

## Comparison between balance assessment instruments for adult and elderly women in the community

### *Comparação entre instrumentos de avaliação do equilíbrio em mulheres adultas e idosas da comunidade*

 Juliane Leite Orcino<sup>1</sup>,  Martina Estevam Brom Vieira<sup>2</sup>, Hadassa Costa Sousa<sup>1</sup>,  Mariana Ferreira Moreira<sup>1</sup>, Guilherme Augusto Santos Bueno<sup>3</sup>,  Darlan Martins Ribeiro<sup>4</sup>,  Cibelle Kayenne Martins Roberto Formiga<sup>2</sup>,  Flávia Martins Gervásio<sup>5</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To compare two balance assessment instruments, BESTest and Baropodometry, for women and to verify the influence of age, comorbidities and sight on balance. **Method:** Cross-sectional, quantitative study conducted with adult women (50 to 64 years old) and elderly women (65 years old and older). Balance was assessed by a baropodometric platform and the Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest). T test, Anova with Bonferroni correction, and Linear Regression were applied to analyze the data, and statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . **Results:** 156 women participated in the study, 54 adults (59 years  $\pm$  3.93) and 102 elderly women (71 years  $\pm$  4.8). BESTest evidenced that adult women performed better ( $p < 0.01$ ) than older women in the categories biomechanical constraints, transitions and anticipations, sensory orientation, gait stability and total score. There was a relationship between BESTest and self-reported comorbidities in the elderly group in items such as biomechanical restrictions, transitions / anticipations and total score categories ( $p < 0.01$ ). Baropodometry identified alterations of latero-lateral displacement with eyes closed between both groups ( $p = 0.01$ ), and the elderly presented worse performance. However, such tools had little relation to each other, and their association ranged from 5 to 11%. **Conclusion:** BESTest and baropodometry were effective in detecting differences between the balance of adult and elderly women but had a low association with each other. It is suggested that they should be adopted as complementary and not substitutable evaluations in the physiotherapist's clinical practice.

**Keywords:** Postural Balance, Aged, Women

#### RESUMO

**Objetivo:** Comparar dois instrumentos de avaliação do equilíbrio, BESTest e Baropodometria, em mulheres da comunidade e verificar a influência da idade, comorbidades e visão no equilíbrio. **Método:** Estudo transversal, analítico, realizado com mulheres adultas (50 a 64 anos) e idosas (a partir dos 65 anos). O equilíbrio foi avaliado por uma plataforma baropodométrica e com o Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest). Aplicou-se teste T, Anova, Bonferroni e Regressão Linear utilizando o Software SPSS 23.0, adotando-se  $p < 0,05$ . **Resultados:** Participaram 156 mulheres sendo 54 adultas (59 anos  $\pm$  3,93) e 102 idosas (71 anos  $\pm$  4,8). O BESTest verificou que as mulheres adultas apresentaram melhor desempenho ( $p < 0,01$ ) em relação às idosas nas categorias restrições biomecânicas, transições e antecipações, orientação sensorial, estabilidade de marcha e escore total. Houve relação entre o BESTest e o autorrelato de doenças no grupo de idosas nas categorias restrições biomecânicas, transições/antecipações e escore total ( $p < 0,01$ ). A baropodometria identificou alteração do deslocamento latero-lateral com olhos fechados entre os grupos ( $p = 0,01$ ), sendo que, as idosas apresentaram pior desempenho. Todavia, as ferramentas supracitadas apresentaram pouca relação entre si, tendo sua associação variando entre 5 e 11%. **Conclusão:** O BESTest e a baropometria foram capazes de detectar diferenças entre o equilíbrio de mulheres adultas e idosas, porém apresentam baixa associação entre si. Sugere-se que sejam adotadas como avaliações complementares e não substituíveis na prática clínica do fisioterapeuta.

