Isolation and identification of *Salmonella* spp. in raw milk from dairy herds in Colombia
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ABSTRACT

Salmonellosis is a foodborne disease (FBD) that affects public health and can cause death in people. Many outbreaks of Salmonellosis have been reported due to the contamination of raw milk and dairy products with the pathogen. To determine the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in milk samples from four dairy herds in the Sabana of Bogotá in 2017, 112 milk samples were taken directly from the mammary gland during milking. All milk samples were cultured and tested to isolate and identify *Salmonella* spp. using microbiological and molecular methods. *Salmonella* spp. prevalence of milk samples was found to be 20.5% (n = 23). The main *Salmonella* serovars isolated were *S. Newport* (60.87%), *S. Typhimurium* (17.4%), *S. Virchow*, *S. Bredeney*, and *S. Anatum* (4.3% each one of the serovars). However, it was not possible to determine the *Salmonella* serotype in two isolates. The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in milk has not been studied extensively in Colombia. The 20.5% in the prevalence might be due to fact that the sample was taken directly from the mammary gland allowing a better chance of isolation by avoiding the dilutional effect of mixed milk from different cows in the buckets. This also suggests that the infection of the udder could have occurred by hematogenous dissemination or by milking machine contamination. This study highlights the need to implement measures to prevent contamination and reduce the problem in the herds, which will result in milk and dairy products with high standards of innocuity and quality and decrease the risk of foodborne illness.
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RESUMO

A salmonelose é uma doença transmitida por alimentos que afeta a saúde pública e pode causar a morte de pessoas. Muitos surtos de salmonelose têm sido relatados devido à contaminação de leite cru e produtos lácteos com o patógeno. Para determinar a prevalência de *Salmonella* spp. em amostras de leite de quatro rebanhos leiteiros na Sabana de Bogotá em 2017, cento e doze amostras de leite foram colhidas diretamente da glândula mamária durante a ordenha. Todas as amostras de leite foram cultivadas para isolar e identificar *Salmonella* spp. usando métodos microbiológicos e moleculares. A prevalência de *Salmonella* spp. nas amostras de leite foi de 20,5% (n = 23). Os principais sorovares de *Salmonella* identificados foram *S. Newport* (60,87%), *S. Typhimurium* (17,4%), *S. Virchow*, *S. Bredeney* e *S. Anatum* (4,3% cada um dos sorovares). No entanto, não foram determinados os sorovares de dois isolados. A prevalência de *Salmonella* spp. no leite ainda não foi extensivamente estudada na Colômbia. Os 20,5% na prevalência podem ser devidos ao fato de a amostra ter sido colhida diretamente da glândula mamária, permitindo uma melhor chance de isolamento, evitando o efeito de diluição do leite misto de diferentes vacas nos baldes, o que pode indicar infeccão do úbere pela disseminação hematogênica ou por contaminação da ordenhadeira. Este estudo destaca a necessidade da implementação de medidas destinadas a prevenir a contaminação e reduzir o problema nos rebanhos, resultando em leite e produtos lácteos com altos padrões de inocuidade e qualidade, diminuindo o risco de doenças de origem alimentar.

**Palavras-chave:** Salmonellosis. Zoonoses. PCR.
Salmonellosis affects different animal species such as reptiles, birds, and mammals. This pathogen causes salmonellosis, which is the most common foodborne disease reported, making it a worldwide public health problem (Cummings et al., 2009a; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018). Salmonellosis affects humans causing gastrointestinal disease that can develop into bacteremia with systemic alterations. Also, it may cause death in children and immuno-compromised individuals (Scallan et al., 2011; Giaccone et al., 2012; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018).

