropical conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Entry parameters</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Century</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount of organic material</td>
<td>g (m²)⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lignin content</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C:N Ratio</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APSIM</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount of organic material</td>
<td>t ha⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C:N Ratio</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDICEA</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>soil pH</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount of organic material</td>
<td>kg ha⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N content</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C:N Ratio</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASS:DISS Ratio</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>HEM</th>
<th>CEL</th>
<th>LIG</th>
<th>TSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunn Hemp</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inga</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEM = hemicellulose; CEL = cellulose; LIG = lignin; TSP = total soluble polyphenols.
APSIM; and initial age in NDICEA) were not modified during the models validation, maintaining the calibration according to the chemical and biochemical composition of the organic material. Experimental dataset were used from a study conducted by Espindola et al. [2006]. This study was conducted in Seropédica, [22°45'40" S, 43°41'4" W, 25 m asl] Rio de Janeiro state (Typic Hapludult), with four plant materials: forage Peanut [Arachis pintoi], tropical Kudzu [Pueraria phaseoloides], Siratro [Macropodium atropurpureum] and weed fallow with predominantly Guinea grass (Panicum maximum). The content of C and N during the dry season in the forage Peanut residues was 45.9 % and 2.9 %, respectively; in the tropical Kudzu was 47.8 % and 2.5 %; in the Siratro was 47.2 % and 2.1 %; in the weed was 45.9 % and 1.1 %. The content of C and N during the rainy season in the forage Peanut residues was 48.4 % and 2.4 %, respectively; in the tropical Kudzu was 50.7 % and 2.4 %; in the Siratro was 49.5 % and 2.5 %; in the weed was 49.2 % and 0.6 %.

The dry matter remaining and N mineralization were evaluated for 150 days during the dry season and 150 days during the rainy season using litter bags. During the dry season the average maximum and minimum temperatures were 29.2 and 17.5 °C, respectively, and rainfall of 197 mm; while during the rainy season the average maximum and minimum temperatures were 33.6 and 21.6 °C, respectively, and rainfall of 745 mm.

At the end of each period the authors calculated the constant $k$ and the dry matter and N half-life using equations 2 to 4. So, to compare the data simulated and observed in the validation we used the same calculation (equations 2 to 4). Litter bags with 4 mm of mesh and size of 30 x 30 cm were used to estimate the mass loss and N mineralization. The experiment was carried out in random blocks design with 4 replicates in a place cultivated with Musa spp. cropping.

**Statistical analysis**

**Calibration**

Evaluation of model performance for decomposition rate and N mineralization was based on visual/graphical comparison of model-predicted values with observed field measurements. Such method provides a simple and qualitative way to assess if model predictions match actual data [Smith et al., 1996].

For the quantitative assessment of model performance we used the following statistic tests: root mean square error (RMSE) and linear regression equations.

Root mean square error (RMSE) was calculated following:

$$
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum(y - \hat{y})^2}
$$

where: $y$ = measured value, $\hat{y}$ = estimated value of $y$ and n = number of observations.

Linear regression equations of the observed data were adjusted to those simulated by the models for each material, and it was statistically verified whether the intercept ($\beta_1$) differed from zero and slope ($\beta_2$) differed from one, calculated by the confidence interval of each component of the equation at 95 % probability. If the intercept, the slope or both components of the adjusted equations deviated from the tangent value (zero and one, respectively), this means that the models did not accurately simulate the plant material decomposition or N mineralization rate.