**Palavras-chave:** Equilíbrio Postural, Idoso, Mulheres

<sup>1</sup>Discente de Fisioterapia, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG

<sup>2</sup>Doutora Docente, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG

<sup>3</sup>Doutorando, Universidade de Brasília – UnB

<sup>4</sup>Mestre Docente, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG

<sup>5</sup>Doutora Docente, Coordenadora do Laboratório do Movimento Dr. Cláudio Almeida Borges, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG

#### Mailing address:

Juliane Leite Orcino

E-mail: [julianeorcino@hotmail.com](mailto:julianeorcino@hotmail.com)

Submitted: April 15th, 2020

Accepted: June 19th, 2020

#### Como Citar

Orcino JL, Vieira MEB, Sousa HC, Moreira MF, Bueno GAS, Ribeiro DM, et al. Comparison of assessment tools for measuring balance of adult and elderly women. *Acta Fisiatr.* 2019;26(4):215-219.

DOI: 10.11606/issn.2317-0190.v26i4a168823



©2019 by Acta Fisiátrica  
This work is licensed under a  
Creative Commons - Attribution 4.0 International

**INTRODUCTION**

Changes in the Aging Index is a reality. It is understood that in 2043, the population proportion of elderlies will rise to 25%, whereas those with 14 years of age will be about 16.3%.<sup>1</sup> Balance alterations evolves as decades of life elapse, such that the base for postural support is unchanged, however the stability limits for balance itself are reduced.<sup>2</sup>

There are several issues involved with balance reduction such as aging itself, sedentarism, history of diseases and falls.<sup>3</sup> Currently, balance is stratified into four items: static balance, pro-active balance (anticipated reactions), and reactive balance (capacity to apply strategies after balance disturbances).<sup>4</sup>

The ideal balance measurement requires assessments on all items and applying established evaluations that measures some or only one of them, as Timed Up and Go that is limited within dynamic balance or Romberg test that is limited within static balance, for instance.<sup>5</sup>

The Balance Evaluation System Test (BESTest) is the only clinical assessment that evaluates postural response towards external disturbances and the vertical postural perception. This evaluation tool is validated for elderly population; however, it is not widely used among active elderly women.<sup>6,7</sup>

Another evaluation tool for corporal balance in its different items is the analysis of center of gravity and pressure measures. The baropodometry can be used for such measurements, with good reliability (ICC>0.7).<sup>8</sup> Balance assessment among elderly is common, however the best assessment tool for measuring corporal balance of elderly healthy women is still a gap in the specialized literature.

**OBJECTIVE**

The objectives of this study are to compare two tools for evaluating corporal balance, BESTest and Baropodometry, in a population of adult and elderly women to understand the influence of comorbidities and visual acuity towards balance.

**METHODS**

This is a cross sectional study that was conducted at the Mobility Laboratory Dr. Cláudio de Almeida at the State University of Goiás (UEG – Universidade Estadual de Goiás, Brazil), Sports Campus. This study was approved by the Ethics Review Board UFG (reg. no. 741.298/2019) and the participants were included after signing the Informed Consent Form (ICF).

Women who participated in physical activities at the Third Age Open University Program (Programa Universidade Aberta a Terceira Idade – UNATI) of UEG campus Goiânia were invited. The authors included women with 50 years of age or older, with independent gait, with a score of at least 14 in the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE),<sup>9</sup> considering their educational level.

Eight women were excluded due to use of assistive devices, self-report of incapacitating pain, history of injury or fracture in lower limbs 6 months preceding inclusion, severe osteoporosis and uncontrolled arterial hypertension.<sup>10</sup>

The included participants were grouped as adults (50 to 59 years of age), and elderly (above 60 years of age), once previous studies demonstrates balance alterations since early middle age,<sup>11,12,13</sup> therefore it is possible to observe the first evidences of balance alterations in advance.

A sample size estimation was defined with at a website,<sup>14</sup> in which we set standard deviation of 3.4, difference of 4.3 points between both groups, power of 99% and alpha (Type I error) of 5% for the total score of BESTest, according to the results of O’Hoski et al.<sup>6</sup> The sample size was estimated with 46 participants, 23 individuals for each group.

