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ABSTRACT

This article aims to investigate the relationship between perceptions of the enabling dimension and the technical validity of the management reports of an insurance company and the performance of its sales managers, mediated by the use of these reports. Companies invest resources in providing management reports for business managers to take decisions, so understanding what influences the use of these reports and whether this use is associated with performance constitutes a relevant subject for both academia and professional practice. The results may be useful for organizations that are taking decisions to invest in management reports, showing that technical validity is what best influences the use of these reports, at least in the short term, which is also a contribution to the theory. Secondary data were combined with a survey of 231 respondents from an insurance company and analyzed using the structural equation modeling (SEM) technique via partial least squares (PLS). The article contributes to the literature and management accounting practice by demonstrating that, unlike in previous studies, the enabling dimension does not positively influence the use of management reports. On the other hand, the study shows that technical validity, which is a more tangible dimension of the quality of management reports, is positively associated with their use and that this use influences the performance of the sales managers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This article investigates the relationships between perceptions of the enabling dimension and the technical validity of the management reports of an insurance company and the performance of its sales managers, mediated by the use of these reports. The Brazilian studies on management accounting argue that obtaining performance involves, as preceding factors, the design and use of a management control system (Aguiar, Pace & Frezatti, 2009; Junqueira, Dutra, Zanzquetto & Gonzaga, 2016; Oyadomari, Frezatti, Mendonça, Cardoso & Bido, 2011).

As Chenhall (2003) explains, most of the studies have focused on professionals from the areas of controlling and also senior management. Thus, a gap in the studies focusing on employees from the operational area is noted, which in light of the specificities present challenges for designing indicators that are valid, adequate, and significant (Groen, Wouters & Wilderom, 2017). This is consistent with Naranjo-Gil and Hartmann (2006), for whom the orientation of managers in relation to their experience/training, whether more administrative or more technical, can imply different systems for using management reports.

Sales managers make routine decisions that strongly affect operating income, such as changes in the mix of products, prices, and promotion, among others, which require them to be quick, according to Forbes (2005) and Baum and Wally (2003). Frezatti (2006) states that when developing these activities managers use management reports.

Operational decisions are important, since it is at the micro level that a deliberate strategy is executed and tested. It is also via this process that an emerging strategy can arise (Thomas & Ambrosini, 2015) and strategic objectives are transformed into results (Srivastava & Sushil, 2015).

For managers to use management reports they need to perceive that these reports have the facilitating dimension, which is a construct defined as enabling perceptions (Mahama & Cheng, 2013), which are derived from the formalization of the controls. Thus, management controls can be classified with regard to their formalization as enabling or coercive (Tessier, 2014). With the enabling form, users understand that the reports were implemented to assist in the development of their activities, unlike the coercive form, whose understanding is that there is forced adherence to the procedures.

Yet, there are more tangible dimensions of the quality of management controls, including technical validity (Burney, Henle & Widener, 2009), whose construct is defined as the user’s evaluation in relation to the accuracy, accessibility, reliability, timeliness, and comprehensibility of these controls.

In light of these arguments, this paper aims to answer the following research question: what are the relationships between perceptions of the enabling dimension and the technical validity of the management reports of an insurance company and the performance of its sales managers, mediated by the use of these reports?

The results of this article have relevant practical implications, especially for organizations, since these need to know whether investments in improving management reports affect user performance (Berry, Coad, Harris, Otley & Stringer, 2009).

Our study contributes to advancing the literature and management accounting practice, more specifically with regard to the relationship between the use and the performance of management reports, by showing that despite being high, the perception of the enabling dimension does not positively influence the use of the reports, unlike the technical validity, which is a more tangible dimension. Another important result shows that the use of management reports influences the performance of sales managers, although with a low explanatory power.