**Validation**

For the purpose of validation, the half-time life of organic soil amendment was used as a quantitative comparison of observed and simulated results of decomposition and N mineralization. For this, the constant $k$ was calculated (equation 2) for the simulated data and compared with the observed results. The following equation was used:

$$
X = X_0 \times e^{(-k \times t)}
$$

where: $X$ is the amount of dry matter and N mineralized after a period of time, $t$; and $X_0$ is the initial amount of dry matter or N in organic material. By rearranging the terms of equation 2 it is possible to calculate constant $k$ as follows:

$$
k = - \frac{\ln (X / X_0)}{t}
$$

Next step in the procedure to evaluate and rank simulation models is to compare the simulated and observed half-time life which expresses the time required for half of the residue to decompose or until half of the N contained in the residue is mineralized. The half-time life can be calculated using the following equation:

$$
t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln(2)}{k}
$$

where: $t_{1/2}$ is the dry matter or nutrient half-life, $\ln(2)$ is a constant value and $k$ is the constant of decomposition described above [Rezende et al., 1999].

**Results**

**Calibration**

Using the original (default) values for Century and APSIM, i.e., 3.90 (DEC1), 14.90 (DEC2) and 0.100, respectively (Table 3), resulted in an over-prediction of the decomposition and N mineralization rates for all plant materials (Figure 1). NDICEA overestimated the material most resistant to decomposition [Inga] in the default setting (0.99) (Table 3). However, using default setting for the other materials this model underestimated both decomposition and N mineralization rates. In general, differences between simulated and observed decomposition and N mineralization rates were great-
Table 3 – Variable that controls the decomposition rate in the models in the original (default) and calibrated value for different plant materials for 150 days of decomposition in Araponga.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Century</th>
<th>APSIM</th>
<th>NDICEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Calibrated</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Calibrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunn Hemp</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inga</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a decomposition of the structural compartment of the organic material.
b decomposition of the metabolic compartment of the organic material.

Figure 1 – Remaining dry matter and N for residues of Sunn Hemp (A and B), Inga (C and D) and Brachiaria (E and F) observed and simulated by NDICEA, Century and APSIM in the default data as related to time (150 days). The bars at the observed points correspond to the confidence interval of the observed mean.
To correctly describe N mineralization from Sunn Hemp, Inga and Brachiaria residues, initial age [IA] values used to predict N mineralization rate in the NDICEA model were slightly lower (0.54, 1.07 and 0.59, respectively) than corresponding values that gave a best fit for decomposition (0.84, 1.41 and 0.99, respectively) (Table 3). However, though these processes are coupled and it appears that other model parameters that affect N mineralization may have to be adjusted as well. Calibrated values for APSIM to correctly simulate N mineralization from Sunn Hemp residue were higher (0.030) than those determined for decomposition (0.018). For Century, calibrated values were identical for both the decomposition and N mineralization rates materials (Table 3).

Calibration enhanced the accuracy of the models to describe decomposition and N mineralization from plant residues (Figure 2). Overall RMSE values for the decomposition of the crop materials ranged from 7.4 to 64.6 % before models calibration compared to 2.7 to 10.8 % after calibration. For N mineralization similar observations were made (Figure 1 and 2). NDICEA described these two processes more accurately, and decomposition and N mineralization dynamics...
Validation

The calibration of the parameters that control the decomposition of the models (Table 2) were maintained and added to the climatic data, plant composition and soil attributes of the study developed by Espindola et al. [2006].

The simulated half-life for weed fallow plants by APSIM during the dry and rainy season differed from the other plant residues (Table 5), indicating that this model is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Observed¹</th>
<th>Century</th>
<th>APSIM</th>
<th>NDICEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>72.44</td>
<td>175.86</td>
<td>66.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudzu</td>
<td>69.30</td>
<td>67.47</td>
<td>168.55</td>
<td>66.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siratro</td>
<td>69.30</td>
<td>75.94</td>
<td>181.17</td>
<td>66.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td>138.60</td>
<td>72.69</td>
<td>220.82</td>
<td>107.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td>86.63</td>
<td>46.19</td>
<td>109.69</td>
<td>53.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>43.31</td>
<td>45.05</td>
<td>106.63</td>
<td>52.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudzu</td>
<td>115.50</td>
<td>44.67</td>
<td>103.01</td>
<td>49.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siratro</td>
<td>86.63</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>46.19</td>
<td>109.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>23.90</td>
<td>60.03</td>
<td>74.76</td>
<td>54.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudzu</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>19.87</td>
<td>62.37</td>
<td>74.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siratro</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>59.85</td>
<td>75.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>149.70</td>
<td>78.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>45.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudzu</td>
<td>57.75</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>45.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siratro</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>32.77</td>
<td>45.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Value ± confidence interval observed by Espindola et al. 2006. Bold = simulated value within the confidence interval. Italics = simulated value with less deviation than the observed, when none of the models simulated within the confidence interval.