The assessments were conducted by an experienced and trained physiotherapist. At first, there was an anamnesis for demographical data (age and body mass index, BMI), self-report of falls in the 12

months preceding the evaluation, comorbidities, and shoe size. After the anamnesis, the participant was evaluated by the MMSE, the BESTest, and baropodometry. It all was concluded in a single visit of about 1 hour and 30 minutes.

The BESTest is composed of 27 items and stratified into six categories (Chart 1) that evaluates different aspects of corporal balance. The evaluation was applied according to the original protocol proposed by Horak, Wrisley, and Frank.<sup>15</sup> The partial scores are standardized to percentages in which 100% means the best performance in each category. The total score is the sum of all partial scores.

**Chart 1.** Description and characterization of BESTest 6 categories

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Biomechanical Constraints</b>         | Feet deformities inspection and/or self-report of pain, center of mass alignment, lower limbs strength, trunk stability, ankle range of motion, ability to sit and rise from the floor                           |
| <b>Stability limits / Vertically</b>     | Lateral inclination, seating for both sides, return and realignment to vertical position, functional reach towards anterior and lateral foot   |
| <b>Anticipatory postural adjustments</b> | Sit and stand from a chair, stand on the toes without support, unilateral support, touch a degree with both feet alternatively, (8 times), 2kg halter elevation with shoulder flexion at 90º and elbow extension |
| <b>Postural Responses</b>                | Verify the capacity to recover balance after external disturbances, which is done by the evaluator in all directions   |
| <b>Sensory Orientation</b>               | Sensory orientation by maintaining erect posture with opened and closed eyes on a foam and on a ramp   |
| <b>Stability in Gait</b>                 | Stability during gait with speed changes, sudden pauses, changes of direction, deviating and passing obstacles   |

The BESTest evaluation requested several objects to be carried: a chair with lumbar support and arm rest, a chair without arm rest, a 3kg halter, a 10º inclination ramp, a medium density foam, measuring tape, a step, obstacles (in this study, two shoeboxes), chronometer and a tape to mark distances on the ground.

The static baropodometry of the distance from the center of gravity to the center of pressure, as well as the latero-lateral and antero-posterior displacements of the center of pressure was carried out with a podobarometer platform Foot Work® (Arquipelago, Brasil). This device is equipped with quartz sensors and data is captured at a frequency of 150Hz and transmitted and stored into a laptop.

The participants were requested to be barefoot, in orthostatic position and without support, with both feet on parallel bases for sixty seconds.<sup>16</sup> The displacement measurements are described as centimeters and greater distances mean reduced postural balance.

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 23.0 was the software used for statistical analysis. Normality was tested with Shapiro-Wilk test and the group comparisons between adults and elderlies were tested with Student’s T-test. ANOVA and Bonferroni, as post hoc, were used to compare pathology groups (self-report of no pathologies, one or two pathologies or more than 3 pathologies).

Linear regression was applied with BESTest results as dependent variable and balance items as independent variables in other three different groups, the adults, the elderlies, and the whole sample combined. Statistical significance was achieved whenever p<0.05 for all analysis. This study met the ethical principles of the Helsinki Declaration<sup>17</sup> and the Brazilian regulations.

## RESULTS

A total of 156 women were included in this study. Their characteristics are described in Table 1, as well as the stratification into adults (50 to 64 years of age) and elderly (above 65 years of age).

**Table 1.** Characterization of age (years), weight (kg), height (cm), body mass index (BMI), and history of falls in the preceding 12 months of adults (n=54) and elderly (n=102)

|                  | Mean (SD)      |               | p <sup>a</sup> |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|                  | Adults         | Elderly       |                |
| Age              | 59,3 (±3,93)   | 71,8 (±4,80)  | 0,001          |
| Weight           | 67,16 (±10,58) | 64,9 (±12,68) | 0,258          |
| Height           | 1,56 (±0,06)   | 1,55 (±0,07)  | 0,366          |
| BMI              | 27,38 (±3,95)  | 26,8 (±5,02)  | 0,475          |
| MMSE             | 27,25 (±3,16)  | 27,06 (±3,42) | 0,656          |
| History of falls | Absolute       | Absolute      | 0,335          |
|                  | Percentile     | Percentile    |                |
|                  | 20             | 37%           | 30             |
|                  |                |               | 29,10%         |

