Redescription of the fish-parasitic isopod *Cymothoa ianuarii* Schioedte & Meinert, 1884 and further records of *C. excisa* Perty, 1833 and *C. oestrum* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Isopoda: Cymothoida: Cymothoidae) from Brazil
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Abstract. *Cymothoa ianuarii* Schioedte & Meinert, 1884 is rediscovered almost 136 years after its original description and redescribed from specimens collected in the state of São Paulo. This species is mainly characterized by adult females with cephalon with conspicuous eyes and not deeply immersed in pereonite 1, pereonites 5-6 much wider than 4, pleotelson twice as wide as long and pleopods 1-5 decreasing in size; *Cymothoa excisa* Perty, 1833 and *C. oestrum* (Linnaeus, 1758) are recorded from the state of Bahia. Illustrations and an updated distribution map for these species in Brazil are provided.
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INTRODUCTION

Species of Cymothoidae Leach, 1818 are distributed worldwide, except in polar waters, and are known to be obligate fish parasites (Trilles, 1994; Smit et al., 2014; Hata et al., 2017). Cymothoids are represented by 42 genera and about 366 species and are characterized by the hematophagous life-style and protandry (Boyko et al., 2008; Poore & Bruce, 2012; Smit et al., 2014). The genus *Cymothoa* Fabricius, 1793 comprises 51 species usually found infesting the tongue of the host fish (Smit et al., 2014). In Brazil, nine species of *Cymothoa* have been recorded (Thatcher, 2000; Thatcher et al., 2003; Thatcher & Fonseca, 2005; Thatcher et al., 2007; Luque et al., 2013). In a comparative study, Thatcher et al. (2003) analyzed all these species, providing the redescriptions of *Cymothoa excisa* Perty, 1833 and *C. oestrum* (Linnaeus, 1758). However, for *C. ianuarii* Schioedte & Meinert, 1884, Thatcher et al. (2003) pointed that no records were found since its original description and the type-material could be apparently lost, but the authors have no evidence as to why they concluded it. Consequently, the redescriptions of the species was not possible due to the absence of any material. Taxonomic studies of cymothoid isopods can be complicated given past mistake identifications, poor descriptions and redescriptions and lack of type-material. In addition, the intra-specific variation can be confounded with interspecific differences (Smit et al., 2014). In the present contribution, we provide new records of three species of *Cymothoa* from Brazilian waters and the redescription of *C. ianuarii* based on material collected in past years. New illustrations and an updated distribution map for these species in Brazil are also provided.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The material examined is stored in ethanol 70% and deposited in the Carcinological Collection of the Departamento de Zoologia of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) and in the Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia of the Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (MCP). Specimens were examined under a stereomicroscope Nikon SMZ800 and the illustrations were obtained with the aid of a camera lucida. Distribution map in Brazil was elaborated using the software Arcview 9.3 pro-
gram (ESRI, 2009). Morphological description and terminology follows Thatcher et al. (2003) and Hadfield et al. (2013). For each specimen, we measured the total length and width and the pleotelson length and width. For a complete list of synonyms consult Trilles (1994).

RESULTS

Taxonomy

Order Isopoda Latreille, 1817
Suborder Cymothoida Wägele, 1989
Family Cymothoidae Leach, 1818
Genus Cymothoa Fabricius, 1793


Type species: Oniscus oestrum Fabricius, 1793; by subsequent designation (Kussakin, 1979).


Cymothoa excisa Perty, 1833 (Figs. 1, 6; Table 1)


Remarks: Cymothoa excisa was most recently diagnosed by Thatcher et al. (2003). The species had already been recorded from northeastern Brazil, in the states of Maranhão, Pernambuco and Sergipe by Coelho & Koenig (1972). These authors also raised the possibility of the occurrence in the states of Piauí, Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba, Alagoas, Bahia and Espírito Santo, the latter in the southeastern Brazil. In addition, the occurrence of this species was also recorded in the states of Pará (Monod, 1969) and Rio de Janeiro (Richardson, 1901). This is the first record for the state of Bahia, particularly in the northern portion (12°44’49”S 38°29’38”W). The southernmost record of C. excisa in western South Atlantic is La Plata (Argentina) (Gerstaecker, 1901). Cymothoa excisa was redescribed by Thatcher et al. (2003) based on material from the Florianópolis Island, state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil. Females are distinguished mainly by the acute anterolateral angles of pereonite 1 reaching or surpassing the eyes; the truncate cephalon, deeply immersed in pereonite 1; eyes present but inconspicuous; pereonites 4-6 subequal in width; pleon deeply immersed in pereonite 7 (Fig. 1); and pleopods trilaminar (Thatcher et al., 2003). Males are similar to females, but smaller and with pleopod 2 lacking an appendix masculinum (Thatcher et al., 2003).