Table 4 – Linear regression equation of the decomposition and N mineralization rates observed (ŷ) in the plant materials in relation to the data simulated (x) by NDICEA, Century and APSIM, after 150 days of decomposition in Araponga.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Decomposition equation</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>N mineralization equation</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunn Hemp</td>
<td>ŷ = 8.070 + 0.890x</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>ŷ = 2.816 + 0.831x</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inga</td>
<td>ŷ = 7.354 + 0.861x</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>ŷ = 14.550 + 0.763x</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria</td>
<td>ŷ = 3.840 + 0.882x</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>ŷ = 12.820 + 0.754x</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunn Hemp</td>
<td>ŷ = 1.036 + 0.908x</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>ŷ = -0.011 + 0.853x</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inga</td>
<td>ŷ = 31.021 + 0.624x</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>ŷ = 17.976 + 0.728x</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria</td>
<td>ŷ = 8.758 + 0.824x</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>ŷ = 5.725 + 0.825x</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunn Hemp</td>
<td>ŷ = 3.656 + 0.942x</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>ŷ = 0.538 + 0.935x</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inga</td>
<td>ŷ = 1.081 + 1.013x</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>ŷ = 17.047 + 0.769x</td>
<td>0.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria</td>
<td>ŷ = 1.858 + 0.960x</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>ŷ = 10.782 + 0.803x</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in bold of the components of the adjusted equation, intercept or inclination differ statistically from 0 and 1, respectively, at 95 % probability.
more sensitive to the C:N ratio of organic residues, since the C:N ratios for weed fallow residues were much higher. NDICEA-predicted values for the half-life of the residue dry matter during the dry season fell within the confidence interval for the residues of Kudzu, Siratro and weed fallow plants while for Peanut, the NDICEA matched actual values most closely (Table 5). The half-life of N in the plant materials simulated by NDICEA also feels within the confidence interval of Peanut and Siratro.

During the rainy season NDICEA estimated the half-life of dry matter within the confidence interval for both Kudzu and weed fallow plants residue, but overpredicted the persistence of the other residues although it matched actual values better for Peanut and Siratro residues (Table 5). It also estimated the N half-life in all plant materials within the confidence interval.

Although the performance of all models was good when estimating the half-life of dry matter and N in the rainy and dry season, NDICEA stood out with relatively more accurate estimates compared to the other models (Table 5). During the dry season APSIM appeared to perform rather poorly in predicting the amount of dry matter remaining since it underestimated the decomposition rate of all tested materials (Table 5). This behavior was observed especially for the peanut residues, which had an observed half-life of 35 days while APSIM predicted this value to be 176 days. This may be attributed to the greater sensitivity to climatic conditions. In this case model-predictions also showed a factor two difference in decomposition rates between dry and rainy seasons; where as predicted differences were much smaller for the other models.

Discussion

The original default parameters of the models led to an over- or under-prediction of decomposition and N mineralization rates (Figure 1). Model calibration was therefore essential to improve the accuracy of model predictions in short-term (Figure 2) (Müller et al, 2003). This can be attributed to the development and design of the simulation models, once the climatic conditions for the dataset used for model development differ greatly from the conditions prevailing in the tropics (Parton et al., 1987; Thorburn et al., 2001; Van de Burgt et al., 2006). However, the overall differences between predicted and observed values are still relatively small, specially with NDICEA, once predicted values below 20 % are considered excellent when dealing with field simulation (Leite and Mendonça, 2007).