\* Student's T-test; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination

In the adult group, 35.2% did not have comorbidities, 50% reported one or two pathologies, and 14.8% reported three or more pathologies, whereas among the elderly they reported 18.6%, 60.8%, and 20.6%, respectively, therefore, without significant differences between both groups. Regarding the pathology characterization, 56.1% was systemic arterial hypertension, 14.6% diabetes, 7.6% glaucoma, 2.5% pulmonary diseases, and 45.2% was other diseases. 80% of the participants reported history of surgery.

**Table 2.** Comparison of performance between both groups (adult and elderly) and self-report of comorbidities

| BESTest categories                | Adults<br>(≤ 64 years) | Elderly<br>(≥ 65 years) | p <sup>a</sup> | Self-report of number of comorbidities |                |                 | p <sup>b</sup> | Simple effect <sup>c</sup>     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
|                                   |                        |                         |                | None                                   | 1 – 2          | 3 or more       |                |                                |
| Biomechanical Constraints         | 85,92 (±11,03)         | 78,88 (±14,32)          | <b>0,001</b>   | 88,59 (±9,29)                          | 81,19 (±12,16) | 72,17 (± 17,17) | <b>0,001</b>   | <b>0 ≠ 1-2, 0 ≠ 3, 1-2 ≠ 3</b> |
| Stability limits / Vertically     | 84,82 (±12,95)         | 81,18 (±12,2)           | 0,08           | 85,2 (±10,43)                          | 82,02 (±12,97) | 80,13 (±13,47)  | 0,23           | NA                             |
| Anticipatory postural adjustments | 86,93 (±11,11)         | 78,37 (±14,13)          | <b>0,001</b>   | 87,42 (±11,67)                         | 80,02 (±13,98) | 77,39 (±13,43)  | <b>0,001</b>   | <b>0 ≠ 1-2, 0 ≠ 3</b>          |
| Postural Responses                | 87,43 (±13,95)         | 83,76 (±18,36)          | 0,20           | 88,59 (±17,08)                         | 84,63 (±15,23) | 81,6 (±21,36)   | 0,24           | NA                             |
| Sensory Orientation               | 86,41 (± 9,15)         | 81,36 (±11,46)          | <b>0,01</b>    | 84,56 (±12,31)                         | 83,44 (±10,35) | 80,23 (±10,76)  | 0,25           | NA                             |
| Stability in Gait                 | 96,03 (± 5,45)         | 91,59 (±10,38)          | <b>0,001</b>   | 94,86 (±5,79)                          | 93,20 (±9,81)  | 90,64 (±10,6)   | 0,18           | NA                             |
| Total Score                       | 88,16 (±6,13)          | 82,89 (±7,7)            | <b>0,001</b>   | 88,39 (±5,6)                           | 84,38 (±7,07)  | 80,92 (±9,08)   | <b>0,001</b>   | <b>0 ≠ 1-2, 0 ≠ 3</b>          |

<sup>a</sup>, Student's T-test; <sup>b</sup>, Anova One-Way; <sup>c</sup>, significant single effects: Bonferroni; NA, not applicable; Statistical significance whenever p<0.05