This article is structured in the following way: the theoretical framework and the formulation of the hypotheses follow this introduction. Then the methodological procedures, the analysis, and the discussion of the results are presented, ending with the final remarks.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES

This literature review will address the main constructs being analyzed that are the objects of this study: enabling dimension, technical validity, and use. Next, we will address the relationship between the intensity of use of management reports and operational performance.
2.1 Enabling Dimension

The literature in the area of psychology suggests that perception is an important factor that affects the attitudes and behavior of people in an organization (Mahama & Cheng, 2013, p. 90). This behavioral aspect related to positive or negative perceptions regarding the management control system is addressed in the management accounting literature in the dimension of control formalization, which can be divided into two types: enabling or coercive (Spekle & Kruiss, 2014; Tessier, 2014). This dimension is based on the seminal article on types of bureaucracy by Adler and Borys (1996), which explains the difference between enabling formalization and coercive formalization of the work process.

The concept of enabling is used to describe an organizational technology that helps workers to deal effectively with the contingencies of their daily practice (Adler & Borys, 1996). In contrast, rules, systems, and reports planned for forced adherence to procedures are called coercive (Adler & Borys, 1996).

Based on these concepts, Ahrens and Chapman (2004) show how managers aim efficiency and flexibility using management control systems in an enabling and not coercive way. The authors argue that the concept of enabling systems allows for an approach for resolving the traditional dichotomy between mechanical controls that seek efficiency and organic controls that seek flexibility.

In turn, Chapman and Kihn (2009) state that the enabling construct is based on four principles: (i) it works with the user’s intelligence, instead of replacing it; (ii) it makes it possible to unfold the processes to identify the causes; (iii) it enables the internal transparency of the processes for which the users are responsible; (iv) it enables overall transparency, with regard to fitting these processes into the organization as a whole, and also flexibility, since it enables the criteria of each member to be fulfilled, who are authorized to interrupt the use.

Mahama and Cheng (2013) investigated whether managers who perceive management control systems (specifically cost control) as being more enabling than coercive have higher performance levels in their activities. Using a survey of intermediate level managers of Australian companies (excluding accounting and financial managers), the authors conclude that there is a positive relationship between these perceptions and the intensity with which they use these systems.

For the purposes of this paper and based on Chapman and Kihn (2009) and Mahama and Cheng (2013), enabling is considered as an facilitating dimension, defined as a construct that indicates whether managers perceive that the controls have been developed to help them in carrying out their work, improving the capacity for self-management, flexibility, and understanding of the overall situation.

As in Mahama and Cheng (2013) and Schoute (2009), in this study the term intensity of use is adopted to describe the extent to which the management control system, in this case the management report, is used.

It is argued that the perception of the enabling dimension of a management control system has a positive impact on its use. Thus, in line with Mahama and Cheng (2013), the following hypothesis was established:

H1: there is a positive association between the perception of the enabling dimension and the intensity of use of reports in a management control system.

2.2 Technical Validity and Use

Few studies in management accounting have elaborated on the subject of information system quality. In Brazil, it is worth citing the paper by Frezatti, Aguiar, and Rezende (2007), who investigated the attributes of management accounting based on the literature on information systems.

Internationally, Burney et al. (2009)’s article should be highlighted – it shows that the perception of managers is also influenced by the degree of technical validity of the performance measuring systems, such validity being evaluated by the accuracy, accessibility, reliability, timeliness, and comprehensibility of the information provided by the system. These characteristics are also found in the model from DeLone and McLean (2003), which considers the positive influence of the quality of the system and quality of the information, both on the intention of use and on the use of the information systems.

DeLone and McLean (2003) believe that the use of the system is a measure that evaluates the success of information systems and that this measure is appropriate for most cases. However, it is noted that the use of the system is typically voluntary and can be operationalized in different ways, such as frequency of use, time of use, number of accesses, pattern of use, and dependence.

Considering that the construct called technical validity, proposed by Burney et al. (2009), is a variable preceding the use of management reports and represents more tangible characteristics of the perception of the quality of the management reports, the following hypothesis was established:

H2: there is a positive association between the technical validity and the intensity of use of management reports.
2.3 The Intensity of Use of Management Reports and Operational Performance

There is considerable interest in understanding the sources of superior sales performance, especially regarding the role of managers in the results. In this context, management control is an important dimension (Piercy, Cravens, & Lane, 2009). Although this topic is considered more and more relevant as the sales team assumes more autonomy, flexibility, and decision-making ability, the studies on the topic have presented contradictory results (Flaherty, Arnold, & Hunt, 2007).