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the foods commonly associated with outbreaks around the world are beef, chicken and pork meat, chicken eggs, non-pasteurized milk, milk products such as powder milk, cheese, ice creams, and butter made from raw milk (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2009; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019). In Colombia, these same food products have been implicated in foodborne diseases, along with cheese, rice mixes, fish, and seafood, among others (Instituto Nacional de Salud, 2019a). Nevertheless, the specific pathogen in those food products was not determined. The CDC has also reported 263 outbreaks of salmonellosis associated with raw milk consumption between 2015 – 2017, sickened 4859 people, and caused 12 deaths (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019). Other means of transmission of this pathogen to humans include direct contact with infected animals that are active shedders, contact with the environment these animals inhabit, the contamination of food crops by using contaminated animal manure as fertilizer, and water contamination with feces from animals shedding the microorganism, ingestion of contaminated food or water, or by contamination of food by infected food handlers (Durango et al., 2004; Sivapalasingam et al., 2004; Cummings et al., 2009a; Cummings et al., 2009b; Giaccone et al., 2012).

There are currently more than 2600 Salmonella serovars (Guibourdenche et al., 2010), of which S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium are the most common foodborne pathogens (European Food Safety Authority, 2007; Zumbado & Romero, 2015). In 2019, the Colombian National Institute of Health reported Salmonella spp. as the etiological agent in 28 out of 229 foodborne disease outbreaks in which the etiology was determined. In 2020, Salmonella spp. and S. Paratyphi have been isolated in 2 out of 63 outbreaks (Instituto Nacional de Salud, 2019b; Instituto Nacional de Salud, 2020). However, the food implicated was not determined. The Salmonella serovars that more commonly affects cattle are S. Dublin, S. Typhimurium, and S. Enteritidis, according to reports from France, the United Kingdom, and the USA (De Buyser et al., 2001, Haeghebaert et al., 2003; Mercado et al., 2013; Kemal, 2014; Harvey et al., 2017).

Salmonellosis is a common entity in cattle. Its clinical picture involves diarrhea, dehydration, fever, anorexia, septicemia, and, in some cases, abortion. The severity of the disease depends on the virulence, pathogenicity, infective dose, immunological status, and age of the host. However, in several cases, it is a subclinical disease (McGuirk & Peek, 2003; Cummings et al., 2009b; Costa et al., 2012; Kemal, 2014). The origin of the infection in a herd is commonly due to an animal that sheds the agent in the feces, but it also can take place by excretion in the milk from asymptomatic shedders (Radke et al., 2002; Claeyts et al., 2013; Radostits et al., 2017; Holschbach & Peek, 2018). This last mechanism has a greater zoonotic potential (Murinda et al., 2002; Cummings et al., 2009b), as the cattle affected by Salmonella spp. usually shed the bacteria. However, asymptomatic shedders, usually adult cattle, can shed the bacteria without ever being sick (Cummings et al., 2009a; Cummings et al., 2009b). The rate of Salmonella shedding in feces is commonly associated with the disease. In dairy cows herds with a 20% shedding, there is an association with the clinical entity, whereas, in herds with the shedding of 5%, it is related to asymptomatic animals (McGuirk & Peek, 2003). Thus, to prevent infection in a herd, it is paramount to separate healthy cattle from diseased ones, because the latter can shed more than 10^14 bacteria per day and the infective dose of 10^5 bacteria. Thus, to prevent infection in a herd, it is paramount to separate healthy cattle from diseased ones, because the latter can shed more than 10^14 bacteria per day and the infective dose.
is regarded to be of $10^6$-$10^{11}$ microorganisms (McGuirk & Peek, 2003; Holschbach & Peek, 2018).

The detection and identification of *Salmonella* spp. can be done using selective/differential culture media, metabolic profiles, serotyping, and, more accurately, by conventional PCR (Malorny et al., 2003; El-Baz et al., 2017; Holschbach & Peek, 2018). When doing PCR to confirm the presence of *Salmonella* spp. different genic fragments have been used. Gene invA with 284 pb, which is also specific for *Salmonella* spp., has been used (Malorny et al., 2003; El-Baz et al., 2017). Edwards et al. (2002) and El-Sebay et al. (2017) have shown that all of the SP1 loci, in which invA genes are contained, share almost the same identity of the whole genomes in different serotypes (varying between 97.7 and 98.6% similarities). Once the presence of *Salmonella* spp. is determined by PCR, then the identification of serovars can be done by DNA sequencing and analysis of the sequences using BLASTn (Holschbach & Peek, 2018).