**Table 3.** Performance at baropodometry with opened and closed eyes within each group (adults and elderly), and stratified by number of self-reported comorbidities

|             |                         | Distance from CG to COP (cm)* |                   |                | Displacement (cm)* |                  |                   |                |                         |                   |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|             |                         | Right                         | p                 | Left           | p                  | Antero-posterior | p                 | Latero-lateral | p                       |                   |
| Opened Eyes | Adults                  | 12, 52 (±2,02)                | 0,14 <sup>a</sup> | 13,65 (± 2,26) | 0,11 <sup>a</sup>  | 1,93 (±0,8)      | 0,12 <sup>a</sup> | 1,45 (±1,37)   | 0,28 <sup>a</sup>       |                   |
|             | Elderly                 | 11,97 (± 2,31)                |                   | 13,08 (± 1,99) |                    | 2,15 (±0,84)     |                   | 1,68 (±1,18)   |                         |                   |
|             | No comorbidities        | 11,96 (±2,24)                 |                   | 13,68 (±1,8)   |                    | 2,12 (±0,96)     |                   | 1,53 (±1,13)   |                         |                   |
|             | 1 or 2 comorbidities    | 12,25 (±2,26)                 |                   | 12,96 (±2,04)  |                    | 2,07 (±0,8)      |                   | 1,55 (±1,07)   |                         | 0,51 <sup>b</sup> |
|             | 3 or more comorbidities | 12,19 (±2,25)                 |                   | 13,77 (±2,52)  |                    | 1,53 (±1,13)     |                   | 1,84 (±1,81)   |                         |                   |
| Closed Eyes | Adults                  | 12,39 (±2,12)                 | 0,21 <sup>a</sup> | 13,71 (±2,24)  | 0,11 <sup>a</sup>  | 1,79 (±0,76)     | 0,48 <sup>a</sup> | 0,91 (±0,37)   | <b>0,01<sup>a</sup></b> |                   |
|             | Elderly                 | 11,92 (±2,26)                 |                   | 13,13 (±2,09)  |                    | 1,88 (±0,65)     |                   | 1,12 (±0,63)   |                         |                   |
|             | No comorbidities        | 12,0 (±2,27)                  |                   | 13,58 (±1,92)  |                    | 1,86 (±0,93)     |                   | 1,01 (±0,49)   |                         |                   |
|             | 1 or 2 comorbidities    | 12,09 (±2,24)                 |                   | 13,07 (±2,08)  |                    | 1,84 (±0,57)     |                   | 1,06 (±0,59)   |                         | 0,89 <sup>b</sup> |
|             | 3 or more comorbidities | 12,2 (±2,15)                  |                   | 13,79 (±2,6)   |                    | 1,89 (±0,73)     |                   | 1,03 (±0,56)   |                         |                   |

CG, center of gravity; COP, center of pressure; \* Results in mean and standard deviation; <sup>a</sup>, Student's T-test; <sup>b</sup>, One-way ANOVA

**Table 4.** Linear regression analysis of BESTest categories and baropodometry oscillations among adult and elderly women ( $p < 0.05$ )

|                | BESTest categories and baropodometry oscillations    | p            | R             | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |
|----------------|--|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| <b>ADULTS</b>  | Postural Responses x APD CE                          | 0,008        | -0,356        | 0,11                    |
| <b>ELDERLY</b> | Stability limits / Vertically x LLD OE               | 0,045        | -0,199        | 0,03                    |
|                | Anticipatory postural adjustments x DCG-COP Right OE | 0,004        | -0,285        | 0,072                   |
|                | Anticipatory postural adjustments x LLD OE           | 0,019        | -0,232        | 0,044                   |
|                | Anticipatory postural adjustments x DCG-COP Right CE | 0,001        | -0,334        | 0,102                   |
|                | Anticipatory postural adjustments x APD CE           | 0,032        | -0,212        | 0,036                   |
|                | Anticipatory postural adjustments x LLD CE           | 0,021        | -0,228        | 0,043                   |
|                | Postural responses x LLD OE                          | <b>0,000</b> | <b>-0,410</b> | <b>0,16</b>             |
|                | Sensory orientation x DCG-COP Right OE               | 0,001        | -0,317        | 0,092                   |
|                | Sensory orientation x DCG-COP Right CE               | 0,002        | -0,302        | 0,083                   |
|                | Stability in gait x LLD OE                           | 0,01         | -0,254        | 0,055                   |
|                | Total Score x DCG-COP Right OE                       | 0,08         | -0,260        | 0,058                   |
|                | Total Score x LLD OE                                 | <b>0,000</b> | <b>-0,409</b> | <b>0,16</b>             |
|                | Total Score x DCG-COP Right CE                       | 0,04         | -0,279        | 0,069                   |