In the view of Piercy et al. (2009), management control in sales is a continuous line between results-based controls and what the authors call behavior-based controls. The latter involve the daily management of the behavior of sales people, while results-based controls stimulate and remunerate people for sales volume and earnings, among other related elements.

With the use of results-based controls, achieving results is the responsibility of the salespeople, who are free to adopt their own methods to achieve their objectives, and they therefore assume risks, have autonomy, and responsibilities (Onyemah & Anderson, 2008). As for behavior-based controls, the main responsibility is of the managers, who impose a set of rules and sales and presentation techniques on their salespeople.

In general, the studies show that controls positively affect the performance of the sales team. For example, Joshi and Randall (2001) identified that the clarity of the tasks affects performance, in the same way as the affective commitment of the salesperson to the organization. In turn, Piercy, Low, and Cravens (2004) analyzed the impact on the performance of salespeople in developing countries (Greece, India, and Malaysia) of the management control combined with financial incentives for these salespeople (bonus) and territorial design. The results revealed that performance is influenced by the territorial design and positively impacted by the management control. As for financial incentives, these did not present any effects on performance.

DeLone and McLean (2003) consider that the use of the system and its products of information influence users in how they carry out their work. Along the same lines, Hall (2008) explains that managers need information about the results of their units in order to feel intrinsically motivated and that they tend to be more effective, the more they understand what needs to be done. Finally, Burney and Widener (2007) conclude that the strategic systems for measuring performance positively affect performance via its relationships with relevant information regarding the work and ambiguities of the functions. These conclusions are in line with the work of Mahama and Cheng (2013).

Thus, based on the sales and management accounting literature, the following hypothesis was established:

\[ H_2: \text{there is a positive association between the intensity of use of management reports and operational performance.} \]

Figure 1 shows the theoretical model involving the constructs and the hypotheses.

---

**Figure 1** Theoretical model

Source: Elaborated by the authors.
3. METHODOLOGICAL PROCEDURES

In this chapter, the procedures adopted to carry out the research are described.

3.1 Research Type and Method

The study was carried out in a single organization (survey single entity), which is suitable for the purposes of this study, which is a comparison between the effects of the use of management reports and the performance of the sales managers of this organization. The data analyzed in this study were extracted from the database of the study by Duque (2016).

3.2 Company Studied

The studied company has been in existence for more than 70 years and is organized in the form of a corporate group that operates in various segments, predominantly in all types of insurance.

The company’s management control system is organized around an annual budget elaborated based on its three-year strategic plan, which in turn is guided by the company’s vision over a seven-year time horizon. The budgeting process is configured as the core of the company’s control system and monitoring the goals has, in recent years, become part of the managers’ day-to-day.

Besides the tools of control such as planning, budgets, and ABC costing (activity-based costing), which are widely used in the company, among other cybernetic controls, the company is also characterized by the presence of cultural controls (Malmi & Brown, 2008), with trust being an adopted organizational principle.

The company is organized in the form of branches, where the sales managers responsible for searching and monitoring the insurance brokers that sell the insurer’s products are based. Due to this routine, the sales managers predominantly act in the external environment, using the cell phones provided by the company as a work tool.

Observations collected by two of the authors allowed to identify that a critical factor is the acceptance of risks. This aspect means that during a negotiation the sales manager has to analyze, for example, the risk history of the insured, which affects the value of the premium and consequently the profit margin. Another important aspect is the broker’s performance in terms of loyalty (sale of products from other insurers), besides the performance of the products compared to the competitors in the same operating region.

The organization was accessed by one of the authors, who at the time of the research acted in the area of financial reports for the market, an area with low potential for conflict of interest in relation to the individual results of the respondents.

Having identified the research opportunity, two authors were involved in meetings with the management to validate the proposal and obtain support from the organization. Three regional offices in São Paulo were visited by two of the authors, who interviewed the regional manager and some sales managers. The pre-test of the questionnaire was carried out on three sales managers, two coordinators from the sales control area, and one superintendent from the area. The contributions from these tests were limited to the clarity of the terms and the response time. Although these managers were excluded from the data collection, the effect of these responses is very small. Two PhD researchers also evaluated the questionnaire.