Since *Salmonella* spp. is shed in cow’s milk and the consumption of raw milk and its dairy products have been identified as one of the most important routes of *Salmonella* infection in human beings (Oliver et al., 2005; Claeys et al., 2013; Lucey, 2015; Radostits et al., 2017; Holschbach & Peek, 2018), and there are no studies determining *Salmonella* spp. shedding in milk from samples taken directly from the udder in Colombia, the main objectives of this study were to determine the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in milk samples obtained directly from the udder, to identify the present serotypes in four dairy herds, and to precisely identify the infected individuals.

### Materials and methods

#### Study population

The sampling was done in four dairy herds in different locations in the Sabana of Bogotá. Each herd had a different number of animals and different breeds (Table 1). The inclusion criteria included that the farm owner accepted voluntarily to participate in the study, the cows were in lactation, and had no antibiotic treatment within one month before sampling.

#### Sampling

After disinfection with iodine or sulfonic acid, and fore stripping of each teat, a pool of 50 mL of milk from all quarters of each animal were collected in sterile falcon tubes that were labeled with the information of each animal. Sampling was done by the farm veterinarian following the biosecurity measures (udder disinfection, use of sterile gloves, and discard the first squirt of milk) indicated by the researchers. Once the samples were collected, they were refrigerated and transported within 6 h of collection to the microbiology laboratory at the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, where they were processed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herd</th>
<th>N° animals (samples)</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Normande</td>
<td>Bogotá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Holstein</td>
<td>Madrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Normande – Gyr</td>
<td>Mosquera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Holstein</td>
<td>Chía</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>112</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Isolation and microbiological identification

All samples were handled according to the Colombian technical standard NTC 4574: Food microbiology, animal food microbiology, and Oxoid *Salmonella Precis* methodology.

For non-selective sample pre-enrichment, 25 mL of the milk sample were added to 225 mL of sterile peptone water and were incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h. From this pre-enriched sample, 100 µL were added to 10 mL of tetrathionate selective enrichment broth and then incubated at 42 °C for 24 h (Icontec, 2007). Afterward, samples from the broth were plated on the selective and differentiating agar media Hektoen and agar XLT4 and incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h.

Following the Oxoid *Salmonella Precis* methodology, 25 mL of milk were added to 225 mL of One broth* incubated at 42 °C for 24 h, followed by plating on agar Brilliance *Salmonella* * and incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h.

After the incubation period, all the colonies morphologically similar to *Salmonella* spp. were selected and conventional biochemical tests such as SIM, TSI, and urea were performed. To perform these tests, the cultures were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h (Winn et al., 2008) and confirmed using the Rapid One* galleries. All the confirming microbiology and molecular procedures involved the use of the reference strains S. Typhimurium (ATCC 14028) and S. Enteritidis (ATCC 13076) for quality control procedures.

### Molecular identification

All the *Salmonella*-compatible isolates underwent molecular identification using a PCR kit (CorpoGen BM-00007) that contains a lysis buffer for bacterial DNA extraction and a master mix of PCR to amplify a fragment of 284pb that is specific for *Salmonella* spp. invA gene. The isolated colonies were suspended again in nutritional broth and incubated at 37 °C/24 h for DNA extraction, 50 µL of
the broth was added to a microtube with 200 µL of the lysis buffer. This was mixed and incubated for 10 min at 92 °C on a heating dry block. Afterward, it was centrifuged at 12,492 g for 5 min. The amplification was done by adding 2 µL of extracted DNA to the PCR tubes following the test’s insert instructions. The conditions of the reaction were an initial denaturation cycle at 95 °C for 1 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 66 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 30 sec, and an extension final cycle at 72 °C for 4 min. The detection of Salmonella spp. was confirmed by determining the 284bp fragment using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with SYBR®safe (Invitrogen).

The identification of the serovars was done by DNA sequencing and analyzed using BLASTn (Identities % 97 – 99%; E-value 0.0), as follows: the PCR products were placed into 0.2 ml Eppendorf tubes, the first 17 samples were sent to Macrogen and the other 6 to the microbiology laboratory of the University of the Andes to be sequenced, all the samples were sequenced by the Sanger’s methodology, and the obtained sequences were analyzed by the BLAST web tool of the Gene Bank (NCBI).