LLD, latero-lateral displacement; DCG-COP, distance between center of gravity and center of pressure; APD, antero-posterior displacement; CE, closed eyes; OE, opened eyes

## DISCUSSION

The BESTest evidenced that balance of elderly women had significant deficit in all categories, except for Stability limits and postural responses, when compared to adult women. Regarding the number of comorbidities, biomechanical constraints and reduction of performance during anticipatory postural adjustments were affected.

The baropodometry analysis identified greater oscillation in static balance of elderly women when compared to adult women, specifically regarding latero-lateral displacement of center of pressure performed with closed eyes.

The evaluation tools, even though they assess balance according to different aspects, were efficient to characterize balance under different aspects of age and presence of comorbidities. However, the analysis of this study does not allow to test superiority between both evaluation methods, what suggests they are not exclusive. The BESTest analyzes different aspects of balance (static, dynamic, anticipatory, and reactive), whereas baropodometry evaluates the static bipodal posture.

In our study, the aging process and concomitant pathologies influenced balance as measured by BESTest. The presence of comorbidities induces fragility and debilitation to this population,<sup>18</sup> and BESTest has shown that greater number of comorbidities produces worse body posture and corporal alignment. Therefore, their capacity to use strategies to prevent alterations and postural control is reduced, what was shown in the total score and the partial scores of BESTest.

The items Postural Responses and Stability Limits were not influenced by age. McCrum et al.<sup>19</sup> described that postural responses may be used as a classification of elderly who are fallers and non-fallers. In our study, most of the sample was of non-faller elderly women, what may have influenced the item Postural Response not to change. Piirtola and Era<sup>20</sup> report elderly fallers need more steps as reactive strategy for balance when compared to non-fallers.

Regarding baropodometry, we found greater latero-lateral misplacement of the center of pressure when performed with closed eyes in the elderly group when compared to the adults.

Wingert and Foo<sup>21</sup> suggest that sight is compensatory to possible deficits of somatosensory and vestibular system, and that elderly subjects undergo loss of proprioception and kinesthesia of hip when compared to middle aged adults, especially with closed eyes, as the hip joint is responsible for most of the latero-lateral oscillation.

These authors also reported that better proprioception results in better performance measured by the mini-BESTest. The strategies for antero-posterior and latero-lateral balance control are different and autonomic, as exceeding oscillations, especially latero-lateral, are the cause of most cases of falls among the elderly.<sup>20</sup> Adaptation and disturbances in a movent plane do not necessary transfer and benefit

stability control in other movement planes.<sup>22</sup>

Therefore, our study found alterations in the latero-lateral plane at the expense of the antero-posterior. We emphasize that the antero-posterior oscillation is indispensable, primarily as an ankle strategy to grant gait progression, whereas the latero-lateral oscillation requires hip and trunk strategies,<sup>23</sup> which is used either during gait or for maintaining the still bipodal posture.

The item postural responses was the only variable that had moderate correlation, with 16% of interaction, with the latero-lateral displacement. The specialized literature evidence that both, postural response and latero-lateral displacement, are directly associated with balance and falls, even being capable to predict them.<sup>24,25</sup> In this regard, in our study there was no strong correlation, once most of the participants are non-fallers.

Our results indicated that Anticipatory Postural Adjustments was the subsystem with greater deficit in our study. This item, therefore, must be the aspect to be stimulated among active women during their transition to the third age for a pro-active balance. The importance of this factor is applied directly in interventions that improve anticipatory responses which are closely associated with falls due to stump or slips whenever the efficiency of anticipatory and reactive mechanisms is compromised.<sup>26</sup> In this regard, this issue can be improved by neuroplasticity of task repetitions, with 91% of success in gait stability.<sup>3</sup>

Marques et al.<sup>10</sup> verified that the BESTest was more efficient to describe frail and pre-frail elderly than center of pressure variables, suggesting that, even though they are complimentary, the BESTest was more economically advantageous in the daily clinical practice, what was confirmed in our study.