During the mentioned interviews and meetings, it was possible to obtain understandings of how the management reports available up to then were used (spreadsheets, online reports, among others) and how the transition to the new application that brings all the information together in a single platform was occurring. This platform, which takes the form of a cell phone application and is called "Production Guide", brings together the information on all products, timelily showing the evolution of the sales of each product and of each sales manager, of each broker in terms of portfolio and of products, margins, and accidents, among other aspects.
3.3 Population and Sample

Table 1 presents the statistical data on the population and on the sample obtained with the questionnaires, which are quite close in terms of distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals (n)</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional manager</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales manager II</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales manager I</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent/regional</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>executive director</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales assistant</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: data made available by the company studied.

3.4 Operationalization of the Constructs and Questionnaire

3.4.1 Enabling dimension and use of management reports

The enabling dimension of the use of management reports is defined in this paper as the perception that the managers have regarding the system designed to facilitate, structure, and conduct their activities, generating more flexibility, autonomy, and understanding of the whole company. The operationalization of this construct is based on Mahama and Cheng (2013), who used the enabling perceptions of cost system construct operationalized by six variables, with a reverse response variable not being used in this study. The original question from Mahama and Cheng (2013) is presented below each statement.

In the statements in tables 2 and 3, a 7-point Likert scale was adopted, in which 1 means “totally disagree” and 7 means “totally agree”.

3.4.2 Technical validity

Five statements that discussed the quality of the management reports were initially suggested by the company’s management. Yet, in a subsequent analysis
of the literature, a construct used in studies in the area of management accounting was found, called degree of technical validity, developed by Burney et al. (2009). This construct in the original study was operationalized with five indicators (Burney et al. 2009), covering the user’s evaluation in relation to the accuracy, accessibility, reliability, timeliness, and comprehensibility of the management reports. In this study, the technical validity was operationalized with three indicators. However, the accuracy and reliability indicators were not evaluated in this study in agreement with the measurement model presented in Table 5. It is evaluated that the construct is adequately measured with regard to validity and reliability.

### Table 3

**Technical validity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is easy to understand.</td>
<td>Understandable (TC5).</td>
<td>UTI1</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is easy to access.</td>
<td>Accessible (TC2).</td>
<td>UTI2</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is presented within an adequate/timely period.</td>
<td>Timely (TC4).</td>
<td>UTI3</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Elaborated by the authors based on Burney et al. (2009)

It is noted that the technical validity also presents high means, indicating that the user validates the information in technical terms.

#### 3.4.3 Intensity of use of management reports

The intensity of the use of the Production Guide management report was observed via the quantity of accesses made by the sales agents in the third quarter of 2016, these therefore being secondary data. Table 4 shows the quantity of accesses by the whole population of managers, not only the sample that participated in the survey. The choice of the third quarter of 2016 is justified for being the most recent date to the time of the study, not containing any abnormality.

### Table 4

**Statistics on the use of the management report – Use (accesses)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension Indicator</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use (accesses)</td>
<td>ACS3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>97.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

The statistical results present great variability in the quantity of accesses, which can be seen in the sample mean that is between one and two accesses per day, with a high standard deviation, indicating that use is not homogenous between the sales managers.

#### 3.4.4 Performance

The data on sales performance used in this study refer to a ranking of achievement of sales goals of the studied company. Thus, the lowest numbers represent the best classifications in the ranking (the lower, the better). Therefore, negative correlations are expected in the studied hypotheses, since the higher the number of accesses, the lower the position in the sales ranking should be. The ranking was created by the sales control area and presents the level of achievement of the sales goals attributed to each one of the sales managers. In light of this, the greater the achievement of the goals (which can be >100%), the better the classification in the ranking. The classification in the ranking considers all the managers, independently of their participation in the survey, with no new hierarchization being carried out for the respondents of the questionnaire.

#### 3.5 Questionnaire

The questionnaire was sent to the respondents by the sales control area of the company by email (channel widely used by this area to communicate with the population of this study) and, in the period from May 16th to May 23rd of 2016, 280 replies were received.