Owing to the different chemical and biochemical composition of plant residues, the parameters controlling decomposition rates within Century (DEC 1 and 2), APSIM (decomposition potential) and NDICEA (IA) were calibrated (Table 3) for a range of residue materials differing in persistence. Therefore, decomposition proceeds more slowly in materials that are more recalcitrant (Constantinides and Fownes, 1994; Lupwayi and Haque, 1998), the parameter that controls the decomposition of the model was therefore adjusted accordingly.

Although NDICEA contains the IA (initial age) values for a number of organic materials (Janssen, 1984; Janssen, 1996), these values were not corroborated by those observed in this study. Therefore, calibrated IA values were linked to the biochemical composition of the plant materials, mainly with the N, lignin and polyphenol content, and their ratios, which influence the decomposition and N mineralization rate of plant organic materials directly (Cobo et al., 2002; Constantinides and Fownes, 1994; Fox et al., 1990).

To simulate accurately the decomposition of plant residues of organic material, the parameter that controls the decomposition process in Century and APSIM was calibrated so as to decrease decomposition (Table 4), such as observed by Nascimento et al. (2011) to calibrate the same models to decomposition and N-release for 360 days. In the calibrated models, this reduction of the default value of the parameter Century and, more markedly for APSIM, suggested high sensitivity of the models to prevailing environmental conditions (Addiscott, 1995). Century integrates both temperature and precipitation conditions into a single parameter (Parton et al., 1987), which may reduce its sensitivity to variations of environmental characteristics in comparison with APSIM. The decomposition rate in Century is also controlled by the lignin content (Parton et al., 1987), which helps to adjust the decomposition estimated by this model, resulting in the minor discrepancies in the calibration compared to the original model.

After calibration, the models simulated decomposition and N mineralization rates from plant materials more accurately for the first 150 days of the process (Table 4). So once calibrated, the tested models can be used to describe both processes also for tropical conditions, as the validation data suggests (Table 5). However, after calibration NDICEA prediction generally matched observed data well for a range of environmental conditions and residues materials. Nevertheless, all models failed to correctly predict initial decomposition of residues, it is suggested that in this period (about 30 days) the loss of some chemical compounds by leaching (washing by rain or dew) or initial microbiological growth is presumably not taken into account by the models (Jans-Hammermeister and McGill, 1997; Heal et al., 1997; Swift et al., 1979).

Upon suitable calibration models may be useful to better describe the fate of plant residues in soil. This presents a number of practical applications including improved assessment of short-term nutrient availability and long-term soil organic matter balances. However, additional research for model calibration and validation for different soil types and land use systems under tropical conditions is still needed. Furthermore, it may be desirable to include model approaches that more closely capture actual processes (e.g. replace empirical components...
with mechanistic ones that are appropriate for tropical conditions], examples included run-off and tillage components that are especially relevant for tropical conditions. However, a mechanistic representation of plant residue decomposition in the soil is rather difficult because the transformation of the original plant material cannot be measured directly in the soil [Jans-Hammermeister and McGill, 1997]. Jans-Hammermeister and McGill [1997] listed two methods that can be used to overcome these problems: 1) regression analysis of the C mineralization curve [assuming that mineralization is equal to the decomposition rate], 2) calculation of microbiological growth in the decomposing residues. As suggested for Nascimento et al. [2011], even for trees and grass residues, a way of solving the difficulty in estimating decomposition and N mineralization during the initial months (rapid decomposition and N release) by Century and APSIM and even by NDICEA should be the incorporation of a variable representing microbiological growth on the residue.

**Conclusions**

Century, APSIM and NDICEA overestimated or underestimated the decomposition and N mineralization rates of all plant materials. For the humid tropics initial models calibration of these models is therefore imperative when first introducing them into a specific pedo-climatic region.

The calibration of the models enhanced the accuracy of estimates of the decomposition and N mineralization rates from organic plant materials. The accuracy of all models was satisfactory. By using the calibration and validation, NDICEA had the highest degree of accuracy when describing decomposition and N mineralization of plant residues under local conditions during 150 days.
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