A physical rehabilitation program for improving balance among women in between age groups, low count of falls and physically active may benefit from static and dynamic exercises, predicted instabilities and unexpected external disturbances in the antero-anterior and latero-lateral direction specially, identified primarily sensitized in our study.

Professionals should also consider all sensory systems and propose interventions that motivate the integrated use of visual, somatosensorial, and vestibular information. Balance dynamic activities may include change of direction, gait on different surfaces, and rotational movements that interact trunk and hips.

Our study identifies the need to broaden the use of BESTest versions and to test its short versions, such as MiniBESTest and Brief-BESTest for evaluating balance of women, once a disadvantage of BESTest is the long time required to be applied. Also, we suggest it is necessary to apply dynamic experiences of baropodometry with simulation of balance situations as well as to control the level of physical activity.

**CONCLUSION**

BESTest could detect differences of balance between adult and elderly healthy active women in all categories, except limits of stability and postural response. The self-report of comorbidities indicated that worst balance scores regarding biomechanical constraints, anticipatory postural adjustments, and the total score. Baropodometry identified significant differences of balance deficit in the latero-lateral displacement over the center of pressure when subjects have eyes closed. Both evaluation tools are helpful for balance analysis, however whenever possible they are complementary and not exchangeable.

After evaluating balance categories, we suggest that physiotherapy interventions should provide external disturbances so that the patient develops and adapts their balance strategies to maintain their body within the support of the lower limbs, what may avoid consequent falls and comorbidities, especially among elderly women.