In the operationalization of the study, care was taken to clarify the voluntariness of the answers and the academic character of the study. It was also emphasized that it did not concern any type of individual evaluation of the respondents nor would the answers be used for any purposes other than scientific ones. However, the possibility of the “demand effect” cannot be ignored, which is the possibility of the respondents completing the questionnaire with the bias of pleasing the researchers.

After the initial data analysis, 49 answers were discarded as they presented some type of inconsistency, especially
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...those with many missing data, leaving 231 valid responses. The main inconsistency found was not completing the whole survey. Another less observed inconsistency was related to the same answers for all the items. In addition, there were also three answers with a single piece of data missing and that were randomly distributed, substituted by the mean of their original variables (Hair, Black, Babin, Anderson & Tatham, 2009, p. 65). Next, the outliers were analyzed according to the recommendations of Hair et al. (2009, p. 79), who suggest working with standard values of up to ± 4 for a sample of more than 80. Only eight potential outliers were detected and kept in the sample.

3.6 Structural Equation Modeling

The relationship hypotheses established in this study were tested using structural equation modeling (SEM), a multivariate analysis technique used to explain the relationships of multiple dependency and inter-related, combining aspects of factor analysis and multiple regression analysis (Hair et al., 2009, p. 543), widely used in the Brazilian and international studies. By choosing this estimation method, the indications of Bisbe, Batista-Foguet, and Chenhall (2007) were considered, which suggest the partial least squares (PLS) method as an alternative for smaller samples.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

Before proceeding to the discussion of the results, we evaluated the measurement model, and the discriminant and convergent validity. These were shown to be adequate for the research, as discussed below.

4.1 Evaluation of the Measurement Model

Based on the measurement model (Figure 2), we evaluated the common-method variance (CMV) (Bagozzi, Yi & Phillips, 1991; Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee & Podsakoff, 2003; Podsakoff, MacKenzie & Podsakoff, 2012) using Harman’s single factor test. The result showed that the single factor extracted was responsible for 13.1% of the total variance. Based on this result, we can infer that the CMV does not constitute a problem, since the variance extracted is lower than 50%, and therefore there is no need for any correction of the model under analysis (Podsakoff et al., 2003, 2012).

Figure 2 Analyzed model  
Source: Elaborated by the authors.
4.2 Analysis of the Results of the Structural Equation Modeling

We evaluated the validity and reliability of the constructs based on the average variance extracted (AVE). In Table 6, it can be observed that the constructs present adequate convergence, since the coefficients of the AVE are above 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2009).

Regarding the reliability of the model, both the Cronbach’s alpha and the composite reliability (Table 5) are above 0.70, which indicates that the constructs present internal consistency (Hair, Ringle & Sarstedt, 2011; Henseler, Ringle & Sinkovics, 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha</th>
<th>Composite reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use (access)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabling dimension</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance (ranking)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical validity</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Elaborated by the authors

The discriminant validity can be measured via the square root of the AVE, which is in the main diagonal of the matrix in Table 6. As the values of this diagonal are above their intercorrelations, it can be said that there is discriminant validity (Fornell & Larcker, 1981), which emphasizes the distinction between the constructs. This is also corroborated by the load factors (Figure 2), which are above the cut-off point of 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix of correlations between the variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use (access) &amp; Enabling dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use (access)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Elaborated by the authors.

For the purposes of this paper, the performance ranking was used, which has an inverted scale; that is, the lower the number in the ranking, the higher the resulting performance. Therefore, the association between the “use (access)” and “performance (ranking)” constructs will be negative.

The stability of the coefficients was evaluated using bootstrapping (Chin & Newsted, 1999, p. 332) based on the specification suggested by Hair et al. (2011, p. 145). The bootstrapping was specified with 5,000 resamplings and a 95% confidence interval. Table 7 presents the coefficients of the path and of the $t$ test at a 5% level of significance of the latent variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T test of the partial least squares (PLS) model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original sample (O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use (access) → Performance (ranking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabling dimension → Use (access)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical validity → Use (access)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, ** = 1% and 10% significant, respectively.