### Results

The study showed a 20.5% prevalence of Salmonella spp. (n=23/112) in the herds studied. Herds 1 (1 out of 16), 2 (16 out of 64), and 3 (6 out of 16) had a prevalence of 0.89%, 14.28%, and 5.35%, respectively. All animals from herd 4 were negative for Salmonella spp.

All the samples microbiologically identified as Salmonella spp. (n=23/112) were confirmed using the commercial PCR kit (CorpoGen® BM-00007) that allows visualization of the expected fragment of 284bp specific for Salmonella’s gen invA in an electrophoresis gel.

The sequencing results showed that 60.87% of the isolates were identified as Salmonella Newport, 17.4% as S. Typhimurium, 8.7% as Salmonella spp., and other serovar were also identified as S. Virchow, S. Bredeney, and S. Anatum in 4.34% each (some of the sequences obtained and the Blast results are shown in Table 2). Regarding the isolated serovars, there were several serovars in herds 2 and 3 as shown in Table 3, a single serovar was identified per animal.

### Discussion

This study found an overall Salmonella spp. prevalence of 20.5% in animals. These findings are different from the ones already reported by Patiño (2012) in a study that involved the determination of this microorganism in different regions of Colombia. In that study, the samples were taken from transporting milk buckets and the prevalence found was 0.83%, the specific prevalence in the studied regions were Cesar Valley 0%, 0.5% in savannas of Cordoba and Sucre, and 0.33% in the high and low Magdalena river. Along with the results by Patiño (2012), in the USA and Australia, the observed prevalence in raw milk have ranged between 0%-11% (Murinda et al., 2002; Karns et al., 2005; Jayaraao et al., 2006; D’Amico et al., 2008; Claeys et al., 2013; McAuley et al., 2014; Lucey, 2015). A prevalence of <1% has also been reported in Europe from a bulk tank (De Reu et al., 2004; Claeys et al., 2013). The difference in prevalence with the previously cited studies could be attributed to the sampling method. In those studies, the samples were taken from bulk tanks and not directly from the udder, a method that may cause a dilutional or temperature effect that could reduce the rate of isolation. It also could be that in our study only four farms were sampled and/or the herds had a high rate of infection and the biosecurity in the farms was limited. However, it is similar to a study in the city of Tandojam (Pakistan) that reported a 15.3% prevalence in raw milk in different localities of the city (Baloch et al., 2015).

The observed differences in the prevalence among herds (0.89%, 14.3%, 5.4%, and 0%) may be due to several factors that include the management and inadequate hygienic conditions of the herds, milking parlor, mammary gland, milkers, and milk handlers, and in the animals. The possible contamination of the drinking water or the food with the pathogen, the presence of asymptomatic shedders, among others, may also play important roles in the presence and propagation of the microorganism within the herds as has been found by several researchers (Vanselow et al., 2007; Carrique-Mas et al., 2010; Jones, 2011; Claeys et al., 2013; Kemal, 2014; Pandey et al., 2014; Martinez et al., 2015; Tarazi & Abo-Shehada, 2015; Delgado et al., 2016; Sarkar, 2016). It has been determined that mechanical milking generates a greater likelihood of milk contamination with bacteria such as S. Enteritidis, E. coli, and L. monocytogenes, among others, due to the contamination of the system duct filters in the milking machines (Oliver et al., 2005; Van Kessel et al., 2011). Since all the studied herds use milking machines, it is important to periodically evaluate the milking equipment to determine its role in milk contamination and/or the role in the infection of cows with Salmonella spp. Also, given that the samples were taken directly from the udder which had been thoroughly disinfected, and there were no signs of infection in the mammary gland, it is likely that the positive cows were asymptomatic carriers and shedders of the microorganism in the milk (Radostits et al., 2017; Holschbach & Peek, 2018).
### Table 2 - Representative DNA sequences of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from milk samples collected in dairy herds of Sabana of Bogotá, Colombia. Samples collected in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Blast identification</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1303   | NNNNNTGNTAACCTTTCCGTACGCTTCGCCGTTCGCGCGCAGCATCCGCATCAATAATACACGGCCTTCAAATCGGCATCAATACTCATCTGTTTACCGGGCATACCATCCAGAGAAAATCGGGCCGCGACTTCCGCGACACGTTCTGAACCTTTGGTAATAACGATAAACTGGACCACGGTGACAATAGAGAAGACAACAAAACACACCGCCAGGCTATCGCCAATAACGAATTGCCCGAACGTGGCGATAATTTCACCAAGGGGGACGAGCCCCGGTGATGGGGGCCCCCGCCGCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
Table 3 - Herd identification, number of positive animals according to the herd, and serovars identified in milk samples of each dairy herd from Sabana of Bogotá, Colombia. Samples collected in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herd</th>
<th>Positive animals</th>
<th>Serovar identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S. Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>S. Newport, S. Typhimurium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The serotypes identified included S. Newport, S. Typhimurium, S. Virchow, S. Bredeny, and S. Anatum that belong to the Salmonella groups B, C, and E. These findings are similar to the findings reported by Peek et al. (2017) who found, besides S. Dublin, other serovars that belong to the groups mentioned previously in milk samples and/or dairy products (Van Kessel et al., 2013; El-Baz et al., 2017; Peek et al., 2017). They indicated that those findings were either associated with septicemic processes with dissemination to the mammary gland, milking machine contamination, or the contamination of the milk with feces (Peek et al., 2017). In the current study, we consider that the infection of the udder could have occurred by hematogenous dissemination or by milking machine contamination.