**REFERENCES**

- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Longevidade, viver bem e cada vez mais. Retratos, a Revista do IBGE. 2019;16:1-28.
- Duarte M, Freitas SMSF. Revisão sobre posturografia baseada em plataforma de força para avaliação do equilíbrio. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2010; 14(3):183-92. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-35552010000300003>
- Drummond A, Paz CCSC, Menezes RL. Proprioceptive activities to postural balance of the elderly - systematic review. *Fisioter Mov.* 2018; 31:e003135. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5918.031.a035>
- Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. Controle Motor. Teoria e aplicações práticas. 2 ed. Barueri: Manole; 2002.
- Kiss R, Schedler S, Muehlbauer T. Associations between types of balance performance in healthy individuals across the lifespan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:1366. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01366>
- O'Hoski S, Winship B, Herridge L, Agha T, Brooks D, Beauchamp MK, et al. Increasing the clinical utility of the BESTest, mini-BESTest, and brief-BESTest: normative values in Canadian adults who are healthy and aged 50 years or older. *Phys Ther.* 2014;94(3):334-42. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20130104>
- Viveiro LAP, Gomes GCV, Bacha JMR, Carvas Junior N, Kallas ME, Reis M, et al. Reliability, Validity, and Ability to Identify Fall Status of the Berg Balance Scale, Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest), Mini-BESTest, and Brief-BESTest in Older Adults Who Live in Nursing Homes. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* 2019;42(4):E45-E54. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.0000000000000215>
- Alves R, Borel WP, Rossi BP, Vicente EJD, Chagas PSC, Felício DC. Test-retest reliability of baropodometry in young asymptomatic individuals during semi static and dynamic analysis. *Fisioter Mov.* 2018;31:e003114. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5918.031.a014>
- Chaves MLF. Testes de avaliação cognitiva: Mini-Exame do Estado Mental [texto na Internet]. São Paulo; Academia Brasileira de Neurologia; c2019 [citado 2020 Abr 15]. Disponível em: [http://www.cadastro.abneuro.org/site/arquivos\\_cont/8.pdf](http://www.cadastro.abneuro.org/site/arquivos_cont/8.pdf)
- Marques LT, Rodrigues NC, Angeluni EO, Pessanha FPAS, Alves NMC, Freire Júnior RC, et al. Balance evaluation of prefrail and frail community-dwelling older adults. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* 2019;42(3):176-82. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.0000000000000147>
- Gervásio FL, Santos GA, Ribeiro DM, Menezes RL. Medidas temporoespaciais indicativas de quedas em mulheres saudáveis entre 50 e 70 anos avaliadas pela análise tridimensional da marcha. *Fisioter Pesqui.* 2016;23(4):358-64. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-2950/15661923042016>
- Santos Bueno GA, Ribeiro DM, Gervásio FM, Correia AM, Menezes RL. Gait profile score identifies changes in gait kinematics in nonfaller, faller and recurrent faller older adults women. *Gait Posture.* 2019;72:76-81. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.05.029>
- Ribeiro DM, Bueno GAS, Gervásio FM, Menezes RL. Foot-ground clearance characteristics in women: A comparison across different ages. *Gait Posture.* 2019;69:121-125. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.01.028>
- Dhand NK, Khatkar MS. Statulator: an online statistical calculator. Sample size calculator for comparing two independent means [Computer program]. c2014 [cited 2019 Aug 6]. Available from: <http://statulator.com/SampleSize/ss2M.html>
- Horak FB, Wrisley DM, Frank J. The Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest) to differentiate balance deficits. *Phys Ther.* 2009;89(5):484-98. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20080071>
- Baumfeld D, Baumfeld T, Rocha RL, Macedo B, Raduan F, Zambelli R, et al. Reliability of Baropodometry on the evaluation of plantar load distribution: a transversal study. *Biomed Res Int.* 2017;2017:5925137. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5925137>
- Declaração de Helsinque, Associação Médica Mundial [texto na Internet]. Campinas: FCM/UNICAMP; c2020 [citado 2020 Abr 15]. Disponível em: [https://www.fcm.unicamp.br/fcm/sites/default/files/declaracao\\_de\\_helsinque.pdf](https://www.fcm.unicamp.br/fcm/sites/default/files/declaracao_de_helsinque.pdf)
- Crenshaw JR, Bernhardt KA, Atkinson EJ, Khosla S, Kaufman KR, Amin S. The relationships between compensatory stepping thresholds and measures of gait, standing postural control, strength, and balance confidence in older women. *Gait Posture.* 2018;65:74-80. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.06.117>
- McCrum C, Gerards MHG, Karamanidis K, Zijlstra W, Meijer K. A systematic review of gait perturbation paradigms for improving reactive stepping responses and falls risk among healthy older adults. *Eur Rev Aging Phys Act.* 2017;14:3. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11556-017-0173-7>
- Piirtola M, Era P. Force platform measurements as predictors of falls among older people - a review. *Gerontology.* 2006;52(1):1-16. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1159/000089820>
- Wingert JR, Welder C, Foo P. Age-related hip proprioception declines: effects on postural sway and dynamic balance. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2014;95(2):253-61. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2013.08.012>
- Dijkstra BW, Horak FB, Kamsma YP, Peterson DS. Older adults can improve compensatory stepping with repeated postural perturbations. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2015;7:201. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2015.00201>
- Kwon YR, Choi YH, Gwang-Moon EOM, Junghyuk KO, Kim JW. Analysis of lateral balance in response to perturbation by surface tilts in young and elderly adults. *J Mech Med Biol.* 2018;18(7). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219519418400092>
- Crenshaw JR, Bernhardt KA, Achenbach SJ, Atkinson EJ, Khosla S, Kaufman KR, et al. The Circumstances, Orientations, and Impact Locations of Falls in Community-Dwelling Older Women. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2017;73:240-247. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2017.07.011>
- Nandi T, Fisher BE, Hortobágyi T, Salem GJ. Increasing mediolateral standing sway is associated with increasing corticospinal excitability, and decreasing M1 inhibition and facilitation. *Gait Posture.* 2018;60:135-140. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.11.021>
- Kelsey JL, Procter-Gray E, Hannan MT, Li W. Heterogeneity of falls among older adults: implications for public health prevention. *Am J Public Health.* 2012;102(11):2149-56. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.300677>