Hosts: The host of present specimens is unknown. However, C. excisa was already found parasitizing fishes of the families Gerreidae, Haemulidae, Lutjanidae, Priacanthidae, Sciaenidae and Synodontidae (Joca et al., 2015).

Distribution: USA (Massachusetts to Florida), Antilles, Venezuela, Brazil (Pará, Maranhão, Pernambuco, Bahia, Sergipe, Rio de Janeiro and Santa Catarina) and Argentina (Trilles, 1994; Thatcher et al., 2003) (Fig. 6).

Cymothoa ianuarii Schioedte & Meinert, 1884 (Figs. 2-4, 6; Table 1)


Material examined: BRAZIL: São Paulo: three ovigerous females, Enseada de Ubatuba, 03/V/2007 (UFRGS 6515); one ovigerous female, Enseada de Ubatuba, coll. F. Mantelatto, 02/VI/2008 (UFRGS 6516) [illustrated];
Figure 1. Cymothoa excisa Perty, 1833 (MCP 2996): (A) habitus dorsal view; (B) habitus ventral view; (C) habitus lateral view; (D) cephalon frontal view. Scale bar: A-C = 5 mm; D = 2.5 mm.
Figure 2. Cymothoa ianuarii Schioedte & Meinert, 1884 (UFRGS 6516): (A) habitus dorsal view (UFRGS 6516); (B) habitus ventral view (UFRGS 6516); (C) habitus lateral view (UFRGS 6516); (D) cephalon frontal view (UFRGS 6516); (E) pleotelson dorsal view (UFRGS 6520). Scale bar: A-C = 5 mm; D = 2.5 mm; E = 1 mm.
one ovigerous female, Enseada de Ubatuba, 15/VI/2003 (UFRGS 6517); one female, Enseada de Ubatuba, 15/VI/2003 (UFRGS 6518); one ovigerous female, Enseada de Ubatuba, X/2002 (UFRGS 6520) [illustrated].

Redescription (based on seven females)

Body 1.8 times as long than wide (Table 1), widest at pereonite 5, narrowest at pereonite 1 (Fig. 2A).

Cephalon: longer than wide, frons transversely truncated, visible in dorsal view, immersed on pereonite 1; eyes visible (Figs. 2A, C, D).

Pereon: Convex. Pereonite 1 with anterolateral angles surpassing eyes; pereonites 1 and 4 largest; pereonites 2 and 3 subequal; pereonites 5 and 6 subequal; pereonite 7 shorter and narrower than pereonite 6. Coxae 2 and 3 morphologically similar and bent downwards; 4-7 morphologically similar to each other and falciform (Fig. 2A, C).

Pleon: Immersed in pereonite 7; pleonites similar in length with posterolateral margins not overlapped by lateral margins of the subsequent pleonite (Figs. 2A, E). Pleotelson sub-rectangular and 0.46 times as long as wide; posterior margin sinuous; dorsal surface smooth; lateral margins convex (Fig. 2A, E).

Figure 3. Cymothoa ianuarii Schioedte & Meinert, 1884: (A) antennule (UFRGS 6516); (B) apical article of antennule (UFRGS 6516); (C) antenna (UFRGS 6516); (D) mandible (UFRGS 6515); (E) maxillule (UFRGS 6520); (F) apex of maxillula (UFRGS 6520); (G) maxilla (UFRGS 6515); (H) maxilla distal portion (UFRGS 6515); (I) maxilliped with oostegite (UFRGS 6515); (J) maxilliped articles 2 and 3 (UFRGS 6515). Scale bar: A, C and D = 0.5 mm; E and G = 0.3 mm; B = 0.125 mm; F, H and J = 0.1 mm; I = 1 mm.
Figure 4. *Cymothoa ianuarii* Schioedte & Meinert, 1884 (UFRGS 6516): (A-G) pereopods 1-7; (H-J) pleopods 1-5 dorsal view; (M) uropod. Scale bar: E-G = 2 mm; A-D, H-J = 1 mm; D = 2.5 mm; M = 0.5 mm.
Antennula: with eight articles, the first two being stout, distal article with five small apical setae (Figs. 2B, 3A).

Antenna: comprised of nine articles, terminal article without setae; extending to posterior margin of cephalon. Labrum lateral margins concave, without median point (Figs. 2B, 3C).

Mandible: with chitinous and acute incisor and straight molar process. Palp shorter than mandible (Fig. 3D).

Maxillula: bilobed with four apical stout robust setae (Figs. 3E, F).

Maxilla: mesial lobe with four recurved robust setae, partly fused to lateral lobe with two recurved robust setae (Fig. 3G, H).