In the present study, S. Newport was the serovar with the highest prevalence. This finding is similar to one study in the USA that determined S. Montevideo and S. Newport as the most commonly present in milk, although S. Muenster, S. Dublin, S. Meleagridis, and S. Cerro were also found in lower proportions from bulk tanks samples. But the serovar identification in this former study was done using serology (Van Kessel et al., 2003). On the other hand, Van Kessel et al. (2004) and Van Kessel et al. (2011) detected the presence of S. Cerro in 9% and 25%, S. Anatum in 4.54% and 16.6%, S. Montevideo in 31.81% and 16.6%, S. Newport in 18.18% and 0%, respectively, in the cultured samples in the USA (Van Kessel et al., 2004; Van Kessel et al., 2011). Additionally, studies in Egypt found mainly S. Enteritidis 6-12%, S. Typhimurium in 2-8%, S. Heidelberg in 8%, and S. Infantis in 2-4% of the milk samples, findings that are in partial concordance with the present study. However, Salmonella identification in the previously cited reports was done in milk from bulk tanks (El-Baz et al., 2017; Omar et al., 2018). Prevalence determined in a study in India, using PCR on milk samples from different stores, was 5.6%, in which the leading isolates were S. Typhimurium and S. Newport, results that are similar to our findings (Kaushik et al., 2014).

According to research that was done by Cummings et al. (2009b), the predominant serovars isolated from feces were S. Newport and S. Typhimurium (including the Copenhagen strain) in 41% and 19.1%, respectively (Cummings et al., 2009b). This may suggest likely contamination and/or infection of the udder with bacteria from the feces of asymptomatic shedders. This possible route of infection demands more research.

Given that different studies have identified Salmonella spp. as one of the main pathogenic agents associated with foodborne diseases in humans along with either the risk of raw milk consumption or dairy products from raw milk (Murinda et al., 2002; Mazurek et al., 2004; Van Kessel et al., 2004; Karsn et al., 2005; Oliver et al., 2005; Instituto Nacional de Salud, 2011; Van Kessel et al., 2013; Omar et al., 2018), it underscores the need to establish high hygienic standards throughout the milk production chain in Colombia (Sivapalasingam et al., 2004; Karns et al., 2005; Van Kessel et al., 2011).

Conclusion

This is the first study on determining the presence of Salmonella spp. and its serovars in milk obtained directly from the udder in Colombia. It also confirms that Salmonella can be shed through milk. Study limitations include the number of herds and the purposive sampling. This study should further the research using bigger samples and more farms to more accurately determine the actual prevalence and the involved serotypes isolated directly from the udder. Also, this study highlights the need to implement measures to prevent contamination and reduce the problem in the herds, which will result in milk and dairy products with high standards of innocuity and quality and decrease the risk of foodborne illness.
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