**Source:** Elaborated by the authors.
Table 8 presents the coefficient of determination \( (R^2) \), in which it is observed that the exogenous constructs technical validity and enabling dimension, together, have an explanatory power of approximately 2% in the endogenous construct use (access). In turn, only 2.5% of the variations in performance (ranking) are explained by the previous relationships. From these coefficients, it can be concluded that the structural model has a small effect on the explanation of the endogenous variables (Cohen, 1988).

| Source: Elaborated by the authors. |

### 4.3 Analysis of the Hypotheses and Discussion of the Results

Next, we analyze and discuss the results of the tests of the hypotheses presented in the measurement model.

Hypothesis H1, which states that the enabling dimension is positively associated with the use of management reports, was not validated. The statistical tests show the positive coefficient of 0.032 (t value of 0.332 and p-value of 0.740), however it is statistically non-significant. Therefore, the results presented in this study do not confirm those obtained by Mahama and Cheng (2013).

Despite the fact that the evidence indicates a high mean for the enabling dimension, in contrast it is observed that the use (access) dimension has high variability in the use of these reports by the managers, indicating that other sources may influence this dimension, such as habitualization in the form of routines (Guerreiro, Pereira & Rezende, 2006).

H2, which mentions the positive relationship between the technical validity dimension and the use of management reports, was validated at a level of 5%. The statistical tests showed a positive coefficient of 0.118 (t value of 1.922 and p-value of 0.055).

The results suggest that the greater the perception of technical validity, the greater the use. The low coefficient indicates that other factors may be associated with use that were not captured in this study.

Comparing the results of H1 and H2 with the literature, the latter suggests that other factors, not studied in this research, could explain use (access); for example, the controls of beliefs, which play the role of communicating the mission, and the vision and the organizational values, which influence the behavior of employees (Heinicke, Gunther & Widener, 2016; Widener, 2007), besides the clarity of the tasks and the salesperson’s commitment to the organization (Joshi & Randall, 2001).

As for H3, which mentions the negative relationship between the use of management reports dimension and operational performance, this was validated at a level of 1%. The statistical tests showed a coefficient with the correct direction of -0.158 (t value of 2.545 and p-value of 0.011). This result is consistent with the work of Mahama and Cheng (2013). However, it is observed that the explanatory power, given by the coefficient of determination of 0.025 (Table 8), is low.

This can be explained by the fact that the use of the application is recent and also because performance can be affected by different factors (Burney & Widener, 2007; Cheng, Luckett & Mahama, 2007; Drake, Wong & Salter, 2007; Hall, 2008; Spreitzer, 1996; Warren, 2006), such as: close management of the routines with the people involved in the sales process (Piercy et al., 2009), sales relationship, analytical capacity, availability of the sales manager, and intensity of the competition, among others.

Another possible explanation is that the short space of time that the application has been used (less than one year) may be a reason for the low coefficient of correlation indices observed, indicating that the application is still between the phase of the initial rule and the rule of the change (Tessier, 2014), with a potential gain in learning.

### 5. FINAL REMARKS

This study investigated what the relationships are between the perceptions of the enabling dimension, technical validity, the use of management reports, and the performance of the sales managers of an insurance company.

The study contributes to the literature in different aspects: the first innovation lies in the profile of the respondents, that is, sales managers, who have been studied little in management accounting; the second concerns the combination of constructs, which until now had not been studied together, such as technical validity with the enabling dimension; another innovation is the study of management reports made available in the form of a cell phone application.
Regarding the results, the study shows that despite being high, the perception of the enabling dimension does not positively influence the use of reports, suggesting that other factors may better explain their use, such as technical validity. It is also argued that technical validity is a more tangible construct that positively influences the use of management reports, especially when the interval of implementation of the report is short.

The study also makes a contribution to practice, since the results may be useful for organizations that are taking decisions to invest in management reports, particularly insurers, which invest large sums of resources in intangible assets. The results indicate that the use of management reports influences the performance of sales managers, one of the main objectives of the areas that provide information for user decision making.

Future studies could be replicated seeking to widen the observations in different industries, with diversity of size, levels of maturity, and dissemination of the use of management reports. It is also suggested that other constructs are included that can help to explain sales performance, such as belief controls, organizational commitment, clarity of tasks, as well as assertiveness and speed of decisions.
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