Maxilliped: Maxilliped article 3 with three recurved robust setae (Figs. 3I, J).

Oostegite: smooth, with marginal setae (not illustrated) (Fig. 2B).

Pereopods: 1-3 smaller than 4-7, which gradually increase in size and with carina on basis. Pereopod 1 basis 1.1 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.7 times as long as basis; merus proximal margin without bulbous protrusion; carpus with straight proximal margin; propodus 1.6 times as long as wide; dactylus slender, 1.3 as long as propodus, 3.2 times as long as basal width. Pereopod 2 propodus 1.4 times as long as wide; dactylus 1.7 times as long as propodus; Pereopod 3 basis 1.5 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.5 times as long as basis; propodus 1.2 times as long as wide; dactylus slender, 1.7 as long as propodus, 2.4 times as long as basal width. Pereopod 4 basis 1.67 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.5 times as long as basis; propodus 1.4 times as long as wide; dactylus slender, 1.43 as long as propodus, 2.1 times as long as basal width. Pereopod 5 basis 1.3 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.6 times as long as basis; propodus 1.07 times as long as wide; dactylus slender, 2.03 as long as propodus, 2.18 times as long as basal width. Pereopod 6 basis 1.3 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.6 times as long as basis; propodus 1.6 as long as wide; dactylus 1.2 times as long as propodus. Pereopod 7 basis 1.5 times as long as greatest width; ischium 0.4 times as long as basis, with large proximal bulbous protrusion; merus proximal margin with slight protrusion, 0.3 times as long as ischium, 0.4 times as long as wide; carpus without bulbous protrusion; propodus 0.8 times as long as ischium, 1.6 times as long as wide; dactylus slender, 1.8 times as long as propodus, 2.6 times as long as basal width.

Pleopods: without setae, lobes decreasing in size from pleonites 1 to 5, exopod larger than endopod. Pleopod 1 exopod 1.1 times as long as greatest width, lateral margin straight, distal margin sinuous, mesial margin straight; endopod as long as wide, lateral margin convex, distally narrowly rounded, mesial margin convex; peduncle 2.75 times as wide as long. Pleopods 1-3 endopod proximal borders decreasing in size and increasing in size in pleopods 4-5; with fleshy folds absent and medial lobes present and decreasing in size (Figs. 4H-L).

Uropods: shorter than pleotelson. Peduncle 0.8 times as long as rami; lateral margins without setae. Endopod apically rounded, 2.8 times as long as greatest width, lateral margin weakly convex, mesial margin weakly convex. Exopod 0.9 times as long as endopod, 2.5 times as long as greatest width and without setae (Figs. 2A, C, E; 4M).

Remarks: Adult females of Cymothoa ianuarii can be distinguished mainly by the cephalon with conspicuous eyes and not deeply immersed in pereonite 1, anterolateral angles of pereonites 1 surpassing eyes, pereonites 5-6 much wider than 4, pleotelson twice as long and pleopods 1-5 decreasing in size. Males are unknown (Thatcher et al., 2003). This is the first record from the state of São Paulo, particularly in the northern portion of the coast (23°29′38.72″S, 45°05′16.0″W). This new record represents the rediscovery of this species after a hiatus of approximately 136 years since its original description from material collected in Rio de Janeiro in the 1880's. We provided the rediscription of this species based on the analyzed specimens.

Hosts: The host of present specimens is unknown. However, C. ianuarii was already found parasitizing fishes of the families Priacanthidae and Pleuronectidae (Schioedte & Meinert, 1884).

Distribution: Rio de Janeiro (Schioedte & Meinert, 1884) and São Paulo, Brazil (Fig. 6).

Cymothoa oestrum (Linnaeus, 1758) (Figs. 5-6; Table 1)

Oniscus oestrum Linnaeus, 1758: 636.

Asellus oestrum Olivier, 1789: 253.

Cymothoa oestrum Fabricius, 1793: 505. Thatcher et al., 2003: 546, figs. 52-76. Luque et al., 2013: 1454.


Remarks: This is the first record of this species from Bahia, particularly in the northern portion (12°44′49″S, 38°29′38″W), and it is the second record from Brazil. This species was redescribed by Thatcher et al. (2003) based on material from Camboriú, state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil. Females can be distinguished by the truncate cephalon deeply immersed in the pereonite 1, eyes absent, anterolateral margins of pereonite 1 blunt and bilaminate pleopods with folding on the fifth endopod (Fig. 5). Males are unknown (Thatcher et al., 2003).
Figure 5. *Cymothoa oestrum* (Linnaeus, 1758) (MCP 2999): (A) habitus dorsal view; (B) habitus ventral view; (C) habitus lateral view; (D) cephalon frontal view. Scale bar: A-C = 5 mm; D = 2.5 mm.
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